

#### COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 14 May 2012

9831/12

Interinstitutional File: 2009/0165 (COD)

LIMITE

ASILE 80 CODEC 1278

#### NOTE

from:	Presidency
to:	Committee of Permanent Representatives
on:	16 May 2012
No Cion proposal:	11207/11 ASILE 45 CODEC 980
Subject:	Amended proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status (Recast) [First reading] - Preparation for the first informal trilogue

#### **Introduction**

In June 2011, the Commission submitted to the European Parliament and the Council an Amended recast of the Asylum Procedures Directive (11207/11). The Commission amended its initial proposal of October 2009 with a view to boosting the negotiations by taking into account the European Parliament's first reading Position of April 2011 and the views expressed in the Council.

The objectives of the amended recast of the Asylum Procedures Directive remained the same as those of the initial recast. Firstly, to establish common procedures so that similar cases are treated alike and result in the same outcome. Secondly, to have procedures that are fast and fair, cost-effective and help to tackle abusive claims. Thirdly, to ensure full respect of fundamental rights as it is informed by developing case law of the Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights. And, finally, to have rules that take account of the particularities of national asylum and legal systems.

The recast of this directive is part of a series of legislative proposals in the field of asylum. The Commission submitted these proposals in keeping with the commitment of the European Council to establish a Common European Asylum System by 2012.

## State of Play

The Asylum Working Party, the Justice and Home Affairs Counsellors and the Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum (SCIFA) have examined the proposal in detail. The result of this examination is reflected in document 9645/12.

In accordance with Protocol No. 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union, and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the United Kingdom and Ireland are not taking part in the adoption of the recast of the Reception Conditions Directive. Also, Denmark does not take part in its adoption and is not bound by it or subject to its application in accordance with the Protocol on the position of Denmark.

## Compromise package

With a view to preparing a position of Council in order to negotiate an agreement with the European Parliament in an early second reading, the Presidency invites the Committee of Permanent Representatives to examine the main outstanding issues in the text of the Asylum Procedures Directive as it appears in Annex I. New Presidency suggestions in comparison to document 9543/12 are indicated in **bold**.

# 1. Applicants in need of special procedural guarantees – Articles 2(d), 4(3), 24 and recital 23

The proposed Directive specifies how Member States shall deal with applicants for international protection who have special procedural needs whereas the current directive has no such provisions. This is suggested in order to ensure compliance with the principle of non-discrimination (in line with EU and international law) which requires Member States to treat differently persons whose situations are significantly different. It is also important in view of ensuring that all Member States have the necessary tools to effectively apply the Qualification Directive. Recital 23 of the proposed Directive contains a non - exhaustive list of circumstances which may imply that a given applicant has such needs. The definition of an applicant in need of special procedural guarantees is provided in Article 2(d) and the required qualifications of personnel interviewing such applicants are mentioned in Article 4(3). In the Presidency's view these articles have reached their final form.

During the negotiations so far Article 24 has been substantially changed. In particular it has been decided not to exempt any applicants in need of special procedural guarantees from the possibility of being processed in accelerated procedures in accordance with Article 31(6) or from the possibility of having their claims decided as manifestly unfounded in accordance with Article 32(2). The Commission has strongly opposed this.

The current scope of Article 24 is to describe what Member States shall do in order to identify applicants in need of special procedural guarantees and how they shall be supported. It contains no result-based obligations.

Some delegations believe that the current wording of the article will require the establishment of an extra, costly and time consuming procedure which they wish to avoid.

The Presidency shares the view that additional procedural guarantees should only be introduced where duly justified. The Presidency does, however, also share the view of some Member States and the Commission that there is a need to identify applicants in need of special procedural guarantees in view of complying with the principle of non-discrimination and allowing them to comply with the obligations and benefit from the rights of the Directive – as all other asylum seekers.

The Presidency believes that the current text provides Member States with sufficient flexibility in order to arrange how to fulfil their obligations in accordance with this article. In order to further clarify that the circumstances mentioned therein are only examples, the Presidency suggests inserting the words *"inter alia"* in recital (23). With this insertion the Presidency considers that the current text strikes a fair balance between the expressed views on this sensitive issue and invites the Committee of Permanent Representatives to consider whether the current text can be endorsed as a viable compromise.

## 2. Guarantees for unaccompanied minors – Article 25

Increasing procedural guarantees for unaccompanied minors who apply for international protection is one of the main goals of the proposed Directive. To that end the original text of the Article contained a paragraph (6) which exempted unaccompanied minors from the possibility of being processed in accordance with Articles 20(3), 31(6), 32(2), 33(2)(c), 38 and 43 thereby ensuring them a higher level of procedural safeguards.

A large number of delegations opposed this idea expressing fear that such a solution might lead to an unwarranted loss of efficiency in asylum procedures. After several attempts to find a compromise the Presidency has proposed to delete the paragraph altogether. The Commission has strongly opposed this deletion. Some Member States also regretted the deletion and called for appropriate safeguards to be provided to unaccompanied minors. The Presidency understands very well the views of both Member States and of the Commission and has come to the conclusion that finding a compromise which will encompass all of them is almost impossible.

As part of the overall compromise package the Presidency finds that the deleted former Paragraph 6 should remain deleted.

Based on the discussions at Counsellors level on 10 May 2012 the Presidency proposes minor changes to the wording of Paragraphs 1 and 5. In Paragraph 1 which i.a. speaks of the qualifications of legal representatives for unaccompanied minors in asylum procedures the Presidency proposes to delete the word "childcare" and slightly change the surrounding text in order to clarify that the required qualifications of the legal representative are different from those of a representative of a child in the field of social, material, educational and other non-legal/procedurally related issues. In Paragraph 5 which concerns the use of medical examinations to determine the age of unaccompanied minors the Presidency proposes to replace the words "If after the medical examination" by the words "If thereafter". Hereby it is intended to clarify that in a given case the result of the medical examination is not necessarily the only available evidence at hand.

## 3. Examination procedure – Article 31

Article 31 regulates how Member States shall process applications for international protection at first instance. Paragraph 2 determines as a main rule that claims for international protection shall be determined within six months after they have been lodged. Paragraph 3 states the exceptions to this main rule and provides that in these cases the time limit of six months may be extended by an additional 12 months.

The setting up of time limits for first instance decisions is new compared to the existing Directive. While there seems to be general agreement that this is a good idea some delegations wish in certain cases to be able to prolong the extension of the time limit beyond 12 months. The Presidency suggests maintaining the current text.

Article 31(6) regulates the circumstances under which Member States may provide that an examination of an asylum claim may be accelerated and/or conducted at the border.

The current Directive contains 16 such circumstances which in the proposed Directive originally had been reduced to 7. During negotiations in the Council's preparatory bodies the number of circumstances has been increased to 10 which the Commission has opposed. On the other hand some delegations have expressed a wish to insert more circumstances.

The Presidency finds that the current text provides for sufficient and reasonable possibilities to accelerate examination procedures at first instance and therefore suggests leaving the text unchanged.

Based on the discussions at Counsellors level on 10 May 2012 the Presidency proposes a minor change to the wording of Paragraphs 7 which concerns time limits for the adoption of decisions in accelerated procedures. Whereas the former wording made the laying down of reasonable time limits obligatory the current allows Member States to lay down time limits if they wish. If they decide to do so those time limits shall be reasonable.

## 4. Specific rules following the rejection or inadmissibility of a subsequent application – Article 41

It is generally recognised that subsequent applications for international protection are frequent, that sometimes with short intervals individual applicants file several such applications and that at times such applications may be abusive. The intention of Article 41 in the proposed Directive is to give Member States more flexibility concerning granting or not granting right to remain on their territory during first instance procedures when a subsequent application is made than under the current Directive. Therefore the text states that provided that the principle of *non-refoulement* is respected Member States need only grant right to remain during first instance procedures of <u>one</u> subsequent application. The Commission has pointed out that the current text provides Member States with sufficient flexibility to uphold existing practices concerning the processing of subsequent applications. Also the need to provide applicants with an effective opportunity to raise *sur place* claims makes it impossible to insert additional flexibility without risking going under the minimum standard allowed under the Charter of Fundamental rights and the European Convention on Human Rights.

A number of delegations wish to be able to deny right to remain on their territory during first instance procedures of <u>all</u> subsequent applications claiming that the fact that all Member States respect the principle of *non-refoulement* is sufficient guarantee in these cases.

The Presidency understands the views of both the delegations and of the Commission and has after numerous attempts come to the conclusion that reaching a compromise which will resolve the differences of opinion is highly unlikely.

Based on the discussions at Counsellors' level on 10 May 2012, the Presidency proposes a minor change to the wording of the Article whereby the words "is of the opinion" are replaced by the word "considers" which is thought to be more appropriate.

As part of the overall compromise package the Presidency finds that the text as it now stands constitutes an acceptable solution and suggests maintaining it.

## 5. The right to an effective remedy – Article 46

Access to an effective remedy in asylum procedures in case of a negative decision is a fundamental right. One of the prerequisites for an effective remedy is the right to remain on the territory of the Member State in question while awaiting the outcome of the remedy. Consequently Paragraph 5 of the proposed Directive establishes this as the main rule whereas Paragraph 6 contains the exceptions to this main rule.

One of the exceptions foreseen in the original text (Article 46(6)(b)) concerns decisions to consider an application inadmissible because another Member State has granted refugee status or because it is a subsequent application without new elements related to the substance of the claim. Based on the discussions at Counsellors level on 10 May 2012 the Presidency proposes to include decisions to consider an application inadmissible because a non Member State is considered to be a first country of asylum for the applicant (Article 33(2)(b)).

During negotiations so far in the Council's preparatory bodies the list of exceptions in Paragraph 6 has been amended so as to include decisions by Member States to reject reopening an application that has been considered implicitly withdrawn in accordance with Article 28 and decisions not to examine or not to fully examine an application where there is a safe third country as described in Article 39. The Commission has expressed concerns about the addition of these two new exceptions.

Some delegations wish to expand the list of exceptions further, something which is strongly opposed by the Commission.

With the above-mentioned addition to Article 46(6)(b) the Presidency suggests keeping the list of exceptions as it now stands.

Where a Member State decides to apply one of the exceptions to a given application Article 46(6) stipulates that a court or tribunal shall have the power, either acting upon request from the applicant or acting *ex officio*, to rule whether or not the applicant shall be allowed to remain on the territory pending the outcome of the remedy.

Finally Article 46(6) stipulates that the exceptions mentioned therein do not apply to applications dealt with in border procedures in accordance with Article 43. A number of delegations wish to delete this provision. This is strongly opposed by the Commission which considers such a deletion to be incompatible with international case law. Council Legal Service shares this opinion.

The Presidency suggests keeping the current wording.

Article 46(7) stipulates a right for the applicant to remain on the territory of the Member State in question until the court or tribunal mentioned in Paragraph 6 has decided whether or not he/she may remain on the territory pending the outcome of the remedy.

Several delegations wish to delete or make exceptions to this provision fearing that it can be abused. The Commission strongly opposes deleting or amending the Paragraph arguing that this will be incompatible with international case law. Council Legal Service shares this opinion.

