

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

2015/2095(INI)

18.1.2016

DRAFT REPORT

on The situation in the Mediterranean and the need for a holistic EU approach to migration (2015/2095(INI))

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

Co-Rapporteurs: Roberta Metsola, Kashetu Kyenge

 $PR \ 1083522 EN. doc$

PE575.215v01-00

PR_INI

CONTENTS

MOTION FOR A E	UROPEAN PARLIAMENT	RESOLUTION	

PE575.215v01-00

Page

MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION

on the situation in the Mediterranean and the need for a holistic EU approach to migration (2015/2095(INI))

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union,
- having regard to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms,
- having regard to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948,
- having regard to the Geneva Convention of 1951 and the additional protocol thereto,
- having regard to its resolution of 29 April 2015 on the latest tragedies in the Mediterranean and EU migration and asylum policies¹,
- having regard to its resolution of 10 September 2015 on migration and refugees in Europe,²
- having regard to the debates held in the European Parliament's Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs on 14 April 2015 in the presence of Commissioner Avramopoulos, on 6 May on solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, including search and rescue obligations, on 26 May on the strategy on cooperation with third countries, on 4 June on developing safe and lawful routes for asylum seekers and refugees into the EU and on the implementation of the Common European Asylum System, on 25 June on tackling criminal smuggling, trafficking and labour exploitation of irregular migrants, developing adequate legal economic migration channels, and border management and visa policy, on 2 July on how Home Affairs funds are spent in the migration and development context, on 6 July on the first package of Commission proposals following the Agenda on Migration and on solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, including search and rescue obligations and developing safe and lawful routes for asylum seekers and refugees into the EU, on 16 July in the presence of experts on EU funds for migration policies, on policies, practices and data on unaccompanied minors in the EU Member States and Norway, on EU cooperation with third countries in the field of migration, and on exploring new avenues for legislation for economic migration, on 22 September on the second package of Commission proposals following the Agenda on Migration, on 23 September with National Parliaments on the hotspots approach and on addressing migration at the national and local level, on 19 October on tackling smuggling, trafficking and labour exploitation of irregular migrants, on 10 November on the Commission Communication Managing the refugee crisis: state of play of the implementation of the priority actions under the agenda on migration, on 19 November on the EU internal and external funding related

¹ OJ C x, 29.4.2015, p. Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0176.

² Texts adopted, P8_P8_TA(2015)0317.

to its migration and asylum policy, on 10 December on EU cooperation with third countries in the field of migration, on 21 December on border management and visa-policy, on effective implementation of the CEAS, and on developing adequate legal economic migration channels;

- having regard to the debates held in the joint meeting of the European Parliament's Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs and Committee on Development on 1 April 2015 on the nexus between development and migration, and in the joint meeting of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, Committee on Foreign Affairs and Sub-Committee on Human Rights on 15 September on respecting human rights in the context of migration flows in the Mediterranean,
- having regard to the reports of its Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs on the visits by its delegations to Lampedusa on search and rescue operations in September 2015, to Tunisia on cooperation with third countries in the area of migration, asylum and border control in October 2015, and to the report of its Committee on Budgets and Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs on the visit by their joint delegation to Sicily on how to address the migratory pressures in the region, including in particular from a budgetary perspective in July 2015,
- having regard to the Commission Ten Point Action Plan on Migration, presented at the Joint Foreign and Home Affairs Council held in Luxembourg on 20 April 2015,
- having regard to the Commission Communication A European Agenda on Migration (COM(2015) 240 final),
- having regard to the Council Decision (CFSP) 2015/778 on a European Union military operation in the Southern Central Mediterranean,
- having regard to the decision to start the second phase of operation EUNAVFOR Med, renamed Operation Sophia, taken by EU Ambassadors within the Political and Security Committee¹,
- having regard to UN Security Council Resolution 2240 (2015) of 9 October 2015,
- having regard to the Commission Communication EU Action Plan against migrant smuggling (2015 - 2020) (COM(2015) 285 final),
- having regard to the Commission Staff Working Document on Implementation of the Eurodac Regulation as regards the obligation to take fingerprints (SWD(2015) 150 final),
- having regard to the Commission recommendation on a European resettlement scheme (C(2015) 3560 final) and the Conclusions of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States meeting within the Council on resettling through multilateral and national schemes 20000 persons in need of international protection at the Justice and Home Affairs' Council meeting of 20 July 2015,

¹ <u>http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2015/09/28-eunavfor/</u>

- having regard to the Commission Explanatory note on the "Hotspot" approach,
- having regard to the 14 September 2015 Council Decision (EU) 2015/1523 establishing provisional measures in the area of international protection for the benefit of Italy and of Greece,
- having regard to the 22 September 2015 Council Decision (EU) 2015/1601 establishing provisional measures in the area of international protection for the benefit of Italy and Greece,
- having regard to the Commission Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a crisis relocation mechanism and amending Regulation (EU) No 604/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third country national or a stateless person (COM(2015) 450 final),
- having regard to the Commission Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing an EU common list of safe countries of origin for the purposes of Directive 2013/32/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection, and amending Directive 2013/32/EU (COM(2015) 452 final),
- having regard to the Commission Communication EU Action Plan on Return (COM(2015) 453 final),
- having regard to Commission Recommendation establishing a common "Return Handbook" to be used by Member States' competent authorities when carrying out return related tasks (COM(2015) 6250 final) and its Annex,
- having regard to the Commission Communication on Public Procurement rules in connection with the current asylum crisis (COM(2015) 454 final),
- having regard to the Commission Communication Addressing the Refugee Crisis in Europe: The Role of EU External Action (JOIN(2015) 40 final),
- having regard to the Commission Decision on the establishment of a European Union Emergency Trust Fund for stability and addressing root causes of irregular migration and displaced persons in Africa (COM(2015) 7293 final),
- having regard to the Commission Communication Managing the refugee crisis: immediate operational, budgetary and legal measures under the European Agenda on Migration (COM(2015) 490 final), and its Annexes,
- having regard to the Commission Communication on managing the refugee crisis: State of Play of the Implementation of the Priority Actions under the European Agenda on Migration (COM(2015) 510 final), and its Annexes,
- having regard to the Commission Communication A European Border and Coast Guard and effective management of Europe's external borders (COM(2015) 673) and to the

Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Border and Coast Guard and repealing Regulation (EC) No 2007/2004, Regulation (EC) No 863/2007 and Council Decision 2005/267/EC (COM(2015) 671), the Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on a European travel document for the return of illegally staying third-country nationals (COM(2015) 668), and the Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulation No 562/2006 (EC) as regards the reinforcement of checks against relevant databases at external borders (COM(2015)670); Commission Proposal for a Council Decision establishing provisional measures in the area of international protection for the benefit of Sweden in accordance with Article 9 of Council Decision (EU) 2015/1523 and Article 9 of Council Decision (EU) 2015/1601 establishing provisional measures in the area of international protection for the benefit of Italy and Greece (COM(2015) 677) and the Commission Recommendation for a voluntary humanitarian admission scheme with Turkey (COM(2015) 9490);