The Presidency suggests keeping the current wording.

### 6. Other items

The Presidency suggests to insert in Article 9 (2) a modification to the exception of surrendering or extraditing a person to a third country if this is the applicant's country of origin, when the applicant is considered in accordance with Article 21 (2) of Directive 2011/95/EU to be a danger to the security of the Member State in which he/she is present. The Presidency hereby intends to bring this provision a par with the Qualifications Directive and to address concerns expressed by the delegations on this issue.

Finally, following the discussions among JHA Counsellors, changes have been made as stipulated in the Annex to Articles 6(4) and 51(2).

#### **Conclusion**

The Presidency invites the Committee of Permanent Representatives to seek agreement on the abovementioned issues with a view to authorizing the Presidency to seek an early second reading agreement with the European Parliament.

New text to the Commission proposal is indicated by <u>underlining</u> the insertion and including it within Council tags:  $\bigcirc\_\bigcirc$ ; deleted text is indicated within underlined square brackets as follows:  $\bigcirc\_[...]\bigcirc$ .

## <u>ANNEX</u>

## 2009/0165 (COD)

Amended proposal for a

## DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status

## (Recast)

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 78(2)(d) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee<sup>1</sup>,

Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions<sup>2</sup>,

Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,

Whereas:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ C [...], [...], p. [...]. <sup>2</sup> OJ C [...], [...], p. [...].

(1) A number of substantive changes are to be made to Council Directive 2005/85/EC of 1 December 2005 on minimum standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status<sup>3</sup>. In the interest of clarity, that Directive should be recast.

<sup>₽</sup> new

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 1 (adapted)
 ⇒ new

(3) The European Council, at its special meeting in Tampere on 15 and 16 October 1999, agreed to work towards establishing a Common European Asylum System, based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as amended by the New York Protocol of 31 January 1967 (Geneva Convention), thus affirming the principle of non-*refoulement* and ensuring that nobody is sent back to persecution.

OJ L 326, 13.12.2005, p. 13.

(4) The Tampere Conclusions provide that a Common European Asylum System should include, in the short term, common standards for fair and efficient asylum procedures in the Member States and, in the longer term, ∑ Union Community rules leading to a common asylum procedure in the European Union Community.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 4
 ⇒ new

(5) ⇒ The first phase of a Common European Asylum System was achieved through the adoption of relevant legal instruments foreseen in the Treaties, including Directive 2005/85/EC which was ⇔ The minimum standards laid down in this Directive on procedures in Member States for granting or withdrawing refugee status are therefore a first measure on asylum procedures.

↓ new

Council

(6) The European Council, at its meeting of 4 November 2004, adopted the Hague Programme, which set the objectives to be implemented in the area of freedom, security and justice in the period 2005-2010. In this respect, the Hague Programme invited the European Commission to conclude the evaluation of the first phase legal instruments and to submit the second phase instruments and measures to the Council and the European Parliament. In accordance with the Hague Programme, the objective to be pursued for the creation of the Common European Asylum System is the establishment of a common asylum procedure and a uniform status valid throughout the Union.

- (7) In the European Pact on Immigration and Asylum, adopted on 16 October 2008, the European Council noted that considerable disparities remain between one Member State and another concerning the grant of protection and called for new initiatives, including a proposal for establishing a single asylum procedure comprising common guarantees, to complete the establishment of a Common European Asylum System, provided for in the Hague Programme.
- (8) The European Council, at its meeting of 10-11 December 2009, adopted the Stockholm Programme which reconfirmed the commitment to establishing a common area of protection and solidarity based on a common asylum procedure and a uniform status for those granted international protection based on high protection standards and fair and effective procedures by 2012. The Stockholm Programme affirmed that people in need of international protection must be ensured access to legally safe and efficient asylum procedures. In accordance with the Stockholm Programme, individuals, regardless of the Member State in which their application for asylum is lodged, should be offered the same level of treatment as regards procedural arrangements and status determination. The objective should be that similar cases should be treated alike and result in the same outcome.
- (9) The resources of the European Refugee Fund and of the European Asylum Support Office, established by Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>4</sup>, should be mobilised to provide adequate support to the Member States' efforts relating to the implementation of the standards set in the second phase of the Common European Asylum System, in particular to those Member States which are faced with specific and disproportionate pressures on their asylum systems, due in particular to their geographical or demographic situation.

OJ L 132, 29.5.2010, p.11.

- O(9a) When implementing this Directive Member States should take into account relevant guidelines developed by the European Asylum Support Office. 
   C
- (10) In order to ensure a comprehensive and efficient evaluation of the international protection needs of applicants within the meaning of Directive [.../.../EU] [on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection and the content of the protection granted (the Qualification Directive)], the Union framework on procedures for granting international protection should be based on the concept of a single asylum procedure.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 5
 ⇒ new

(11) The main objective of this Directive is to ⇒ further develop the standards for procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing international protection with a view to establishing a common asylum procedure in the Union ⇔ introduce a minimum framework in the Community on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 6
 ⇒ new

(12) The approximation of rules on the procedures for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status should help to limit the secondary movements of applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum between Member States, where such movement would be caused by differences in legal frameworks ⇒ , and create equivalent conditions for the application of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] in Member States ⇔.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 7
 ⇒ new

(13) It is in the very nature of minimum standards that Member States should have the power to introduce or maintain more favourable provisions for third country nationals or stateless persons who ask for international protection from a Member State, where such a request is understood to be on the grounds that the person concerned is ⇒ in need of international protection protection ⇔ a refugee within the meaning of ⇒ Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ Article 1(A) of the Geneva Convention.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 9
 ⇒ new

(14) With respect to the treatment of persons falling within the scope of this Directive, Member States are bound by obligations under instruments of international law to which they are party and which prohibit discrimination.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 10
 ⇒ new

(15) It is essential that decisions on all applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum be taken on the basis of the facts and, in the first instance, by authorities whose personnel has the appropriate knowledge or <u>has</u> received the necessary training in the field of asylum and ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee matters.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 11 (adapted)
 ⇒ new

- (16) It is in the interest of both Member States and applicants for ▷ international protection ♀ asylum ▷ that a decision is made ⊲ to make a decision as soon as possible on applications for ▷ international protection ♀ asylum, ▷ without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination ♀. The organisation of the processing of applications for asylum should be left to the discretion of Member States, so that they may, in accordance with their national needs, prioritise or accelerate the processing of any application, taking into account the standards in this Directive.
- (17) It is also in the interest of both Member States and applicants to ensure a correct recognition of international protection needs already at first instance. To that end, applicants should be provided at first instance, free of charge, with legal and procedural information, taking into account their particular circumstances. The provision of such information should *inter alia* enable the applicants to better understand the procedure, thus helping them to comply with the relevant obligations. It would be disproportionate to require Member States to provide such information only through the services of qualified lawyers. Member States should therefore have the possibility to find the most appropriate modalities for the provision of such information, such as through non-governmental organisations, government officials or specialised services of the State.
- (18) In appeals procedures, subject to certain conditions, applicants should be granted free legal assistance and representation provided by persons competent to do so under national law. Furthermore, at all stages of the procedure, applicants should have the right to consult, at their own cost, legal advisers or counsellors permitted as such under national law.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 12
 ⇒ new

(19) The notion of public order may ⇒ *inter alia* ⇔ cover a conviction for committing a serious crime.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 13 (adapted)
⇒ new

(20)In the interests of a correct recognition of those persons in need of protection as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the Geneva Convention  $\Rightarrow$  or as persons eligible for subsidiary protection  $\Leftrightarrow$ , every applicant should, subject to certain exceptions, have an effective access to procedures, the opportunity to cooperate and properly communicate with the competent authorities so as to present the relevant facts of his/her case and sufficient procedural guarantees to pursue his/her case throughout all stages of the procedure. Moreover, the procedure in which an application for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection 🗇 asylum is examined should normally provide an applicant at least with the right to stay pending a decision by the determining authority, access to the services of an interpreter for submitting his/her case if interviewed by the authorities, the opportunity to communicate with a representative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees  $(UNHCR) \Rightarrow$  and with organisations providing advice or counselling to applicants for international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  or with any organisation working on its behalf, the right to appropriate notification of a decision, a motivation of that decision in fact and in law, the opportunity to consult a legal adviser or other counsellor, and the right to be informed of his/her legal position at decisive moments in the course of the procedure, in a language he/she  $\Rightarrow$  understands or  $\Rightarrow$  is  $\bigotimes$  can reasonably be supposed to understand  $\Rightarrow$  and, in the case of a negative decision, the right to an effective remedy before a court of a tribunal ⇔.

In addition, specific procedural guarantees for unaccompanied minors should be laid down on account of their vulnerability. In this context, the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States.

> ↓ new ⊃ Council

(21) With a view to ensuring an effective access to the examination procedure, officials who first come into contact with persons seeking international protection, in particular those carrying out surveillance of land or maritime borders or conducting border checks, should receive ⊃ relevant information ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ and necessary training on how to recognise and deal with requests for international protection ⊃ inter alia taking due account of relevant guidelines developed by the European Asylum Support Office ⊂ . They should be able to provide third country nationals or stateless persons who are present in the territory, including at the border, in the territorial waters or in the transit zones of the Member States, and wish to request international protection, with ⊃[...] ⊂ relevant information as to where and how applications for international protection may be lodged. Where those persons are present in the territorial waters of a Member State, they should be disembarked on land and have their applications examined in accordance with this Directive.

- (22) In order to facilitate access to the examination procedure at border crossing points and in detention facilities, information should be made available on the possibility to request international protection. Basic communication necessary to enable the competent authorities to understand if persons declare their wish to apply for international protection should be ensured through interpretation arrangements.
- (23) ⊃ Certain applicants may be in need of special procedural guarantees due to ⊃ inter alia ⊂ their age, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, serious illness, mental disorders or consequences of ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ torture, rape or other serious ⊃ forms
   ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ of ⊃ psychological, physical or sexual ⊂ violence ⊃. Those applicants ⊂
   ⊃[...] ⊂ should be provided with adequate support in order to create the conditions necessary for their effective access to procedures and presenting the elements needed to substantiate the application for international protection.
- (24) National measures dealing with identification and documentation of symptoms and signs of torture or other serious acts of physical or mental violence, including acts of sexual violence, in procedures covered by this Directive ⊃ may C ⊃ [...] C *inter alia* be based on the Manual on Effective Investigation and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Istanbul Protocol).

- (25) With a view to ensuring substantive equality between female and male applicants, examination procedures should be gender sensitive. In particular, personal interviews should be organised in a way which makes its possible for both female and male applicants to speak about their past experiences in cases involving gender based persecution. The complexity of gender related claims should be properly taken into account in procedures based on the safe third country concept, the safe country of origin concept or the notion of subsequent applications.
- (26) The best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States when implementing this Directive, in line with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.
- (27) Procedures for examining international protection needs should be organised in a way that makes it possible for the competent authorities to conduct a rigorous examination of applications for international protection.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 15
 ⇒ new

(28) Where an applicant makes a subsequent application without presenting new evidence or arguments, it would be disproportionate to oblige Member States to carry out a new full examination procedure. In these cases, Member States should ⇒ be able to dismiss an application as inadmissible in accordance with the *res judicata* principle ⇔ have a choice of procedure involving exceptions to the guarantees normally enjoyed by the applicant.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 16
 ⇒ new

(29) Many asylum applications ⇒ for international protection ⇔ are made at the border or in a transit zone of a Member State prior to a decision on the entry of the applicant. Member States should be able to ⇒ provide for admissibility and/or substantive examination procedures which make it possible to decide on applications made at the border or in transit zones at those locations in well-defined circumstances ⇔ keep existing procedures adapted to the specific situation of these applicants at the border. Common rules should be defined on possible exceptions made in these circumstances to the guarantees normally enjoyed by applicants. Border procedures should mainly apply to those applicants who do not meet the conditions for entry into the territory of the Member States.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 17
 ⇒ new

(30) A key consideration for the well-foundedness of an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇒ is the safety of the applicant in his/her country of origin. Where a third country can be regarded as a safe country of origin, Member States should be able to designate it as safe and presume its safety for a particular applicant, unless he/she presents serious counter-indications.

(31) Given the level of harmonisation achieved on the qualification of third country nationals and stateless persons as refugees, common criteria for designating third countries as safe countries of origin should be established.

## 

Where the Council has satisfied itself that those criteria are met in relation to a particular country of origin, and has consequently included it in the minimum common list of safe countries of origin to be adopted pursuant to this Directive, Member States should be obliged to consider applications of persons with the nationality of that country, or of stateless persons formerly habitually resident in that country, on the basis of the rebuttable presumption of the safety of that country. In the light of the political importance of the designation of safe countries of origin, in particular in view of the implications of an assessment of the human rights situation in a country of origin and its implications for the policies of the European Union in the field of external relations, the Council should take any decisions on the establishment or amendment of the list, after consultation of the European Parliament.

↓ 2005/85/EC recital 20 (adapted)

(32) It results from the status of Bulgaria and Romania as candidate countries for accession to the European Union and the progress made by these countries towards membership that they should be regarded as constituting safe countries of origin for the purposes of this Directive until the date of their accession to the European Union.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 21
 ⇒ new

(33) The designation of a third country as a safe country of origin for the purposes of this Directive cannot establish an absolute guarantee of safety for nationals of that country. By its very nature, the assessment underlying the designation can only take into account the general civil, legal and political circumstances in that country and whether actors of persecution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment are subject to sanction in practice when found liable in the country concerned. For this reason, it is important that, where an applicant shows that there are ▷ valid ♀ serious reasons to consider the country not to be safe in his/her particular circumstances, the designation of the country as safe can no longer be considered relevant for him/her.

(34) Member States should examine all applications on the substance, i.e. assess whether the applicant in question qualifies ▷ for international protection ⊲ as a refugee in accordance with Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] Council Directive 2004/83/EC of 29 April 2004 on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as refugees or as persons who otherwise need international protection and the content of the protection granted, except where the present Directive provides otherwise, in particular where it can be reasonably assumed that another country would do the examination or provide sufficient protection. In particular, Member States should not be obliged to assess the substance of an asylum application ⇔ for international protection ⇔ where a first country of asylum has granted the applicant refugee status or otherwise sufficient protection and the applicant will be readmitted to this country.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 23
 ⇒ new

(35) Member States should also not be obliged to assess the substance of an an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ where the applicant, due to a ⇒ sufficient ⇔ connection to a third country as defined by national law, can reasonably be expected to seek protection in that third country ⇒, and there are grounds for considering that the applicant will be admitted or re-admitted to that country ⇔. Member States should only proceed on this basis where this particular applicant would be safe in the third country concerned. In order to avoid secondary movements of applicants, common principles for the consideration or designation by Member States of third countries as safe should be established.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 24
 ⇒ new

(36) Furthermore, with respect to certain European third countries, which observe particularly high human rights and refugee protection standards, Member States should be allowed to not carry out, or not to carry out full examination of asylum applications ⇒ for international protection ⇔ regarding applicants who enter their territory from such European third countries. Given the potential consequences for the applicant of a restricted or omitted examination, this application of the safe third country concept should be restricted to cases involving third countries with respect to which the Council has satisfied itself that the high standards for the safety of the third country concerned, as set out in this Directive, are fulfilled. The Council should take decisions in this matter after consultation of the European Parliament.

◆ 2005/85/EC recital 25

It follows from the nature of the common standards concerning both safe third country concepts as set out in this Directive, that the practical effect of the concepts depends on whether the third country in question permits the applicant in question to enter its territory.

<sup>₽</sup> new

(37) In order to facilitate regular exchange of information about the national application of the safe country of origin, safe third country and European safe third country concepts and to prepare possible further harmonisation in the future, Member States should notify or periodically inform the Commission about the third countries to which these concepts are applied.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 26
 ⇒ new

(38) With respect to the withdrawal of refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status, Member States should ensure that persons benefiting from ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status are duly informed of a possible reconsideration of their status and have the opportunity to submit their point of view before the authorities can take a motivated decision to withdraw their status. However, dispensing with these guarantees should be allowed where the reasons for the cessation of the refugee status is not related to a change of the conditions on which the recognition was based.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 27 (adapted)
⇒ new

(39) It reflects a basic principle of ▷ Union ▷ Community law that the decisions taken on an application for asylum ⇒ international protection, the decisions concerning a refusal to reopen the examination of an application after its discontinuation, ⇐ and ▷ the decisions ◇ on the withdrawal of refugee ▷ or subsidiary protection ⇐ status are subject to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal within the meaning of Article 234 of the Treaty. The effectiveness of the remedy, also with regard to the examination of the relevant facts, depends on the administrative and judicial system of each Member State seen as a whole.

(40) In accordance with Article <u>72 64</u> of the Treaty <u>on the Functioning of the European Union</u>, this Directive does not affect the exercise of the responsibilities incumbent upon Member States with regard to the maintenance of law and order and the safeguarding of internal security.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 29
 ⇒ new

(41) This Directive does not deal with procedures ⇒ between Member States ⇔ governed by <u>Council Regulation (EC) No 343/2003 of 18 February 2003</u> Regulation (EU) No [.../...] [establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member state responsible for examining an <del>asylum</del> application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national ⇒ or a stateless person ⇔] (the Dublin <u>Regulation</u>).

₿ new

## €[...]€

◆ 2005/85/EC recital 30

(43) The implementation of this Directive should be evaluated at regular intervals not exceeding two years.

 $\checkmark$  2005/85/EC recital 31 (adapted)

Council

(44) Since the objectives of this Directive, namely to establish minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and effects of the action, be better achieved at is Union in Community level, the is Union in Community may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.  (44a) In accordance with the Joint Political Declaration of Member States and the Commission on explanatory documents of 28 September 2011, Member States have undertaken to accompany, in justified cases, the notification of their transposition measures with one or more documents explaining the relationship between the components of a directive and the corresponding parts of national transposition instruments. With regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified.

◆ 2005/85/EC recital 32

In accordance with Article 3 of the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Community, the United Kingdom has notified, by letter of 24 January 2001, its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Directive.

◆ 2005/85/EC recital 33

In accordance with Article 3 of the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Community, Ireland has notified, by letter of 14 February 2001, its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Directive.

↓ new⇒ Council

(45) In accordance with ⊃<u>Articles 1, 2 and</u> ⊂ Article 4a(1) of Protocol ⊃<u>(</u>⊂ No. 21 ⊃<u>)</u> ⊂ on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to ⊃<u>[...]</u> ⊂ Article 4 of that Protocol, ⊃<u>the United Kingdom and Ireland are not taking part in the adoption of this Directive and ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ are not bound by it ⊃<u>or subject to its application</u> ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂.</u>

(46) In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of the Protocol on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty <u>on the Functioning of the European</u> <u>Union establishing the European Community</u>, Denmark does not take part in the adoption of this Directive and is not bound by it or subject to its application.

✓ 2005/85/EC recital 8
 ⇒ new

(47) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. ⇒ In particular, this Directive seeks to ensure full respect for human dignity and to promote the application of Articles 1, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 47 of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly. ⇔

↓ new

- (48) The obligation to transpose this Directive into national law should be confined to those provisions which represent a substantive change as compared with the earlier Directive. The obligation to transpose the provisions which are unchanged arises under the earlier Directive.
- (49) This Directive should be without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.

◆ 2005/85/EC

⇒ new

Council

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE,

## **CHAPTER I**

## **GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Article 1

## Purpose

The purpose of this Directive is to establish  $\Rightarrow$  common  $\Leftrightarrow$  minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\bigcirc$  [...]  $\bigcirc$  by virtue of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive]  $\Leftrightarrow$  refugee status.

## Article 2

## Definitions

For the purposes of this Directive:

- "Geneva Convention" means the Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as amended by the New York Protocol of 31 January 1967;
- (b) "application" or "application for asylum" means an application made by a third country national or stateless person which can be understood as a request for international protection from a Member State under the Geneva Convention. Any application for international protection is presumed to be an application for asylum, unless the person concerned explicitly requests another kind of protection that can be applied for separately;

<sup>₽</sup> new

(b) "application" or "application for international protection" means a request made by a third country national or a stateless person for protection from a Member State, who can be understood to seek refugee status or subsidiary protection status, and who does not explicitly request another kind of protection outside the scope of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive], that can be applied for separately;

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new

(c) "applicant" or "applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum" means a third country national or stateless person who has made an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in respect of which a final decision has not yet been taken;

↓ new◆ Council

(d) "applicant in need of special procedural guarantees" means an applicant ⊃ whose ability to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations provided for in this Directive is limited C ⊃ [...] C due to ⊃ individual circumstances ⊃ [...] C;

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new

- (ed) "final decision" means a decision on whether the third country national or stateless person be granted refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status by virtue of <u>Directive [.../.../EU]</u> [the Qualification Directive] <del>2004/83/EC</del> and which is no longer subject to a remedy within the framework of Chapter V of this Directive irrespective of whether such remedy has the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member States concerned pending its outcome, subject to Annex III of this Directive;
- (fe) "determining authority" means any quasi-judicial or administrative body in a Member State responsible for examining applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum competent to take decisions at first instance in such cases, subject to Annex I;
- (<u>g</u>f) "refugee" means a third country national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article <u>2(d) of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive]</u> <u>1-of the Geneva</u>
   <u>Convention as set out in Directive 2004/83/EC</u>;

<sup>₽</sup> new

Council

- (h) "person eligible for subsidiary protection" means a third country national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(f) of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive];
- (i) "international protection 

   [...] C<sup>™</sup> means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection;

↓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)

(jg) "refugee status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or
 Image: Stateless person as a refugee;

<sup>↓</sup> new

- (k) "subsidiary protection status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a person eligible for subsidiary protection;
- (1) "minor" means a third country national or a stateless person below the age of 18 years;

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

(m<sup>h</sup>) "unaccompanied minor" means ⇒ a minor as defined in Article 2(1) of Directive
[.../.EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ a person below the age of eighteen who arrives
in the territory of the Member States unaccompanied by an adult responsible for him/her
whether by law or by custom, and for as long as he/she is not effectively taken into the care
of such a person; it includes a minor who is left unaccompanied after he/she has entered
the territory of the Member States;

(ni) "representative" means a ⇒ person or an organisation appointed by the competent bodies
 ⊃[...] ⊂in order to assist and represent an unaccompanied minor in procedures provided for in this Directive with a view to ensuring the child's best interests and exercising legal capacity for the minor where necessary. Where an organisation ⊃ is appointed ⊂
 ⊃[...] ⊂as a representative, it shall ⊃ designate ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂a person responsible for carrying out the duties of ⊃\_⊙[...] ⊂ ⊃ this ⊂ organisation ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ in respect of the minor, in accordance with this Directive ⇔ person acting on behalf of an organisation representing an unaccompanied minor as legal guardian, a person acting on behalf of a national organisation which is responsible for the care and well-being of minors, or any other appropriate representation appointed to ensure his/her best interests;

- (<u>o</u><sup>i</sup>) "withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status" means the decision by a competent authority to revoke, end or refuse to renew the refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status of a person in accordance with Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC;
- (pk) "remain in the Member State" means to remain in the territory, including at the border or in transit zones, of the Member State in which the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum has been made or is being examined:

↓ new

(q) "subsequent application" means a further application made after a final decision has been taken on a previous application, including cases where the applicant has explicitly withdrawn his/her application and cases where the determining authority has rejected an application following its implicit withdrawal in accordance with Article 28(1).