- having regard to the conclusions adopted by the European Council at its special meeting of 23 April 2015, at its meeting of 25 and 26 June 2015, at the informal meeting of EU heads of state or government on migration of 23 September 2015, at its meeting of 15 October 2015, and at its meeting of 17 and 18 December 2015,
- having regard to the conclusions adopted by the Council on safe countries of origin at its meeting of 20 July 2015, on migration at its meeting of 20 July 2015, on the future of the return policy at its meeting of 8 October 2015, on migration at its meeting of 12 October 2015, on measures to handle the refugee and migration crisis at its meeting on 9 November 2015, on statelessness at its meeting of 4 December 2015,
- having regard to the Presidency conclusions adopted on 14 September 2015,
- having regard to the conclusions adopted by the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States meeting within the Council on resettling through multilateral and national schemes 20 000 persons in clear need of international protection at their meeting on 20 July 2015,
- Having regard to the EU-Turkey Joint Action Plan of 15 October 2015,
- having regard to the Declaration of the High-level Conference on the Eastern Mediterranean - Western Balkans Route adopted on 8 October 2015, and to the leaders' statement adopted at the meeting on refugee flows along the Western Balkan route on 25 October 2015,
- having regard to the action plan and political declaration adopted at the European Union
 Africa summit on migration, held in Valletta on 11 and 12 November 2015,
- having regard to the work and reports of the European Asylum Support Office (EASO), and in particular to their Annual Report on the Situation of Asylum in the European Union 2014 and to the monthly Asylum Trends,
- having regard to the work and reports of Frontex, and in particular to their Annual Risk Analysis 2015 and their Risk Analysis Network Quarterly Reports,

- having regard to the work and reports of Europol, and in particular to Joint Operational Team MARE,
- having regard to the work and reports of Eurojust, and in particular to its reports on trafficking in human beings,
- having regard to the work, annual reports and studies of the Fundamental Rights Agency (FRA), and in particular to their studies on Severe forms of labour exploitation and on Criminalisation of migrants in an irregular situation and of persons engaging with them,
- having regard to the Policy Department C studies on the implementation of Article 80 TFEU, on new approaches, alternative avenues and means of access to asylum procedures for persons seeking international protection, on exploring new avenues for legislation for labour migration to the EU, on enhancing the Common European Asylum System and Alternatives to Dublin, and on EU cooperation with third countries in the field of migration, to the Policy Department D study on EU funds for Migration policies: Analysis of Efficiency and best practice for the future, and to the Policy Department EXPO study on Migrants in the Mediterranean: protecting human rights,
- having regard to the studies by the European Migration Network (EMN), and in particular to their study on Policies, practices and data on unaccompanied minors,
- having regard to the work and reports of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees,
- having regard to the work and reports of the UN Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants,
- having regard to the work and reports of the International Organization for Migration,
- having regard to the work and reports of the UN Office on Drugs and Crime,
- having regard to the Opinion of the European Committee of the Regions European Agenda on Migration, adopted at its 115th plenary session of 3-4 December 2015,
- having regard to the Opinions of the European Economic and Social committee on the European Agenda on migration and on the EU action plan against migrant smuggling,
- having regard to its resolution of 17 December 2014 on the situation in the Mediterranean and the need for a holistic EU approach to migration,¹
- having regard to the working document on Article 80 Solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, including search and rescue obligations,
- having regard to the working document on tackling criminal smuggling, trafficking and labour exploitation of irregular migrants,
- having regard to the working document on border management and visa-policy, including the role of Frontex and other relevant Agencies,

¹ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0105.

- having regard to the working document on developing safe and lawful routes for asylum seekers and refugees into the EU including the Union resettlement policy and corresponding integration policies,
- having regard to the working document on developing adequate legal economic migration channels,
- having regard to the working document on the EU internal and external funding related to its migration and asylum policy,
- having regard to the working document on effective implementation of the CEAS, including the role of EASO,
- having regard to Rule 52 and Annex XVII of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs and the opinions of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Development, the Committee on Budget, the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs, the Committee on Transport and Tourism, the Committee on Regional Development, the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality and the Committee on Petitions (A8-0000/2016),
- A. whereas in its Resolution of 17 December 2014, the European Parliament instructed the Committee of Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs to assess the various policies at stake, develop a set of recommendations and report to Plenary in the form of a strategic initiative report;
- B. whereas according to Frontex data,¹ in the first eleven months of 2015 1.55 million persons were detected while attempting to irregularly cross the EU's external borders, setting an unprecedented record compared to the 282.000 migrants who arrived in the EU in the course of the whole 2014; and whereas according to IOM/UNICEF data, around 20% of all migrants arriving by sea are children;²
- C. whereas according to EASO data,³ in the first ten months of 2015 over 1 million applications for international protection were lodged in the EU, with numbers rising steadily since April, while the share of repeated applications has been simultaneously decreasing; and whereas around 9% of applicants claim to be unaccompanied minors;
- D. whereas in 2015, over 3.771 persons are reported dead or missing in the Mediterranean sea, according to the International Organisation for Migration⁴;

Article 80 TFEU - Solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, including search and

¹ Frontex news, <u>http://frontex.europa.eu/news/number-of-migrants-arriving-in-greece-dropped-by-half-in-november-cITv3V</u>

IOM and UNICEF, Data Brief: Migration of Children to Europe,

http://www.iom.int/sites/default/files/press_release/file/IOM-UNICEF-Data-Brief-Refugee-and-Migrant-Crisisin-Europe-30.11.15.pdf

³ EASO Newsletter, November-December 2015, <u>https://easo.europa.eu/wp-content/uploads/EASO-Newsletter-NOV-DEC -20151.pdf</u>

IOM, Missing Migrants Project, http://missingmigrants.iom.int/

rescue obligations

- E. whereas Article 80 TFEU puts the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility at the heart of the whole of the Union system, providing a legal basis for the implementation of these principles in the Union policies on asylum, migration and border control;
- F; whereas solidarity can take the forms of internal and external solidarity; and whereas relocation, mutual recognition of asylum decisions, operational support measures, a proactive interpretation of the current Dublin Regulation and the Temporary Protection Directive are all tools for internal solidarity, while resettlement, humanitarian admission and search and rescue at sea promote external solidarity;

Tackling criminal smuggling, trafficking and labour exploitation of irregular migrants