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new

#### Article 3

#### Scope

- This Directive shall apply to all applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum made in the territory, including at the border ⇒, in the territorial waters ⇔ or in the transit zones of the Member States, and to the withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status.
- 2. This Directive shall not apply in cases of requests for diplomatic or territorial asylum submitted to representations of Member States.
- 3. Where Member States employ or introduce a procedure in which asylum applications are examined both as applications on the basis of the Geneva Convention and as applications for other kinds of international protection given under the circumstances defined by Article 15 of Directive 2004/83/EC, they shall apply this Directive throughout their procedure.

<u>3.4.</u> Moreover, Member States may decide to apply this Directive in procedures for deciding on applications for any kind of international protection ⇒ falling outside of the scope of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇐.

### Article 4

#### **Responsible authorities**

- Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of <u>the</u> applications in accordance with this Directive, in particular Articles 8(2) and 9. ⇒ Member States shall ensure that that authority is provided with appropriate means, including sufficient competent personnel, to carry out its tasks in accordance with this Directive. ⇐
- In accordance with Article 4(4) of Regulation (EC) No 343/2003, applications for asylum made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.

- 2. However, Member States may provide that  $\boxtimes$  an  $\bigotimes$  another authority  $\boxtimes$  other than that referred to in paragraph 1  $\bigotimes$  is responsible for the purposes of:
  - (a) ⇒ processing cases pursuant to Regulation (EU) No [.../...] [the Dublin Regulation], and ⇔ processing cases in which it is considered to transfer the applicant to another
     State according to the rules establishing criteria and mechanisms for determining
     which State is responsible for considering an application for asylum, until the
     transfer takes place or the requested State has refused to take charge of or take back
     the applicant;
  - (b) taking a decision on the application in the light of national security provisions, provided the determining authority is consulted prior to this decision as to whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC;
  - (c) conducting a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 32, provided this authority has access to the applicant's file regarding the previous application;

#### (d) processing cases in the framework of the procedures provided for in Article 35(1);

(be)  $\Rightarrow$  granting or  $\Leftrightarrow$  refusing permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in Article  $\Rightarrow 43 \Leftrightarrow \frac{35(2) \text{ to (5)}}{35(2) \text{ to (5)}}$ , subject to the conditions and as set out therein  $\Rightarrow$  and on the basis of the opinion of the determining authority.  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{3}$ 

#### (f) establishing that an applicant is seeking to enter or has entered into the Member State

## from a safe third country pursuant to Article 36, subject to the conditions and as set out in that Article.

↓ new◆ Council

3. Member States shall ensure that the personnel of the determining authority are properly trained. To that end, Member States shall provide for ⊃[...] ⊂ relevant ⊃[...] ⊂ training ⊃ taking inter alia ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ into account the training established and developed by the European Asylum Support Office. ⊃ Persons interviewing applicants pursuant to this Directive ⊃ shall ⊂ also ⊃ have acquired general knowledge of ⊃[...] ⊂ problems which could adversely affect the applicants' ability to be interviewed ⊃, such as indications of possible past torture. ⊂. ⊂

4.2. Where is an authority is in authorities are designated in accordance with paragraph 2, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of is that authority is such authorities have the appropriate knowledge or receive the necessary training to fulfil their obligations when implementing this Directive.

↓ new

5. Applications for international protection made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out border or immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new
 ⇒ Council

Article 5

## More favourable provisions

Member States may introduce or maintain more favourable standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  refugee status, insofar as those standards are compatible with this Directive.

## **CHAPTER II**

### **BASIC PRINCIPLES AND GUARANTEES**

Article 6

Access to the procedure

## 1.⊃<u>[...]</u>C

↓ new
 Council
 ↓ When a person makes a request for international protection, C → to an authority
 competent under national law for registration of such applications, the → registration C
 ↓ [...] C shall → take place C → [...] C no later than 3 working days after the request is made. C

○ If the request ○ for international protection C is made to ○ other authorities which are C ○ [...] C likely to receive such requests, but not competent for the registration under national law, Member States shall ensure that ○ [...] C the ○ registration shall take place C ○ [...] C no later than 6 working days after the request is made. C

○ Member States shall ○ ensure that those ○ ○ [...] ○ other authorities which are likely to receive requests for international protection ○ such as ○ ○ [...] ○ police, border guards, immigration authorities and personnel of detention facilities ○ [...] ○ have the relevant information and that their personnel receive ○ [...] ○ instructions ○ to inform applicants where and how applications for international protection may be lodged ○ . ○

⊃[...]℃

⊃<u>[...]</u>C

○ <u>2</u> C ○ [...] C. Member States shall ensure that ○ a person who has made a request for international protection has an effective opportunity to lodge his/her application as soon as possible. Where the applicant does not avail himself/herself of this opportunity,
○ Member States may apply C ○ [...] C Article 28 of this Directive ○ accordingly C .

- Э<u>3.</u> Member States may require that applications for international protection be lodged in person and/or at a designated place, without prejudice to ⊃ paragraph ⊂ ⊃ [...] ⊂ 2
   ⊃[...] ⊂ . ⊂
- O 4. Without prejudice to paragraph 3, O an application for international protection shall be deemed to have been lodged O [...] C once C

 $(a) \subset (a) \subseteq (a) \subseteq (a)$  a form submitted by the applicant (a), (a) or

 $\textcircled{b} (b) where \textcircled{[...]} \textcircled{c} foreseen in national law, \textcircled{[...]} \textcircled{c} \textcircled{c} \Huge{c} [...] \textcircled{c} an official \textcircled{c} \\ \textcircled{c} [...] \textcircled{c} report \textcircled{c} [...] \textcircled{c}$ 

⊃<u>[...]</u>C

€[...]

○ <u>5</u>. C ○ [...] C ○ [...] C. Where ⊃ <u>the simultaneous</u> C ○ [...] C request ⊃ <u>for</u> C international protection ⊃ <u>by a large number of third country nationals or stateless</u>
<u>persons</u> C ○ [...] C makes it ⊃ <u>very difficult</u> C ○ [...] C in practice to respect the ○ [...] C time limit laid down in paragraph ○ <u>1</u> C ○ [...] C ○ [...] C, Member States may provide for that time limit to be extended to ○ [...] C ⊃ <u>10</u> C working days.

↓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)

- 2. Member States shall ensure that each adult having legal capacity has the right to make an application for asylum on his/her own behalf.
- 3. Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an opportunity to make an application on their own behalf.

Consent shall be requested at the time the application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted.

1. Member States may determine in national legislation

(a) the cases in which a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;

- (b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 17(1)(a);
- (c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for asylum for any unmarried minor.
- 5. Member States shall ensure that authorities likely to be addressed by someone who wishes to make an application for asylum are able to advise that person how and where he/she may make such an application and/or may require these authorities to forward the application to the competent authority.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 6
⇒ new
⇒ Council

## Article 7

#### Applications made on behalf of dependants or minors

- <u>1.2.</u> Member States shall ensure that each adult having legal capacity has the right to make an application for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  asylum on his/her own behalf.
- 2.3. Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an opportunity to make an application on their own behalf.

Consent shall be requested at the time the application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted.  $\Rightarrow$  Before consent is requested, each  $\bigcirc$  dependant  $\bigcirc$  adult among these persons shall be informed in private of relevant procedural consequences and of his or her right to make a separate application for international protection.  $\Leftarrow$ 

<sup>↓</sup> new

Council

3. Member States shall ensure that a minor has the right to make an application for international protection either on his/her own behalf, if he/she has the legal capacity to act in procedures according to the national law of the Member State concerned, or through his/her parents or other adult family members, or an adult responsible for him/her, whether by law or by national practice of the Member State concerned, or ⊃ through ⊂ a representative.

4. Member States shall ensure that the appropriate bodies referred to in Article 10 of Directive 2008/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>5</sup> have the right to lodge an application for international protection on behalf of an unaccompanied minor if, on the basis of an individual assessment of his/her personal situation, those bodies are of the opinion that the minor may have protection needs pursuant to Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive].

✓ 2005/85/EC article 6
 ⇒ new

<u>5.4.</u> Member States may determine in national legislation:

OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 98

- (a) the cases in which a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;
- (b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article  $25 \pm 7$  (1)(a);
- (c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔
   asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum for any unmarried minor.

↓ new		
Council		

## Article 8

## Information and counselling at border crossing points and in detention facilities

Member States shall ensure that information on the possibility to request international protection is available in detention facilities and at border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders ⊃, in response to an enquiry from the third country national or stateless person in this respect C. ⊃ In these detention facilities and border areas, C Member States shall provide interpretation arrangements to the extent necessary to facilitate access to ⊃ the C procedure ⊃ concerning international protection C ⊃ [...] C.

2. Member States shall ensure that organisations ⊃<u>and persons</u> ⊂ providing advice and counselling to applicants for international protection have access to the ⊃<u>applicants</u> present at ⊂ border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders. Member States may provide for rules covering the presence of such organizations ⊃<u>and persons</u> ⊂ in these areas ⊃<u>and in particular that access is subject to an agreement with the competent authorities of the Member State. ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊃ The rules may also impose ⊂ limitations ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊃ due to the ⊂ security, public order and administrative management of ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊃ the area ⊂ concerned ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂.</u>

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new
 ⇒ Council

*Article* <u>9</u> <del>Z</del> <u></u>

# Right to remain in the Member State pending the examination of the application

1. Applicants shall be allowed to remain in the Member State, for the sole purpose of the procedure, until the determining authority has made a decision in accordance with the procedures at first instance set out in Chapter III. This right to remain shall not constitute an entitlement to a residence permit.

2. Member States can make an exception only where , in accordance with Articles 32 and 34, ⇒ a person makes ⇔ a subsequent application ⇒ referred to in Article 41 ⇔ will not be further examined or where they will surrender or extradite, as appropriate, a person either to another Member State pursuant to obligations in accordance with a European arrest warrant<sup>6</sup> or otherwise, or to a third country, ⇒ with the exception of the country of origin of the applicant concerned, ⇔ ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊃ unless the applicant is considered in accordance with Article 21 (2) of Directive 2011/95/EU to be a danger to the security of the Member State in which he/she is present ⊂ or to international criminal courts or tribunals.

<sup>₽</sup> new

3. A Member State may extradite an applicant to a third country pursuant to paragraph 2 only where the competent authorities are satisfied that an extradition decision will not result in direct or indirect *refoulement* in violation of international obligations of the Member State.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1).

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new

#### *Article* <u>10</u> <u></u>€

#### **Requirements for the examination of applications**

Without prejudice to Article 23(4)(i), Member States shall ensure that applications for
 ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum are neither rejected nor excluded from examination on the sole ground that they have not been made as soon as possible.

<sup>↓</sup> new

2. When examining applications for international protection, the determining authority shall first determine whether the applicants qualify as refugees and, if not, determine whether the applicants are eligible for subsidiary protection.

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new

3.2. Member States shall ensure that decisions by the determining authority on applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are taken after an appropriate examination. To that end, Member States shall ensure that:

- (a) applications are examined and decisions are taken individually, objectively and impartially;
- (b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as the ⇒ European Asylum Support Office and the ⇔ United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), as to the general situation prevailing in the countries of origin of applicants for asylum and, where necessary, in countries through which they have transited, and that such information is made available to the personnel responsible for examining applications and taking decisions;
- (c) the personnel examining applications and taking decisions have the knowledge with respect to relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee  $law_{\frac{1}{2}\overline{2}}$

↓ new◆ Council

(d) the personnel examining applications and taking decisions ○[...] Chave the possibility to seek advice, whenever necessary, from experts on particular issues, such as medical, cultural, religious, child-related or gender issues.

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
 ⇒ new

<u>4.2.</u> The authorities referred to in Chapter V shall, through the determining authority or the applicant or otherwise, have access to the general information referred to in paragraph  $\underline{3}$  $\underline{2}$ (b), necessary for the fulfilment of their task. <u>5.4.</u> Member States  $\Rightarrow$  shall  $\Leftrightarrow$  may provide for rules concerning the translation of documents relevant for the examination of applications.

## Article <u>11 <del>9</del></u>

#### Requirements for a decision by the determining authority

- Member States shall ensure that decisions on applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are given in writing.
- 2. Member States shall also ensure that, where an application is rejected ⇒ with regard to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status ⇔, the reasons in fact and in law are stated in the decision and information on how to challenge a negative decision is given in writing.
- Member States need not state the reasons for not granting refugee status in a decision where the applicant is granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC. In these cases, Member States shall ensure that the reasons for not granting refugee status are stated in the applicant's file and that the applicant has, upon request, access to his/her file.

Moreover, Member States need not provide information on how to challenge a negative decision in writing in conjunction with a decision where the applicant has been provided with this information at an earlier stage either in writing or by electronic means accessible to the applicant.

3. For the purposes of Article 7(2) 6(3), and whenever the application is based on the same grounds, Member States may take one single decision, covering all dependants ⇒, unless this would lead to the disclosure of particular circumstances of an applicant which could jeopardize his/her interests, in particular in cases involving gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or age based persecution ⇐.

## Article <u>12</u> <del>10</del>

## Guarantees for applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum

 With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter III, Member States shall ensure that all applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum enjoy the following guarantees:

- (a) they shall be informed in a language which they ⇒ understand or ⇔ ≥ are ≥ are ≥ may reasonably be supposed to understand of the procedure to be followed and of their rights and obligations during the procedure and the possible consequences of not complying with their obligations and not cooperating with the authorities. They shall be informed of the time-frame, as well as the means at their disposal for fulfilling the obligation to submit the elements as referred to in Article 4 of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC ⇒ , as well as of the consequences of an explicit or implicit withdrawal of the application ⇔. This information shall be given in time to enable them to exercise the rights guaranteed in this Directive and to comply with the obligations described in Article 13 ±;
- (b) they shall receive the services of an interpreter for submitting their case to the competent authorities whenever necessary. Member States shall consider it necessary to give these services at least when the determining authority calls upon the applicant is ≤ to be interviewed as referred to in Articles <u>14</u>, <u>15</u>, <u>12 and 13</u> ⇒ 16, 17 and 34 ⇔ and appropriate communication cannot be ensured without such services. In this case and in other cases where the competent authorities call upon the applicant, these services shall be paid for out of public funds;
- (c) they shall not be denied the opportunity to communicate with the UNHCR or with any other organisation ⇒ providing legal advice or counselling to applicants for international protection in accordance with the national law of ⇔ working on behalf of the UNHCR in the territory of the Member State pursuant to an agreement with that Member State;

Û	new
0	Council

(d) they and, if applicable, their legal advisers <a><u>in acordance with Article 23(1)</u></a> shall not be denied access to the information referred to in Article 10(3)(b), where the determining authority <a><u>has taken</u></a> <a>[...]</a> C that information into consideration for the purpose of taking a decision on their application;

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

(ed) they shall be given notice in reasonable time of the decision by the determining authority on their application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum. If a legal adviser or other counsellor is legally representing the applicant, Member States may choose to give notice of the decision to him/her instead of to the applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum;

- (fe) they shall be informed of the result of the decision by the determining authority in a language that they  $\Rightarrow$  understand or  $\Leftrightarrow \boxtimes$  are  $\bigotimes$  may reasonably be supposed to understand when they are not assisted or represented by a legal adviser or other counsellor and when free legal assistance is not available. The information provided shall include information on how to challenge a negative decision in accordance with the provisions of Article <u>11(2)</u> 9(2).
- With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter V, Member States shall ensure that all applicants for asylum enjoy equivalent guarantees to the ones referred to in paragraph 1(b), (c) ⇒, (d) ⇔ and (<u>e</u> <u>4</u>) of this Article.

## Article <u>13</u> <del>11</del>

#### Obligations of the applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ <del>asylum</del>

- 1. ➡ Member States shall impose upon applicants for international protection the obligation to cooperate with the competent authorities with a view to establishing their identity and other elements referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive]. ➡ Member States may impose upon applicants for asylum ➡ other ➡ obligations to cooperate with the competent authorities insofar as these obligations are necessary for the processing of the application.
- 2. In particular, Member States may provide that:
  - (a) applicants for asylum are required to report to the competent authorities or to appear before them in person, either without delay or at a specified time;

- (b) applicants for asylum have to hand over documents in their possession relevant to the examination of the application, such as their passports;
- (c) applicants for asylum are required to inform the competent authorities of their current place of residence or address and of any changes thereof as soon as possible. Member States may provide that the applicant shall have to accept any communication at the most recent place of residence or address which he/she indicated accordingly;
- (e) the competent authorities may take a photograph of the applicant; and
- (f) the competent authorities may record the applicant's oral statements, provided he/she has previously been informed thereof.

## 

#### Personal interview

Before a decision is taken by the determining authority, the applicant for asylum shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview on his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum with a person competent under national law to conduct such an interview. ⇒ Interviews on the substance of the application for international protection shall be conducted by the personnel of the determining authority. ⇔

This paragraph shall be without prejudice to Article 42(2)(b). 
 C
 ■

Member States may also give the opportunity of a personal interview to each dependant adult referred to in Article 6(3).

<sup>₽</sup> new

Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection, which makes it impossible in practice for the determining authority to conduct timely interviews on the substance of an application, Member States may provide that the personnel of another authority be temporarily involved in conducting such interviews. In such cases, the personnel of that authority shall receive in advance the necessary training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4)(a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 and in Article 18(5) of this Directive.

Where a person has made an application for international protection on behalf of his/her dependants, each adult concerned shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

Member States may determine in national legislation the cases in which a minor shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.

- 2. The personal interview on the substance of the application may be omitted where:
  - (a) the determining authority is able to take a positive decision ⇒ with regard to refugee status ⇔ on the basis of evidence available; or
  - (b) the competent authority has already had a meeting with the applicant for the purpose of assisting him/her with completing his/her application and submitting the essential information regarding the application, in terms of Article 4(2) of Directive 2004/83/EC; or
  - (c) the determining authority, on the basis of a complete examination of information provided by the applicant, considers the application to be unfounded in cases where the eircumstances mentioned in Article 23(4)(a), (c), (g), (h) and (j) apply.

<sup>3.</sup> The personal interview may also be omitted where

(b) it is not reasonably practicable, in particular where the ⇒ determining ⇔ competent authority is of the opinion that the applicant is unfit or unable to be interviewed owing to enduring circumstances beyond his/her control. When in doubt, ⇒ the determining authority shall consult a medical expert to establish whether the condition that makes the applicant unfit or unable to be interviewed is temporary or ⊃ of long-term nature ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ ⇔ Member States may require a medical or psychological certificate.

Where  $\boxtimes$  a personal interview is not conducted  $\bigotimes$  the Member State does not provide the applicant with the opportunity for a personal interview pursuant to <u>point (b)</u> this paragraph, or where applicable,  $\boxtimes$  with  $\bigotimes$  to the dependent, reasonable efforts shall be made to allow the applicant or the dependent to submit further information.

- 3.4. The absence of a personal interview in accordance with this Article shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum.
- <u>4.5.</u> The absence of a personal interview pursuant to paragraph 2(b) <del>and (c) and paragraph 3</del> shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority.
- 5.6. Irrespective of Article 28(1) 20(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Rightarrow$  asylum, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the personal interview, unless he/she had good reasons for the failure to appear.

## Article <u>15</u> <del>13</del>

#### **Requirements for a personal interview**

- 1. A personal interview shall normally take place without the presence of family members unless the determining authority considers it necessary for an appropriate examination to have other family members present.
- 2. A personal interview shall take place under conditions which ensure appropriate confidentiality.
- 3. Member States shall take appropriate steps to ensure that personal interviews are conducted under conditions which allow applicants to present the grounds for their applications in a comprehensive manner. To that end, Member States shall:
  - (a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview is sufficiently competent to take account of the <u>□ relevant</u> <u>□</u> personal <u>□</u> and <u>□</u> general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin <u>□</u>, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity <u>□</u> or vulnerability <u>□</u>...] <u>□</u>, insofar as it is possible to do so; and

↓ new

Council

(b) wherever possible, provide for the interview with the applicant to be conducted by a person of the same sex if the applicant concerned so requests ⊃ <u>unless</u> ⊂
 ⊃[...] ⊂ the request is ⊃[...] ⊂ based on discriminatory ⊃ grounds ⊂
 ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊂ ;

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

(cb) select ⊃\_an ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication ⊠ shall ⊲ need not necessarily take place in the language preferred by the applicant for asylum ⊠ unless ⊲ if there is another language which he/she may reasonably be supposed to understands and in which he/she is able to communicate ⇒ clearly ⇐. ⇒ Wherever possible, Member States shall provide an interpreter of the same sex if the applicant so requests ⊃\_unless ⊂ ⊃\_⊃[...] ⊂ the request is ⊃[...] ⊂ based on discriminatory ⊃ grounds ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊂ ⇐;

(d) ensure that the person who conducts an interview on the substance of an application for international protection does not wear a military or law enforcement uniform;

<sup>₽</sup> new

(e) ensure that interviews with minors are conducted in a child appropriate manner.