- G. whereas migrant smuggling, trafficking and labour exploitation are distinct legal phenomena requiring properly targeted responses, while often overlapping in practice; and whereas criminal smuggling and trafficking networks can change their modus operandi very quickly, thus requiring rapidly adapted responses based on the most recent and accurate data;
- H. whereas the fight against migrant smuggling, trafficking and labour exploitation necessitates both short, medium and long-term responses, including measures to disrupt criminal networks and to bring criminals to justice, the gathering and analysis of data, measures to protect victims and to return irregularly staying migrants, as well as cooperation with third countries and longer-term strategies to address the demand for trafficked and smuggled persons and the root causes of migration which force people into the hands of criminal smugglers;

Border management and visa-policy, including the role of Frontex and other relevant Agencies

- I. whereas the ordinary legislative procedure is ongoing on numerous Commission proposals in the area of borders and visa policy, in particular on the proposal for a Regulation on the Union Code on Visas (recast) (2014/0094 COD), the proposal for a regulation establishing a touring visa (2014/0095 COD), the proposal for a regulation on Uniform format for visa: security (2015/0134 COD); and whereas new proposals in this area have recently been launched by Commission and will be dealt with according to the ordinary legislative procedure;
- J. whereas the abolishment of internal border controls must go hand-in-hand with the effective management of external borders, with high common standards, effective exchange of information between Member States, and full respect for everyone's fundamental rights;
- K. whereas the current Visa Code allows Member States to deviate from the normal admissibility criteria for a visa application "on humanitarian grounds" (Articles 19 & 25);

Developing safe and lawful routes for asylum seekers and refugees into the EU including

PR\1083522EN.doc

the Union resettlement policy and corresponding integration policies

- L. whereas 86% of the world's refugee population is hosted by non-industrialised countries; and whereas criminal networks and smugglers exploit the desperation of people trying to enter the EU while fleeing persecution or war;
- M. whereas safe and legal routes for refugees to access the EU are limited, and many continue to take the risk of embarking on dangerous routes; and whereas the creation of new safe and lawful routes for asylum seekers and refugees to enter the EU, building on existing legislation and practices, would allow the EU and the Member States to have a better overview of the protection needs and of the inflow into the EU and to undermine the business model of the smugglers;

The strategy on cooperation with third countries, in particular on regional protection programmes, resettlement, returns and to address the root causes of migration

- N. whereas EU-third country cooperation is developed through political instruments such as regional dialogues, bilateral dialogues, common agendas for migration and mobility and mobility partnerships; legal instruments such as migration clauses in "global agreements", readmission agreements, visa facilitation agreements and visa exemption agreements; and operational instruments such as Regional Protection Programmes (RPP) and Regional Development and Protection Programmes (RDPP), Frontex working arrangements and EASO cooperation with third countries;
- O. whereas individual EU Member States continue to develop intense external action on migration at the bilateral level;
- P. Whereas the EU has intensified its external cooperation with third countries in migration and asylum to adequately respond to the current refugee crisis and has launched new cooperation initiatives such as the EU-Turkey Joint Action Plan, the commitments taken on the Western Balkans Routes and the action Plan adopted at the Valetta Summit;

Developing adequate legal economic migration channels

- Q. whereas the working-age population in the EU is projected to decline by 7.5 million by 2020; whereas projections on the development of labour market needs in the EU points to emerging and future shortages in specific fields; and whereas third-country nationals face many difficulties in obtaining recognition of their foreign qualifications, and therefore tend to be over-qualified for their job;
- R. whereas the current EU approach to labour migration is fragmented, with numerous directives focusing on specific categories of workers and of third-country nationals who are, under certain conditions, allowed to work; and whereas this approach can only serve to meet short-term, specific needs;

Analysis on how Home Affairs funds are spent in migration & development context, including emergency funds

S. whereas several EU financial instruments exist to fund Member States' and third

countries actions in the area of migration, asylum and border management; whereas in particular funds for Member States are allocated mainly through AMIF and ISF, but whereas numerous other programmes and funds can be used for activities related to migration; and whereas funding to third countries, while allocated mainly through DCI, is administered by numerous Commission DGs & the EEAS;

T. whereas the existing fragmentation of budget lines and responsibilities can make it difficult to provide a comprehensive overview of how funds are used, and even to quantify exactly how much the EU spends on migration;

Effective implementation of the Common European Asylum System ('CEAS'), including the role of the European Asylum Support Office ('EASO')

- U. whereas the CEAS includes a set of common rules for a common asylum policy, a uniform asylum status and common asylum procedures valid throughout the Union; whereas however many alerts, including the infringement decisions adopted by the Commission, show that the CEAS has not been fully implemented in many Member States; whereas implementation is essential in order to harmonise national laws and promote solidarity among Member States, and whereas Member States can seek supporting assistance from EASO to meet the standards required by the CEAS; whereas harmonisation of reception conditions and asylum procedures can avoid stress on countries offering better conditions and are key to responsibility sharing;
- V. whereas the current mechanisms of the Dublin system have failed to be objective and fair criteria for allocating responsibility for applications for international protection and to provide swift access to protection; whereas the system is not being applied in practice and explicit derogations have been adopted with two Council decisions on temporary relocation; and whereas the Commission has announced a proposal for a proper revision of the Dublin III Regulation by March 2016;

On Solidarity

 Points out that solidarity must be the principle upon which Union action on migration is based; notes that the principle of solidarity is set out in Article 80 TFEU and it covers asylum, immigration and border control policies; takes the view that Article 80 provides a legal basis 'jointly' with Articles 77 – 79 TFEU to implement the principle of solidarity in those areas;

On Search and Rescue

- 2. Starts from the premise that saving lives must be a first priority and proper funding at Union and Member State level for search and rescue operations is essential; notes that there has been an increase in irregular arrivals by sea and an alarming increase of deaths at sea, and a better European response is still required;
- 3. Recalls that the saving of lives is an act of solidarity with those at risk, but that it is also a legal obligation under international law, as Article 98 of the United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea ratified by all Member States and the Union itself requires assistance to be given to any person in distress at sea;

- 4. Takes the view that a permanent, robust and effective Union response in search and rescue operations at sea is crucial to prevent an escalating death toll of migrants attempting to cross the Mediterranean Sea;
- 5. In that respect, suggests that search and rescue capacities must be strengthened and that Member States' governments must deploy more resources in term of financial assistance and assets in the context of a Union wide humanitarian operation, dedicated to finding, rescuing and assisting migrants in peril and bringing them to the closest place of safety;
- 6. Points out that private shipmasters or NGOs who genuinely assist persons in distress at sea should not risk punishment for providing such assistance; believes that merchant shipping should not provide an option in lieu of Member States and the Union fulfilling their obligations in terms of search and rescue;