◆ 2005/85/EC

4. Member States may provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at a personal interview.

5. This Article is also applicable to the meeting referred to in Article 12(2)(b).

<sup>₽</sup> new

#### Article 16

## Content of a personal interview

When conducting a personal interview on the substance of an application for international protection, the determining authority shall ensure that the applicant is given an adequate opportunity to present elements needed to substantiate the application in accordance with Article 4 of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] as completely as possible. This shall include the opportunity to give an explanation regarding elements which may be missing and/or any inconsistencies or contradictions in his/her statements.

◆ 2005/85/EC

#### Article 14

#### Status of the report of a personal interview in the procedure

1	Momber States shall oncur that a written report is made of every personal interview.
1.	Wennoer States sharr ensure that a written report is made of every personal interview,
	containing at least the essential information regarding the application as presented by the
	containing at reast the essential information regarding the appreadon, as presented by the
	applicant in terms of Article 4(2) of Directive 2004/83/FC
	applicant, in terms of Article ((2) of Directive 2004/03/LC.

- 2. Member States shall ensure that applicants have timely access to the report of the personal interview. Where access is only granted after the decision of the determining authority, Member States shall ensure that access is possible as soon as necessary for allowing an appeal to be prepared and lodged in due time.
- Member States may request the applicant's approval of the contents of the report of the personal interview.

Where an applicant refuses to approve the contents of the report, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.

The refusal of an applicant to approve the contents of the report shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on his/her application.

4. This Article is also applicable to the meeting referred to in Article 12(2)(b).

↓ new◆ Council

#### Article 17

#### Report and recording of personal interviews

- Member States shall ensure that a thorough report containing all substantial elements is made of every personal interview.
- Member States may provide for audio or audio-visual recording of the personal interview.
   In this case, Member States shall ensure that the recording of the personal interview is
   <u>available in connection with the applicant's file</u> C ○[...] C.
- 3. Member States shall ensure that the applicant has the opportunity to make comments and/or provide clarifications with regard to any mistranslations or misconceptions appearing in the report, at the end of the personal interview or within a specified time limit before the determining authority takes a decision. To that end, Member States shall ensure that the applicant is fully informed of the content of the report, with the assistance of an interpreter if necessary. Member States shall then request the ⊃ acknowledgement ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ of the applicant on the content of the report.

Member States need not request the applicant's  $\bigcirc$  <u>acknowledgement</u>  $\bigcirc \bigcirc$  [...]  $\bigcirc$  on the content of the report if the interview is recorded in accordance with paragraph 2 and if the recording is admissible as evidence in procedures referred to in Chapter V.

4. Where an applicant refuses to  $\bigcirc$  <u>acknowledge</u>  $\bigcirc \bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$  <u>[...]</u>  $\bigcirc$  the content of the report, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.

The refusal of an applicant to  $\bigcirc$  acknowledge  $\bigcirc \bigcirc [...]$   $\bigcirc$  the content of the report shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application.

5. Applicants shall not be denied access to the report and, where applicable, the recording, before the determining authority takes a decision. ⊃ Where the application is determined in the framework provided for in Article 31(6), Member States may provide that access to the report is granted at the same time as the decision is made. ⊂

## Article 18

## Medical ⊃<u>examination</u> ⊂ ⊃<u>[...]</u>⊂

Mere the determining authority deems it relevant for the assessment of the applicant's request for international protection, in accordance with Article 4 of Directive
 [.../EU][Qualification Directive], C ⊃ [...] C ⊃ Member States ⊃ [...] C shall ⊃,
 subject to the applicant's consent, C ⊃ ⊃ [...] C arrange ⊃ [...] C for a medical examination C ⊃ of him/her ⊃ concerning signs that might result from past persecution or serious harm C ⊃ [...] C ⊂ ⊃ [...] C. Alternatively, Member States may ⊃ provide that C ⊃ [...] C the applicant ⊃ arranges C ⊃ [...] C for such a medical examination.

C The medical ○ examinations mentioned in subparagraph 1 C ○ [...] C shall be carried out by qualified medical professionals ○ and the result thereof C ○ [...] C shall be submitted to the determining authority ○ as soon as possible C. ○ Member States may designate the medical professionals who can carry out these medical examinations. C
 ○ The applicant's refusal to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection. C

Medical examinations carried out in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid for out of public funds.

- 2. Member States shall [...] ●, whenever relevant, ● inform applicants that they may on their own initiative and at their own cost arrange for ●a medical examination concerning signs that might result from past persecution or serious harm [...] ● .
- ⊃[...]℃
- ⊃[...]℃
- ⊃[...]℃
- ⊃[...]℃
- **\bigcirc** <u>3</u> **\bigcirc \bigcirc** <u> $[\dots]$ </u> **\bigcirc**. The results of medical examinations referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall be assessed by the determining authority along with other elements of the application.

# Article 19

## Provision of legal and procedural information free of charge in procedures at first instance

- 1. ○<u>In procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III</u> C Member States shall ensure that ○[...] C, on request, ○<u>applicants are provided with legal and procedural information free of charge</u> C ○[...] C. This shall include, at least, the provision of information on the procedure in the light of the applicant's particular circumstances.
  ○[...] C In C the event of a negative decision ○, Member States shall also, on request, provide applicants with information in addition to that given in accordance with Articles 11(2) and 12(1)(f) in order to clarify the reasons of such decision and explain how it can be challenged C ○[...] C.
- 2. The provision of legal and procedural information free of charge shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.

# Article 20

# Free legal assistance and representation in appeals procedures

✓ 2005/85/EC article 15(2)
 ⇒ new

<u>1.</u> In the event of a negative decision by the determining authority. Member States shall ensure that free legal assistance and for representation is granted on request subject to the provisions of paragraph 3 ⇒ in appeals procedures provided for in Chapter V. This shall include, at least, the preparation of the required procedural documents and participation in the hearing before the court or tribunal of first instance on behalf of the applicant. <</p>

<sup>↓</sup> new

Council

- 2. Member States may also provide free legal assistance and/or representation in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. In such cases, Article 19 shall not apply.
- 3. Member States may provide that free legal assistance and representation not be granted if the applicant's appeal is considered by a court or tribunal ⊃ or other competent <u>authority</u> ⊂ to have no tangible prospect of success.

Where a decision not to grant free legal assistance and representation pursuant to this paragraph is taken by an authority which is not a court or tribunal, Member States shall provide the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal against this decision.

In  $\bigcirc$  the application of this paragraph  $\bigcirc \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc$ , Member States shall ensure that legal assistance and representation is not arbitrarily restricted and that the applicant's effective access to justice is not hindered.

 Free legal assistance and representation shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.

# Article 21

# Conditions for the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge and free legal assistance and representation

Member States may provide that the legal and procedural information referred to in Article
 19 ⊃ is ⊂ ⊃ [...] ⊂ provided by non-governmental organisations, government officials, or specialised services of the State.

Free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 shall be provided by such persons as admitted or permitted under national law.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 15 (adapted)
 ⇒ new

Council

1. Member States shall allow applicants for asylum the opportunity, at their own cost, to consult in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications.

<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u> Member States may provide in their national legislation that  $\Rightarrow$  the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and  $\Leftrightarrow$  free legal assistance and <del>for</del> representation  $\boxtimes$  referred to in Article 20  $\bigotimes$  are  $\bigotimes$  is granted:

- (a) only for procedures before a court or tribunal in accordance with Chapter V and not for any onward appeals or reviews provided for under national law, including a rehearing of an appeal following an onward appeal or review; and/or
- (ab) only to those who lack sufficient resources; and/or
- (be) only ≥ through the services provided by ≤ to legal advisers or other counsellors specifically designated by national law to assist and/or represent applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum\_and/or

# 

- (c) only for first time appeals procedures in accordance with Chapter V before a court or tribunal and not for any onward appeals or reviews provided for under national law including rehearings or reviews of appeals.
- (d) only if the appeal or review is likely to succeed.

# Member States shall ensure that legal assistance and/or representation granted under point (d) is not arbitrarily restricted.

3.4. Rules concerning the modalities for filing and processing requests for ⇒ legal and procedural information under Article 19 and ⇔ legal assistance and <del>for</del> representation inder Article 20 ≪ may be provided by Member States.

- 4.5 Member States may also:
  - (a) impose monetary and/or time limits on the ⇒ provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and the ⇔ provision of free legal assistance and/or representation ∞ referred to in Article 20 ∞ , provided that such limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information and ⇔ legal assistance and/or representation;
  - (b) provide that, as regards fees and other costs, the treatment of applicants shall not be more favourable than the treatment generally accorded to their nationals in matters pertaining to legal assistance.
- 5.6. Member States may demand to be reimbursed wholly or partially for any expenses granted if and when the applicant's financial situation has improved considerably or if the decision to grant such benefits was taken on the basis of false information supplied by the applicant.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 15(1) (adapted)
 ⇒ new

#### Article 22

#### Right to legal assistance and representation at all stages of the procedure

1. Member States shall allow <u>Applicants</u> <u>applicants</u> for asylum Shall be given the opportunity, at their own cost, to consult S, at their own cost, in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their <del>asylum</del> applications for international protection, at all stages of the procedure, including following a negative decision .

<sup>↓</sup> new

 Member States may allow non-governmental organisations to provide legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection in procedures provided for in Chapter III and Chapter V.

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
 ⇒ new

#### Article <u>23</u> <del>16</del>

#### Scope of legal assistance and representation

1. Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, and who assists or represents an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum under the terms of national law, shall enjoy access to ∞ the ∞ such information in the applicant's file ⇒ upon which a decision is or will be made ⇔ as is liable to be examined by the authorities referred to in Chapter V, insofar as the information is relevant to the examination of the application.

Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or person(s) providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications for  $\underline{\text{of}} \Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow \underline{\text{asylum}}$  by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases,  $\boxtimes$  Member States shall:  $\bigotimes$ 

♣ new

Council

(a) ⊃ make access to the information or sources in question available to the authorities
 referred to in Chapter V; ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new

- Council
- (b) establish in national law procedures guaranteeing that the applicant's rights of defence are respected. In this respect, Member States may in particular grant access to the information or sources in question to a legal adviser or counsellor who has undergone a security check, insofar as the information is relevant to the examination of the application or taking a decision to withdraw international protection C
   [...] C;

2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant for asylum has access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant ⇒, in accordance with Article 10(4) and Article 18(2)(b) and (c) of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ⇔. Member States may only limit the possibility of visiting applicants in closed areas where such limitation is, by virtue of national legislation, objectively necessary for the security, public order or administrative management of the area, or in order to ensure an efficient examination of the application, provided that access by the legal adviser or other counsellor is not thereby severely limited or rendered impossible.

<sup>₽</sup> new

3. Member States shall allow the applicant to bring to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law.

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new

- <u>4.3.</u> Member States may provide rules covering the presence of legal advisers or other counsellors at all interviews in the procedure, without prejudice to this Article or to Article  $25(1)(b) \frac{17(1)(b)}{25(1)(b)}$ .
- 4. Member States may provide that the applicant is allowed to bring with him/her to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law.

Member States may require the presence of the applicant at the personal interview, even if he/she is represented under the terms of national law by such a legal adviser or counsellor, and may require the applicant to respond in person to the questions asked.

The absence of a legal adviser or other counsellor shall not prevent the competent authority from conducting the personal interview with the applicant  $\Rightarrow$ , without prejudice to Article  $25(1)(b) \Leftrightarrow$ .

<sup>↓</sup> new		
Council		

# Article 24

# Applicants in need of special procedural guarantees

① [...] C Member States shall ② endeavour to identify applicants in need of C ○ [...] C
 special procedural ○ guarantees before a first instance decision is taken. C ○ [...] C

# [...]**C**

2. Member States shall ⊃ ensure that ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊃ where applicants have been identified to be in need of special procedural guarantees, they are provided with adequate support in order to allow them to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations of this Directive ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ throughout ⊃ the duration of ⊂ the asylum procedure ⊃[...] ⊂.

€[...]

⊃<u>[...]</u>C.

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

*Article* <u>25</u> <del>17</del>

#### **Guarantees for unaccompanied minors**

- 1. With respect to all procedures provided for in this Directive and without prejudice to the provisions of Articles  $\underline{14} \Rightarrow$ , 15, 16,  $\Leftrightarrow \underline{\text{and } 17} \underline{12 \text{ and } 14}$ , Member States shall:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> OJ L 31, 6.2.2003, p. 18.

(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied minor about the meaning and possible consequences of the personal interview and, where appropriate, how to prepare himself/herself for the personal interview. Member States shall ⇒ ensure that ⇔ allow ≥ a ⊗ the representative ⇒ and/or a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted as such under national law are ⇔ to be present at that interview and ⇒ have an opportunity ⇔ to ask questions or make comments, within the framework set by the person who conducts the interview.

Member States may require the presence of the unaccompanied minor at the personal interview, even if the representative is present.

- Member States may refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor: (a) will in all likelihood reach the age of ⇒ 18 years ⇔ maturity before a decision at first instance is taken: or
  - (b) can avail himself, free of charge, of a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted as such under national law to fulfil the tasks assigned above to the representative; or

#### (c) is married or has been married.

3. Member States may, in accordance with the laws and regulations in force on 1 December 2005, also refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor is 16 years old or older, unless he/she is unable to pursue his/her application without a representative.

- <u>3.4.</u> Member States shall ensure that:
  - (a) if an unaccompanied minor has a personal interview on his/her application for
     ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum as referred to in Articles 14, 15, ⇒ 16, ⇔ 17,
     and ⇒ 34 ⇔ 12, 13 and 14, that interview is conducted by a person who has the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors;
  - (b) an official with the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors prepares the decision by the determining authority on the application of an unaccompanied minor.

↓ new⇒ Council

Unaccompanied minors, together with the representative, shall be provided, free of charge, with legal and procedural information as referred to in Article 19 also for the procedures for the withdrawal of international protection ⊃[...] ⊂ provided for in Chapter IV.

<b>↓</b> 2005/85/EC	
⇔ new	
➡ Council	

5. Member States may use medical examinations to determine the age of unaccompanied minors within the framework of the examination of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum ⇒ where, following general statements or other relevant ⊃ indications ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂, Member States ⊃[...] ⊂ have doubts concerning the applicant's age. If ⊃[...] ⊂ ⊃ thereafter ⊂ ⊃ [...] ⊂ , Member States ⊃ are still in doubt concerning the applicant's age, they ⊂ shall assume that the applicant is a minor ⇔.

<sup>₽</sup> new

Council

Any medical examination shall be performed in full respect of the individual's dignity,

selecting the  $\bigcirc$  <u>least</u>  $\bigcirc \bigcirc [...]$   $\bigcirc$  invasive  $\bigcirc$  <u>examination</u>  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc [...]$   $\bigcirc$ .

◆ 2005/85/EC (adapted)	
⇔ new	
➡ Council	

In cases where medical examinations are used, Member States shall ensure that:

- (a) unaccompanied minors are informed prior to the examination of their application for 
   ⇒ international protection 
   <del>asylum</del>, and in a language 
   <u>that</u> 
   <u>c</u> 
   <u>final</u> 
   <u>c</u> they
   <u>may reasonably be supposed to</u>
   understand 
   <u>or are reasonably supposed to</u>
   <u>understand</u> 
   <u>c</u>, of the possibility that their age may be determined by medical
   examination. This shall include information on the method of examination and the
   possible consequences of the result of the medical examination for the examination
   of the application for 
   <del>international protection</del> 
   <del>asylum</del>, as well as the
   consequences of refusal on the part of the unaccompanied minor to undergo the
   medical examination;
- (b) unaccompanied minors and/or their representatives consent to earry out an examination being carried out to determine the age of the minors concerned; and
- (c) the decision to reject an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum from an unaccompanied minor who refused to undergo this medical examination shall not be based solely on that refusal.

The fact that an unaccompanied minor has refused to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Rightarrow$  asylum.

₽ new
Council

**↓** 2005/85/EC

 $\underline{7. \oplus}$  The best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration for Member States when implementing this Article.

◆ 2005/85/EC (adapted)

⇔ new

Council

# Detention

- Member States shall not hold a person in detention for the sole reason that he/she is an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum. ⇒ Grounds and conditions of detention as well as guarantees available to detained applicants for international protection shall be in accordance with Directive [.../.../EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ⇔.
- Where an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum is held in detention, Member States shall ensure that there is a possibility of speedy judicial review ⇒ in accordance with Directive [.../.../EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ⇔.

⊃[...]C

### Article <u>27</u> <del>19</del>

#### Procedure in $\boxtimes$ the $\bigotimes$ case of withdrawal of the application

- Insofar as Member States provide for the possibility of explicit withdrawal of the application under national law, when an applicant for asylum explicitly withdraws his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or reject the application.
- 2. Member States may also decide that the determining authority can decide to discontinue the examination without taking a decision. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority enters a notice in the applicant's file.

# Article <u>28</u> <del><u>20</u></del>

#### Procedure in the case of implicit withdrawal or abandonment of the application

1. When there is reasonable cause to consider that an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or ⇒, provided that the determining authority considers the application to be unfounded on the basis of an adequate examination of its substance in line with Article 4 of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⊃ [...] ⊂, ⇔
○ [...] ⊂ reject the application on the basis that the applicant has not established an entitlement to refugee status in accordance with Directive 2004/83/EC. ⊃ [...] ⊂

Member States may assume that the applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  asylum in particular when it is ascertained that:

- (a) he/she has failed to respond to requests to provide information essential to his/her application in terms of Article 4 of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC or has not appeared for an personal interview as provided for in Articles 14, 15, ⇒ 16 ⇔ and 17 12, 13 and 14 ∞ of this Directive ∞ , unless the applicant demonstrates within a reasonable time that his/her failure was due to circumstances beyond his/her control;
- (b) he/she has absconded or left without authorisation the place where he/she lived or was held, without contacting the competent authority within a reasonable time, or he/she has not within a reasonable time complied with reporting duties or other obligations to communicate.

For the purposes of implementing these provisions, Member States may lay down time limits or guidelines.

2. Member States shall ensure that the applicant who reports again to the competent authority after a decision to discontinue as referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article is taken, is entitled to request that his/her case be reopened ⇒ or entitled to make a new application which shall not be subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41 ⇔ , unless the request is examined in accordance with Articles 32 and 34.

Member States may provide for a time limit  $\Rightarrow$  of at least  $\bigcirc 6 \text{ months } \bigcirc \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc \Leftrightarrow$  after which the applicant's case can no longer be re-opened  $\Rightarrow$  or the new application may be treated as a subsequent application and subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41.  $\Leftrightarrow \bigcirc$  Member States may provide that the applicant's case may be reopened only once.  $\bigcirc$ .

Member States shall ensure that such a person is not removed contrary to the principle of non-*refoulement*.

Member States may allow the determining authority to take up the examination at the stage where  $\underline{\text{the}}$  it was discontinued.

↓ new

⊃[...]℃

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

#### Article <u>29</u> <del>21</del>

#### The role of UNHCR

- 1. Member States shall allow the UNHCR:
  - (a) to have access to applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, including those in detention ⇒, at the border and ⇔ ∞ in the ∞ and in airport or port transit zones;
  - (b) to have access to information on individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, on the course of the procedure and on the decisions taken, provided that the applicant for asylum agrees thereto;
  - (c) to present its views, in the exercise of its supervisory responsibilities under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention, to any competent authorities regarding individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum at any stage of the procedure.
- Paragraph 1 shall also apply to an organisation which is working in the territory of the Member State concerned on behalf of the UNHCR pursuant to an agreement with that Member State.

### *Article* <u>30</u> <del>22</del>

#### Collection of information on individual cases

For the purposes of examining individual cases, Member States shall not:

- (a) directly disclose information regarding individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, or the fact that an application has been made, to the alleged actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ of the applicant for asylum;
- (b) obtain any information from the alleged actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ in a manner that would result in such actor(s) being directly informed of the fact that an application has been made by the applicant in question, and would jeopardise the physical integrity of the applicant and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.

# **CHAPTER III**

# **PROCEDURES AT FIRST INSTANCE**

# SECTION I

Article <u>31</u> <del>23</del>

#### **Examination procedure**

- Member States shall process applications for 
   international protection 
   <del>asylum</del> in an
   examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of
   Chapter II.
- Member States shall ensure that such a procedure is concluded as soon as possible, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination <a><u>● and within six months after the</u></a> application is lodged <a></a>.

❑ In cases in which an application is subject to the procedure laid down in Regulation (EU) [.../...] [the Dublin Regulation], the time limit of six months shall start to run from the moment the Member State responsible for its examination is determined in conformity with that Regulation, the applicant is on the territory of that Member State and has been taken in charge by the competent authority.

↓ new◆ Council

#### 3. ⊃<u>[...]</u>C

○ [...] C Member States may extend ○ that C ○ [...] C ○ [...] C time limit ○ of six months C for a period not exceeding a further ○ twelve C ○ [...] C months, where:

(a) complex issues of fact and  $\bigcirc$  <u>/or</u>  $\bigcirc$  law are involved;

- (b) a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection which makes it ⊃ very difficult ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ in practice to conclude the procedure within the six-month time-limit;
- (c) where the delay can clearly be attributed to the failure of the applicant to comply with his/her obligations under Article 13.

Member States may postpone concluding the procedure where the determining authority cannot reasonably be expected to decide within the time limits laid down in this paragraph due to an uncertain situation in the country of origin which is expected to be temporary.