On Tackling Human trafficking and criminal smuggling

- 7. Calls for a clear distinction to be made between those persons who are smuggled into the Union and those who are trafficked into the Union because, while the policy response must be properly integrated, they must also be properly targeted; states that, in general terms, the criminal smuggling of migrants involves facilitating the irregular entry of a person to a Member State, whereas human trafficking involves the recruitment, transportation or reception of a person through the use of violent, deceptive or abusive means, for the purpose of exploitation;
- 8. Holds that any holistic approach to migration must necessarily contain measures aimed at disrupting the activities of criminal networks involved in the trafficking and smuggling of people;
- 9. Welcomes the positive role played by navy vessels in saving lives at sea and in disrupting criminal networks to date; supports the aims of navy operations such as Operation Sophia and stresses the need to protect life, emphasising that all aspects of the operation should ensure that migrant lives are protected;
- 10. Underlines that military operations should not be the predominate aspect of any holistic approach to migration and reiterates that Operation Sophia must not distract assets already deployed in the Mediterranean from saving lives at sea;

On the Role of Union Agencies in the fight against Criminal Smuggling

- 11. Points out that, since criminals can and do change their modus operandi very quickly, policy responses must adapt to the most recent and accurate data; notes, as a positive step forward, that the Commission adopted a Union Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling on 27 May 2015 ('the Action Plan on Smuggling') under which it provides for the setting up of a Contact Group of Union Agencies on migrant smuggling, to strengthen their operational cooperation and information exchange;
- 12. Emphasises that full use should be made of existing instruments, such as the Agencies risk analyses; observes that Union Agencies should cooperate fully but also need to step up cooperation with Member States; better coordination of efforts should allow for the

collection of data at national level and its onward communication to the Agencies;

On Relocation

- 13. Recalls that the process of relocation that is to say, transferring an applicant for international protection, or a beneficiary of international protection, from one Member State to another is a practical example of solidarity within the Union; recalls, in addition, that, since 2009, the Parliament has been calling for a binding mechanism for the distribution of asylum seekers among all the Member States;
- 14. Notes that, within the last year, the Council has adopted two Decisions on temporary relocation measures in the Union ('Relocation Decisions')¹; and that they involve the transfer of applicants of international protection from Greece and Italy to other Member States; observes that, although Relocation Decisions do not end the current Dublin rules on allocation of responsibility, they do constitute a '*temporary derogation*' from the Dublin rules;
- 15. Takes the view that the establishment of urgent relocation measures is a move in the right direction and calls on Member States to fulfil their obligations with regard to those measures as soon as possible;
- 16. Recalls that, for the purposes of the Relocation Decisions, relocation will cover only those nationalities for which the proportion of positive decisions granting international protection in the Union has been 75% or more for the preceding three months, on the basis of Eurostat data; notes that the Relocation Decisions will affect a relatively small number of people, and will leave out the large numbers of applicants originating from other third countries who cannot be relocated under those decisions;
- 17. Notes, in addition, that Member States of first arrival will therefore have to handle the more complicated asylum claims (and appeals), will have to organise longer periods of reception, and will have to organise and coordinate returns for those ultimately not entitled to international protection;
- 18. Is of the opinion that, in addition to the criteria contained in the Relocation Decisions, namely the GDP of the Member State, the population of the Member State, the unemployment rate in the Member State, and the past numbers of asylum seekers in the Member State, consideration should be given to two other criteria, namely, the size of the territory of the Member State and the population density of the Member State;
- 19. Believes that the preferences of the applicant should as much as practically possible be taken into account when carrying out relocation; recognises that this is one way of discouraging secondary movements and encouraging applicants themselves to accept relocation decisions, but should not stop the relocation process;

On Resettlement

20. Takes the view that resettlement is one of the preferred options for granting safe and lawful access to the Union for refugees and those in need of international protection,

¹ Council Decision (EU) 2015/1523 and Council Decision (EU) 2015/1601.

where the refugees can neither return to their home countries nor receive effective protection or be integrated into the host country;

- 21. Further observes that, resettlement through the auspices of UNHCR is a wellestablished humanitarian programme, and is a useful tool to manage orderly arrivals of persons in need of international protection onto Member State territories;
- 22. Points out that, given the unprecedented flows of migrants that have reached and continue to reach the Union's external borders, and the steady increase in the number of people asking for international protection, the Union needs a binding and mandatory legislative approach to resettlement as set out in the Commission's agenda for Migration; recommends that, to have an impact, such an approach must provide for resettlement of a meaningful number of refugees with regard to the overall numbers of refugees seeking international protection in the Union;
- 23. Underlines that there is a need for a permanent Union wide resettlement programme with mandatory participation by Member States providing resettlement for a meaningful number of refugees having regard to the overall number of refugees seeking protection in the Union;

On Humanitarian Admission

- 24. Points out that humanitarian admission can be used as a complement to resettlement in order to give urgent protection, often on a temporary basis, to the most vulnerable where needed e.g. unaccompanied minors or refugees with disabilities;
- 25. Underlines that, in so far as resettlement remains unavailable for third-country nationals, all Member States should be encouraged to establish and implement humanitarian admission programmes;

On Humanitarian Visas

26. Points out that humanitarian visas provide persons in need of international protection with means of accessing a third country in order to apply for asylum; calls on Member States to make use of any existing possibilities to provide for humanitarian visas at Union embassies and consular offices in countries of origin or transit countries;

On the Common European Asylum System

- 27. Points out that further steps are necessary to ensure that the CEAS could become a truly uniform system;
- 28. Recalls that a comprehensive assessment (in the form of the Commission's evaluation reports) of the implementation of this package, followed by a speedy follow-up in case implementation is unsatisfactory in certain Member States, is absolutely necessary in order to improve harmonisation;
- 29. Notes, for example, that inadmissible applications, subsequent applications, accelerated procedures and border procedures are all specific cases in which the recast of the Asylum Procedures Directive tried to strike a delicate balance between the efficiency of

the system and the rights of the applicants; underlines that such a balance can be achieved only if the legislation is fully and properly implemented;