◆ 2005/85/EC ⇒ new

- <u>4.</u> Member States shall ensure that, where a decision cannot be taken within six months, the applicant concerned shall either:
  - (a) be informed of the delay;  $\Rightarrow$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  or
  - (b) receive, upon his/her request, information on the ⇒ reasons for the delay and the time-frame within which the decision on his/her application is to be expected. Such information shall not constitute an obligation for the Member State towards the applicant concerned to take a decision within that time-frame.

<sup>₽</sup> new

⊃<u>[...]</u>C

**↓** 2005/85/EC

⇒ new

Council

<u>5.</u> <u>3.</u> Member States may prioritise or accelerate any <u>an</u> examination ⇒ of an application for international protection ⇔ in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II ⊃ in particular ⊂ , including where the application is likely to be well founded or where the applicant has special needs:

↓ new◆ Council

## (a) where the application is likely to be well founded;

(b) where the applicant is vulnerable within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive
 [.../.../EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive], or is in need of special procedural guarantees, in particular unaccompanied minors ⊃[...] ⊂.

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

- <u>6. 4</u>: Member States may also provide that an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II be prioritised or accelerated  $\Rightarrow$  and/or conducted at the border in accordance with Article 43  $\Leftarrow$  if:
  - (a) the applicant, in submitting his/her application and presenting the facts, has only raised issues that are not relevant or of minimal relevance to the examination of whether he/she qualifies as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔ by virtue of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC; or
  - (b) the applicant clearly does not qualify as a refugee or for refugee status in a Member State under Directive 2004/83/EC; or

#### (e) the application for asylum is considered to be unfounded:

- (<u>bi</u>) because the applicant is from a safe country of origin within the meaning of <u>this</u> <u>Directive</u> <u>Articles 29, 30 and 31,</u> or
- (ii) because the country which is not a Member State, is considered to be a safe third country for the applicant, without prejudice to Article 28(1); or
- (<u>c</u><u>e</u>) the applicant has misled the authorities by presenting false information or documents or by withholding relevant information or documents with respect to his/her identity and/or nationality that could have had a negative impact on the decision; or

⊃[...]C

#### (e) the applicant has filed another application for asylum stating other personal data; or

- (<u>d</u>f) the applicant has not produced information establishing with a reasonable degree of certainty his/her identity or nationality, or it is likely that, in bad faith,  $\boxtimes$  the applicant  $\bigotimes$  he/she has destroyed or disposed of an identity or travel document that would have helped establish his/her identity or nationality; or
- (eg) the applicant has made ⊃ <u>clearly inconsistent and contradictory</u>, ⊂ <u>inconsistent</u>, contradictory, ⇒ clearly false or obviously ⇔ improbable or insufficient representations ⇒ which contradict sufficiently verified country-of-origin information, ⇔ ⊗ thus making ⊗ <del>which make</del> his/her claim clearly unconvincing in relation to ⇒ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ <u>his/her having being the object of persecution</u> referred to in Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] <u>Directive</u> <u>2004/83/EC</u>; or

- (e1) The applicant has introduced a subsequent application for international
   protection that is not inadmissable in accordance with Article 40(5); or 
   C
- (h) the applicant has submitted a subsequent application which does not raise any relevant new elements with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin; or
- (i) the applicant has failed without reasonable cause to make his/her application earlier, having had opportunity to do so; or
- (<u>f</u>) the applicant is making an application merely in order to delay or frustrate the enforcement of an earlier or imminent decision which would result in his/her removal; or
- (k) the applicant has failed without good reason to comply with obligations referred to in Article 4(1) and (2) of Directive 2004/83/EC or in Articles11(2)(a) and (b) and 20(1) of this Directive; or
- (1) the applicant entered the territory of the Member State unlawfully or prolonged his/her stay unlawfully and, without good reason, has either not presented himself/herself to the authorities and/or filed an application for asylum as soon as possible, given the circumstances of his/her entry; or
- (f1) the applicant entered the territory of the Member State unlawfully or prolonged his/her stay unlawfully and, without good reason, has either not presented himself/herself to the authorities and/or filed an application for asylum as soon as possible, given the circumstances of his/her entry; or

- the applicant refuses to comply with an obligation to have his/her fingerprints
   taken in accordance with Regulation (EU) [.../...] [EURODAC Regulation], or 
   C
- (gm) the applicant ⇒ may for serious reasons be considered ⇐ is a danger to the national security or public order of the Member State, or the applicant has been forcibly expelled for serious reasons of public security ∞ or ∞ and public order under national law.
- (n) the applicant refuses to comply with an obligation to have his/her fingerprints taken in accordance with relevant Community and/or national legislation; or
- (o) the application was made by an unmarried minor to whom Article 6(4)(c) applies, after the application of the parents or parent responsible for the minor has been rejected and no relevant new elements were raised with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin.

↓ new
◆ Council

7. Member States ⊃<u>may</u> ⊂ ⊃[...] ⊂ lay down ⊃[...] ⊂ time limits for the adoption of a decision in the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6 ⊃[...] ⊂. ⊃<u>Those</u>
 <u>time limits shall be reasonable.</u> ⊂

8. The fact that an application for international protection was submitted after an irregular entry into the territory or at the border, including in transit zones, ⊃[...] ⊂ shall not *per se* entail an automatic recourse to the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6.

**↓** 2005/85/EC

#### Article 24

#### Specific procedures

 Member States may provide for the following specific procedures derogating from the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II:

- (a) a preliminary examination for the purposes of processing cases considered within the framework set out in Section IV;
- (b) procedures for the purposes of processing cases considered within the framework set out in Section V.

Member States may also provide a derogation in respect of Section VI.

 $\frac{2}{2}$ 

✓ 2005/85/EC article 28
⇒ new
⇒ Council

### Article 32

### **Unfounded** applications

- Without prejudice to Articles 27 19 and 20, Member States may only consider an application for asylum as unfounded if the determining authority has established that the applicant does not qualify for ⇒ international protection ⇒ refugee status pursuant to Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC.
- 2. In the cases mentioned in Article 23(4)(b) and In in cases of unfounded applications for asylum in which any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6) ⇒ (a) to (f ⊃ 2 ⊂ ) ⇔ 23(4)(a) and (c) to (o) apply, Member States may also consider an application as manifestly unfounded, where it is defined as such in the national legislation.

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new
 ⇒ Council

# SECTION II

Article <u>33</u> <del>25</del>

### Inadmissible applications

In addition to cases in which an application is not examined in accordance with Regulation (EU) No [.../...] [the Dublin Regulation] (EC) No 343/2003, Member States are not required to examine whether the applicant qualifies ⇒ for international protection ⇔ as a refugee in accordance with Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC where an application is considered inadmissible pursuant to this Article.

- Member States may consider an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum as inadmissible ⇒ only ⇔ pursuant to this Article if:
  - (a) another Member State has granted  $\bigcirc$  international protection  $\bigcirc \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc$ ;
  - (b) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a first country of asylum for the applicant, pursuant to Article  $35 \frac{26}{26}$ ;
  - (c) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a safe third country for the applicant, pursuant to Article  $\underline{38} \underline{27}$ ;
  - (d) the applicant is allowed to remain in the Member State concerned on some other grounds and as result of this he/she has been granted a status equivalent to the rights and benefits of the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC;
  - (c) the applicant is allowed to remain in the territory of the Member State concerned on some other grounds which protect him/her against refoulement pending the outcome of a procedure for the determination of status pursuant to point (d);

- (df) ⇒ the application is a subsequent application, where no new elements or findings relating to the examination of whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] have arisen or have been presented by the applicant the applicant has lodged an identical application after a final decision;
- ( $\underline{\underline{e}}$ ) a dependant of the applicant lodges an application, after he/she has in accordance with Article  $\underline{7(2)} \underline{\underline{6(3)}}$  consented to have his/her case be part of an application made on his/her behalf, and there are no facts relating to the dependant's situation, which justify a separate application.

₽ newCouncil

Article 34

# Special rules on an admissibility interview

1. Member States shall allow applicants to present their views with regard to the application of the grounds referred to in Article 33 in their particular circumstances before a decision to consider an application inadmissible is taken. To that end, Member States shall conduct a personal interview on the admissibility of the application. Member States may make an exception only in accordance with Article 42 in the case of a subsequent application.

↓ 2005/85/EC

⇔ new

# SECTION III

Article <u>35</u> <del>26</del>

#### The concept of first country of asylum

A country can be considered to be a first country of asylum for a particular applicant for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Rightarrow$  asylum if:

- (a) he/she has been recognised in that country as a refugee and he/she can still avail himself/herself of that protection, or
- (b) he/she otherwise enjoys sufficient protection in that country, including benefiting from the principle of non-*refoulement*,

provided that he/she will be re-admitted to that country.

In applying the concept of first country of asylum to the particular circumstances of an applicant for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Rightarrow$  asylum, Member States may take into account Article <u>38(1)</u> <u>27(1)</u>.

 $\Rightarrow$  The applicant shall be allowed to challenge the application of the first country of asylum concept in his/her particular circumstances.  $\Leftarrow$ 

♥ 2005/85/EC (adapted) ♥ 2005/85/EC ● ...] © ♥ 2005/85/EC (adapted)

⊃[...]℃

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new

#### 

#### The safe country of origin concept

- - (a) he/she has the nationality of that country; or
  - (b) he/she is a stateless person and was formerly habitually resident in that country;

and he/she has not submitted any serious grounds for considering the country not to be a safe country of origin in his/her particular circumstances and in terms of his/her qualification as a refugee  $\Rightarrow$  or a person eligible for subsidiary protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  in accordance with Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC.

- Member States shall, in accordance with paragraph 1, consider the application for asylum as unfounded where the third country is designated as safe pursuant to Article 29.
- $2 \ge 3 \ge 3$  Member States shall lay down in national legislation further rules and modalities for the application of the safe country of origin concept.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 30
 ⇒ new

## Article 37

## National designation of third countries as safe countries of origin

- Without prejudice to Article 29. Member States may retain or introduce legislation that allows, in accordance with Annex III, for the national designation of third countries other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purposes of examining applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum. This may include designation of part of a country as safe where the conditions in Annex II are fulfilled in relation to that part.
- 2. By derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of third countries, other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purposes of examining applications for asylum where they are satisfied that persons in the third countries concerned are generally neither subject to:

(a) persecution as defined in Article 9 of Directive 2004/83/EC; nor

(b) torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

- 3. Member States may also retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of part of a country as safe, or a country or part of a country as safe for a specified group of persons in that country, where the conditions in paragraph 2 are fulfilled in relation to that part or group.
- 4. In assessing whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 3, Member States shall have regard to the legal situation, the application of the law and the general political circumstances in the third country concerned.

↓ new

2. Member States shall ensure a regular review of the situation in third countries designated as safe in accordance with this Article.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 30
 ⇒ new

- 3.5 The assessment of whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with this Article shall be based on a range of sources of information, including in particular information from other Member States, ⇒ the European Asylum Support Office, ⇔ the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.
- <u>4.6.</u> Member States shall notify to the Commission the countries that are designated as safe countries of origin in accordance with this