On the revision of the Dublin III Regulation

- 30. Observes that the operation of the Dublin III Regulation¹ has raised many questions linked to fairness and solidarity in the allocation of the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection; notes that the current system does not take into sufficient consideration the particular migratory pressure faced by Member States situated at the Union's external borders; believes that the European Union needs to accept the on-going difficulties with the Dublin logic and develop options for solidarity both among its Member States and the migrants concerned;
- 31. Points out that the pressure placed on the system as established by the Dublin Regulation by the rising number of migrants arriving in the Union has shown that, as implemented, the system has largely failed to achieve its two primary goals of establishing objective and fair criteria for allocation of responsibility and providing swift access to international protection;
- 32. Further points out that, at the same time, the incidence of secondary movements across the Union remains high; views it as self-evident that, since its creation, the Dublin system was not designed to share responsibility among Member States, but that its main purpose was to swiftly assign responsibility for processing an asylum application to a single Member State;
- 33. Recommends that the criteria on which the Relocation Decisions are based should be built directly into the Union's standard rules for allocating responsibility; emphasises that, in reviewing the Dublin Regulation, it is important to reflect on the value of describing certain asylum seekers as 'applicants in clear need of international protection', since those migrants and refugees who do not fall into that category would still at least under the current system have to be dealt with by the Member State of first arrival;
- 34. Takes the view that the European Union should support the Member States receiving the most asylum claims with proportionate and adequate financial and technical support; considers that the rationale of using solidarity and responsibility-sharing measures is to enhance the quality and functioning of the Common European Asylum System ('CEAS');
- 35. Points out that one option for a fundamental overhaul of the Dublin system would be to establish a central collection of applications at Union level viewing each asylum seeker as someone seeking asylum in the Union as a whole and not in an individual Member State and to establish a central system for the allocation of responsibility for any persons seeking asylum in the Union; suggests that such a system could provide for certain relative thresholds per Member State, above which no further allocation of

¹ Regulation (EU) No 604/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person (recast), Official Journal L 180, 29/06/2013, p. 31.

responsibility could be made until all other Member States have met their own thresholds, which could conceivably help in deterring secondary movements, as all Member States would be fully involved in the centralised system and no longer have individual responsibility for allocation of applicants to other Member States; believes that such a system could function on the basis of a number of Union 'hotspots' from where Union distribution should take place; underlines that any new system for allocation of responsibility must incorporate the key concepts of family unity and the best interests of the child;

On Mutual Recognition

36. Notes that, at present, Member States recognise asylum decisions from other Member States only when they are negative; reiterates that mutual recognition by Member States of positive asylum decisions is a logical step to implement properly Article 78(2)(a) TFEU, which calls for "*a uniform status of asylum valid throughout the Union*";

On the Temporary Protection Directive

- 37. Points out that, in the event of a mass influx, the Commission, acting on its own initiative or after examination of a request by a Member State, can propose to trigger Council Directive 2001/55/EC on Temporary Protection¹ (the 'Temporary Protection Directive'); observes that the actual triggering requires a Council Decision adopted by a qualified majority; notes that the Directive should be triggered where there is a risk that the Union asylum system would be unable to cope with the mass influx or imminent mass influx of displaced persons; highlights, however, that since its adoption in 2001, the Temporary Protection Directive has never been triggered;
- 38. Notes that the Temporary Protection Directive also provides for the possibility of evacuation of displaced persons from third countries, and that this evacuation would allow for using humanitarian corridors, in cooperation with UNHCR, with an obligation on Member States where necessary to provide every facility for obtaining visas;
- 39. Believes that the asylum systems of some frontline Member States are already clearly overburdened and the Temporary Protection Directive should under its own logic have been triggered; calls, in any case, for a clear definition of 'mass influx' to be established upon revision of this Directive; understands that such a revision of the Temporary Protection Directive can form part of the review of the Dublin system;

On Integration

40. Emphasises that hosting Member States must offer refugees support and opportunities to integrate and build a life in their new society and – as provided for in Qualifications Directive² – this should also include effective access to democratic structures in society;

¹ Directive 2001/55/EC of 20 July 2001 sets minimum standards for giving temporary protection in the event of a mass influx of displaced persons and on measures promoting a balance of efforts between Member States in receiving such persons and bearing the consequences thereof (OJ L 212 of 7/8/2001, p. 12).

 $^{^2}$ Directive 2011/95/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on standards for the qualification of third-country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection, for a uniform status for refugees or for persons eligible for subsidiary protection, and for the content of the protection granted (OJ L337 of 20.12.2011 p. 9.

emphasises that integration is a two-way process and that respect for the values upon which the EU is built must be an integral part of the integration process;

- 41. Recalls that under Article 15 of the Reception Conditions Directive, Member States are to determine the conditions for granting access to their labour markets for applicants for international protection, provided that such access is effective and is in accordance with the timeframe laid down in Paragraph 1 of that Article; Understands that, per Article 15(2) for reasons of labour market policies, Member States may give priority to Union citizens and nationals of States parties to the Agreement on the European Economic Area, and to legally resident third-country nationals;
- 42. Takes the view that, where those persons granted international protection in the Union have an offer of employment in a Member State other than the one in which they have been granted international protection, they should be able to avail themselves of such an offer;
- 43. Reaffirms that better recognition of foreign qualifications is one practical way of ensuring that those third-country nationals already present in the Union can integrate better and calls on the Commission to come forward with appropriate proposals in that regard;
- 44. Encourages private and community integration programmes for those persons accepted for resettlement, including building on best practices of Member States and local authorities;

On Family Unity

- 45. Encourages Member States to seek to keep families together, which will assist integration prospects in the long-term as the focus can be directed towards the establishment of a new life instead of concerns towards family members that are still in insecure situations;
- 46. Underlines that Member States should overcome any legal and practical obstacles to arrive at swifter decisions on family reunification;
- 47. Recommends that, until such time as the Dublin Regulation has been fundamentally overhauled, it is important for Member States to make better use of the discretionary clauses to respect the principle of family unity;

On Returns

- 48. Understands that the safe return of those people who, following an individual assessment of their asylum application, are determined not to be eligible for protection in the Union is something that must be carried out as part of the proper implementation of the CEAS;
- 49. Acknowledges that, in the light of the fact that, in 2014, 36% of third country nationals who were ordered to leave the Union were effectively returned, there is a need to improve the effectiveness of the Union's return system;

- 50. Believes that the return of migrants should only be carried out safely, in full compliance with the fundamental and procedural rights of the migrants in question and where the country to which they are being returned is safe for them, reiterates, in that regard, that voluntary return should be prioritised over forced returns;
- 51. Suggests that any attempt by Member States to 'push-back' migrants who have not been given the opportunity to present asylum claims runs contrary to Union and International Law and the Commission should take the appropriate action against any Member State that attempts such 'push-backs';

On a list of Safe Countries of origin

- 52. Acknowledges the recent Commission proposal for a Union list of safe countries of origin, amending the Asylum Procedures Directive¹; observes that if such a Union list would become obligatory for Member States it could, in principle, be an important tool to facilitate the asylum process, including return;
- 53. Regrets the current situation, where Member States apply different lists, containing different safe countries, hampering uniform application and incentivising secondary movements;
- 54. Underlines, in any event, that any list of safe countries of origin should not detract from the principle that every person must be allowed an appropriate individual examination of his or her application for international protection;

On Infringement Procedures

- 55. Notes that, in September 2015, the Commission was obliged to adopt 40 infringement decisions related to implementation of the CEAS against 19 Member States, which was in addition to 34 cases already pending; reiterates that the Parliament should be kept fully informed of proceedings launched by the Commission against Member States that have not implemented, or have not properly implemented, Union legislation in this area;
- 56. Re-emphasises how essential it is that, once Union legislation has been agreed upon and adopted, the Member States uphold their side of the bargain and implement that legislation;
- 57. Further notes that it is impossible to properly evaluate the advantages and drawbacks of certain elements of the CEAS due to the fact that many Member States have not yet fully implemented the legislation;

On the European Asylum Support Office (EASO)

58. Recommends that EASO could be developed, in the long term, into a principal coordinator of the CEAS guaranteeing common application of the rules of that system; reiterates that, as the CEAS becomes genuinely European, EASO needs to develop from a collection of experts from Member States into a fully-fledged Union Agency

¹ Directive 2013/32/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection (OJ L180 of 29.6.2013 p. 60.

providing operational support to Member States and at the external borders; emphasises in that regard, that it is provided with the necessary funding and human resources in the short, medium and long-term;

59. Observes that the EASO budget for 2015 for relocation, resettlement and the external dimension was a mere EUR 30 000; reiterates that this very small budget cannot be taken seriously in the light of current events in the Mediterranean and in the light of the multiple references made to EASO in the Relocation Decisions; recalls that significant increases in the budget of EASO, in its human resources and in the amounts it allocates in respect of relocation and resettlement, will be needed in the short, medium and long-term;

On Frontex and the Proposed new European Border and Coast Guard

- 60. Notes the recent role of Frontex in rendering assistance to any vessel or person in distress at sea and acknowledges its contribution, through joint operations Triton and Poseidon, to the rescuing and saving of many lives in the Mediterranean;
- 61. Understands that the recently proposed European Border and Coast Guard is intended to replace Frontex and is meant to ensure a European integrated border management at the external borders with a view to managing migration effectively and ensuring a high level of internal security within the Union, while safeguarding the free movement of persons therein;
- 62. Looks forward to negotiations on the proposal within and between the co legislators in the context of the ordinary legislative procedure in accordance with Article 294 TFEU.

On Schengen and External Borders

- 63. Recalls that since the establishment of the Schengen Area the Union is an area without internal borders, that the Schengen Member States have developed a step by step common policy towards the Schengen external borders and that the inherent logic of such a system has always been that the abolishment of internal border controls has to go hand in hand with compensatory measures strengthening the external borders of the Schengen Area and the sharing of information through the Schengen Information System ('SIS');
- 64. Acknowledges that the integrity of the Schengen area and the abolishment of internal border controls are dependent on having effective management of external borders with high common standards applied by all Member States at the external borders and an effective exchange of information between them;
- 65. Accepts that the Union needs to strengthen its external border protection and further develop the CEAS and that measures are necessary to enhance the capacity of the Schengen Area to address the new challenges facing Europe and preserve the fundamental principles of security and free movement of persons;
- 66. Points out that access to the territory of the Schengen Area is generally controlled at the external border under the Schengen Borders Code and that, in addition, citizens of many third countries require a visa to enter the Schengen Area;

- 67. Reiterates the UNHCR's call that respect for fundamental rights and international obligations can only be ensured if operating procedures and plans reflect those obligations in practical, clear guidance to border personnel, including those at land, sea and air borders;
- 68. Emphasises again that, as for legislation specifically in the area of asylum and migration, in order for legislation on internal and external borders to be effective, it is essential that measures agreed at Union level are properly implemented by the Member States; underlines that better implementation of measures by Member States at the external borders are essential and will go some way into allaying the security fears caused by an influx of migrants;
- 69. Takes note that on 15 December 2015, the Commission came forward with a proposal for a targeted revision of the Schengen Borders Code, proposing to introduce systematic controls of all Union nationals against the relevant databases at the external borders of the Schengen Area (and not only on third country nationals);

On Hotspots

- 70. Recalls that, in the 'hotspot approach' set out by the Commission in its European Agenda on Migration, Frontex, EASO, Europol and Eurojust are to provide operational assistance to Member States in accordance with their respective mandates;
- 71. Points out, in that regard, that the Union Agencies require the resources necessary to allow them to fulfil their assigned tasks; insists that the Union Agencies and the Member States keep the Parliament fully informed of work undertaken at the hotspots;
- 72. Notes that both of the Relocation Decisions provide for operational support at the hotspots to be provided to Italy and Greece for the screening of migrants when they first arrive, registration of their application for international protection, provision of information to applicants on relocation, organisation of return operations for those who did not apply for international protection and are not otherwise entitled to remain or those who applied unsuccessfully and the facilitation of all steps involved in the relocation procedure itself;
- 73. Calls for the Hotspots to be set up as soon as possible in order to give concrete operational assistance to those Member States;
- 74. Recognises that one of the main purposes of hotspots is to allow the Union to grant protection and humanitarian assistance in a swift manner to those in need; emphasises that great care needs to be taken to ensure that the categorising of migrants at hotspots is carried out in full respect for the rights of all migrants; acknowledges, however, that proper identification of applicants for international protection at the point of first arrival in the Union should help facilitate the overall functioning of any reformed CEAS;

On Criminal Law related to migration

75. Notes that, in its Action Plan on Smuggling, the Commission states that it is considering

a revision of Council Directive 2004/81/EC on the residence permit issued to thirdcountry nationals who are victims of trafficking in human beings or who have been the subject of an action to facilitate illegal immigration, who cooperate with the competent authorities;

- 76. Believes that such a revision is necessary and should consider introducing a system allowing for victims of trafficking and criminal smuggling to come forward and aid in the effective prosecution of a trafficker or criminal smuggler without fear of being prosecuted themselves;
- 77. Notes that the Commission is considering a revision of Council Directive 2002/90/EC defining the facilitation of unauthorized entry, transit and residence; takes the view that anyone who provides different forms of humanitarian assistance to those in need should not be criminalized and that Union law should reflect that principle;
- 78. Underlines that another crucial step in dismantling criminal smuggling and trafficking networks is to prioritise financial investigations: tracking and confiscating the profits of those criminal networks is essential to weaken and eventually dismantle them; calls in this regard for the Member States to transpose swiftly and effectively the Fourth Anti-Money Laundering Directive;
- 79. Recalls that, to ensure that criminal investigations are conducted effectively, training of practitioners is essential, so that those involved fully understand the phenomenon they are seeking to tackle and know how to recognise them at an early stage;

On Cooperation with Third Countries

- 80. Points out that the GAMM¹ pillar on asylum and international protection should be further developed with greater involvement of third countries; notes that current actions in this field, under Regional Protection Programmes (RPPs) or Regional Development and Protection Programmes (RDPPs), focus on capacity-building to tackle criminal smuggling and human trafficking networks within third countries of origin and transit; notes at the same time that the resettlement component of these programmes continues to be weak; believes that capacity building efforts and resettlement activities should be stepped up and carried out together with thirds countries hosting large refugee populations;
- 81. Acknowledges that the basic instrument that sets out the objectives of the Union's external policies on migration, asylum and borders is the GAMM; takes note that various instruments exist under that umbrella including regional dialogues, bilateral dialogues, mobility partnerships, common agendas for migration and mobility, readmission agreements, visa facilitation agreements, visa exemption agreements, RPPs and RDPPs;
- 82. Understands that the external dimension should focus on cooperation with third countries, on tackling the root causes and addressing irregular flows to Europe;

¹ Global Approach to Migration and Mobility

understands that partnerships and cooperation with key countries of origin, transit and destination should continue to be a focus, for example through the Khartoum and Rabat processes, the Africa-EU Migration and mobility dialogue, the Budapest Process and the Prague Process;

- 83. Points out that the Union and its Member States must be selective in their support for third-countries' law enforcement agencies taking into account the record of those agencies in breaching the human rights of migrants;
- 84. Recommends that cooperation with third countries involves assessing those countries asylum systems, their support for refugees, and their ability and willingness to tackle human trafficking and criminal smuggling into and through those countries;
- 85. Calls on the Union to help third countries to build up their asylum systems and integration strategies to allow third country nationals in need of international protection to seek protection there; believes that the Union must adopt a win-win approach to cooperation with third countries, that is to say, it should be beneficial for the Union, for the third country in question and for the refugees and migrants in that third country;
- 86. Recalls that the Union has intensified its external cooperation with third countries in migration and asylum to adequately respond to the current refugee crisis and has launched new cooperation initiatives such as the EU-Turkey Joint Action Plan; emphasises, in that respect, the need for all parties to fulfil their commitments deriving from the Joint Action Plan including addressing the root causes leading to the massive influx of Syrians, stepping up cooperation for the support of Syrians under temporary protection and their host communities in Turkey and for Turkey to fulfil its commitments to prevent irregular migration flows from its territory to the Union;

On Awareness-raising Campaigns

- 87. Points out that many smuggled persons have some level of awareness of the risks they will face on a potentially hazardous trip to Europe, but choose to embark on the journey irrespective as they assess those risks as lower than those they would face if they were not to migrate;
- 88. Welcomes the fact that the Action Plan on Smuggling links the launching of new awareness-raising campaigns to the assessment of existing ones; recommends that any such campaigns should contain information as to what criteria are used to determine protection status in the Union, since that information might convince some migrants who risk embarking on a perilous journey only to be returned if they are not granted protection not to make the journey;

On Addressing Root Causes

- 89. Reaffirms that the Union must adopt a long-term strategy to help counteract the 'push factors' in third countries (persecution, conflict, generalised violence or extreme poverty), which force people into the hands of criminal smuggling networks, which they see as their only chance to reach the Union;
- 90. Recalls that the UN Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights of Migrants has also

PE575.215v01-00

called on the Union to open up regular migration channels so as to allow migrants to use formal entry and exit channels instead of having to resort to criminal smuggling networks;

- 91. Highlights that the recent increased arrivals of refugees into the Union has shown that preventive measures are not sufficient on their own to manage the current migration phenomena;
- 92. Understands that in the long-term greater impetus is needed in solving the geo-political issues that affect the root causes of migration war, poverty, corruption, hunger and a lack of opportunities means people will still feel forced to flee to Europe unless Europe looks at how to help re-build those countries; Points out that means that the Commission and Member States must put up the money to help build capacity in third countries; such as facilitating investment and education; strengthening and enforcing asylum systems; helping to manage borders better, and reinforcing legal and judicial systems there;

On Funding to third countries

- 93. Notes that the main funding instrument for funding to third countries is the Development Cooperation Instrument ('DCI'), which includes the only Union global thematic funding for migration under the Global Public Goods and Challenges Programme managed by the Directorate General ('DG') for International Cooperation and Development ('DEVCO'); further notes that, as with funds allocated directly to the Member States, other Commission DGs and other Union bodies are involving in managing the DCI, such that Union assistance to neighbourhood countries is provided by DG Neighbourhood and Enlargement Negotiations through the Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance; humanitarian aid is provided by the DG for Humanitarian Aid and Civil Protection ('ECHO') and the European External Action Service ('EEAS') manages the Instrument Contributing to Stability and Peace; recalls that, since the two funds managed by the DG for Home Affairs and Migration ('HOME') - the Asylum Migration and Integration Fund ('AMIF') and the Internal Security Fund ('ISF') - also provide for an external dimension, this provides a new stakeholder in the external funding scene;
- 94. Welcomes the recently established Emergency Trust Fund for Africa and the EUR 1.8 billion pledged to the fund, which has added an additional element to third country funding; calls on Member States to continue contributing to the fund;
- 95. Recommends that, in line with the Global Approach to Migration and Mobility ('GAMM'), the four thematic pillars addressing (i) legal migration and mobility, (ii) irregular migration and trafficking in human beings, (iii) international protection, and (iv) the development impact of migration should be of equal importance in Union external policy and funding;

On Transparency in Funding

96. Notes that the Union's migration policy is implemented through different policy instruments, each having its own objectives, which are not necessarily interlinked, and there is insufficient coordination of funding between the multiple actors involved;

points out that the fragmentation of budget lines and responsibilities creates a management structure that could make it difficult to provide a comprehensive overview on how the different funds available are allocated and ultimately used; furthermore, points out that such fragmentation makes it harder to quantify how much overall the Union spends on migration policy;

97. Opines that such a comprehensive overview of Union funding related to migration, both within and outside the Union must be provided, as the absence of such an overview is a clear obstacle to transparency and sound policymaking; notes, in that regard, that one possible option could be a website comprising a database of all Union funded projects related to migration policy; underlines that the need for transparency also extends to budget lines in order to ensure adequate funding for all objectives of Union migration policy;

On additional funding for migration

- 98. Welcomes the additional funding made available in Budget 2016 to start to deal with the current migration phenomena. Points out that most of that new funding represents funding under the 2014-2020 Multiannual Financial Framework ('MFF') which has been brought forward, with the result that the Union is spending today what was intended to be spent tomorrow;
- 99. Agrees that, while recent budgetary proposals and the additional funding foreseen in the Union's Budget for 2016, including the use of the flexibility instrument, should be welcomed, medium and longer term funding remain a concern; is concerned that the increase in the amounts proposed for budget lines under AMIF for 2016 have not been accompanied by a proposed revision of the global resources available under that fund for the 2014-2020 funding period; understands that, left as is, the result will be that funding under AMIF will have dried up long before 2020;
- 100. Encourages Member States to take full advantage of the possibilities offered by funds which are not directly related to migration policy but which can be used to fund actions in that area (e.g. integration actions) such as under the European Social Fund, the Fund for European Aid to the Most Deprived, Horizon 2020, the European Regional Development Fund and the Rights and Citizenship Programme;
- 101. Recommends that, under the MFF review scheduled for the end of 2016 substantial additional resources be provided under the Union budget, Heading 3, on Citizenship, Freedom, Security and Justice, so that adequate funding is available on the basis of migration trends and the attendant financial requirements for the Union and Member States asylum, migration and integration policies;

On the involvement of civil society

102. Points out that securing operational funding is a key challenge for Non-Governmental Organisations as most funding is project-related; affirms that volunteer and civil-society initiatives dedicated to providing assistance to migrants should be promoted and, where appropriate, funded by the European Commission and the Member States; calls on the Member States and the Commission to seek, where appropriate and possible, to fund projects managed by civil society organisations working in the areas of migration, integration and asylum;

103. Reiterates that civil society involvement in the development of Union actions and national programmes must be ensured, in line with the partnership principle as laid down in AMIF; proposes that, at Union level, thought could be given to regular consultation between the Commission and relevant civil society organisations working on migration, asylum and integration issues;

On demographic trends

- 104. Notes that, prior the increased migratory flows into the Union in 2015, according to an OECD and Commission study of 2014, the working-age population (15-64) in the Union will decline by 7.5 million between 2013 and 2020, and that if net migration were to be excluded from their projections, the decline would be even more pronounced, as it would amount to a reduction of the working age population by 11,7 million;
- 105. Points out, nevertheless, that as of November 2015 the youth unemployment rate across all the Member States stood at 20%;
- 106. Further notes that, according to recent EUROSTAT projections, the ratio of people aged 65 or over relative to those aged 15 to 64 will increase from 27.5% at the beginning of 2013 to almost 50% by 2050; notes that that would mean a change from 4 working-age persons for every person aged 65 or over at present to only 2 working age persons for everyone aged 65 or older;

On legal labour migration

- 107. States that the legal basis for the management of legal migration at Union level is set out in Article 79 TFEU;
- 108. Understands that Article 79(5) specifically reserves the right for Member States to determine the volumes of admission of third country nationals coming from third countries to their territory in order to seek work;
- 109. Points out that the EU 2020 Strategy has identified the need for a comprehensive labour migration policy and better integration of migrants in order to meet the Union's goals for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;
- 110. Notes that the existing EU legislative framework regulating the access of third-country nationals to work in the Union is rather fragmented, as it focuses on specific categories of workers rather than on regulating, generally, all migrant workers;
- 111. Takes the view that in the long run, the Union will need to establish more general rules governing the entry and residence for those third-country nationals seeking employment in the Union to fill the gaps identified in the Union labour market;

On the need for better data

112. Calls for a comprehensive vision of the labour market in the Union as a necessary pre-condition for the development of labour market policies; points out that it is

necessary to develop tools to better identify and forecast present and future labour market needs in the Union; suggests, in that regard, that existing tools – such as those developed by CEDEFOP or OECD – could be improved upon and even merged with international statistics on potential labour supply from third countries to provide a more accurate picture of the situation;

113. Believes that better data and improved tools to analyse such data can only help policymakers determine future labour migration policies, and that the Union and the Member States should identify gaps in their labour markets which might help them fill jobs that would otherwise remain vacant;

On Labour Exploitation

- 114. Notes that labour exploitation can take place as a consequence of trafficking, of smuggling, or even in the absence of both, with the result that there is impunity for those exploiting irregular migrants in those Member States where it is not criminalised as such;
- 115. Deplores the fact that the low risk of being detected and/or prosecuted as an employer exploiting the labour of irregular migrants has been identified as an important factor in labour exploitation, in particular in sectors most at risk (agriculture, construction, hotels and restaurants, domestic workers and care services); recommends that to tackle this impunity it is necessary, first, to ensure that all cases of severe labour exploitation are criminalised and adequately punished under national law and, second, to increase labour inspections in at-risk sectors;
- 116. Takes note of the fact that, currently, many Member States criminalise labour exploitation only when it takes place as a form of trafficking, which leaves a wide gap in all cases where the labour exploiters were not involved in the trafficking, or their involvement cannot be proved;
- 117. Reiterates that special procedures to ensure facilitation of complaints foreseen by Directive 2009/52/EC providing for minimum standards on sanctions and measures against employers of illegally staying third-country nationals (the "Employers' Sanctions Directive") should be fully implemented and correctly applied in practice; believes that increased protection for those victims of trafficking or those smuggled into the Union, who cooperate and facilitate prosecution of traffickers and/or criminal smugglers, is necessary; suggests that, in addition, support should be given for the setting up of a European Business Coalition against Trafficking in Human Beings (as mooted in the 2014 Strategy against Trafficking in Human Beings) with the purpose of developing supply chains that do not involve trafficking in human beings;
- 118. Believes that, in the end, any effort to eradicate labour exploitation must take the dual approach of effectively prosecuting abusive employers while protecting the victims of such exploitation;

On revision of the Blue Card

119. Recalls that in the Agenda on Migration, the Commission announced its intention to revise the Directive on the conditions of entry and residence of third-country nationals

PE575.215v01-00

for the purposes of highly qualified employment (the 'Blue Card Directive'), looking particularly at the issues of scope (possibly covering entrepreneurs willing to invest in Europe) and improving the rules on intra-EU mobility;

- 120. Reiterates that the Commission's Implementation Report on the current Blue Card Directive underlines its flaws including the very limited level of harmonisation that the Directive has brought, due to the wide discretion left to Member States in its implementation, in particular the right for Member States to maintain parallel national schemes;
- 121. Believes, moreover, that it is clear that the Directive should focus not just on the highlyqualified but on targeted high-qualification occupations where there are proven labour shortages; believes, in addition, that the revision of the Blue Card should be both ambitious and targeted, and should seek to remove the inconsistencies of the existing Directive, particularly as regards parallel national schemes; recommends that thought be given to revising the scope to include those third-country nationals who could help tackle the gaps identified in EU labour markets;
- 122. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States, EASO, Frontex, Europol, Eurojust, FRA, eu-LISA, the Council of Europe, the Committee of the Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee;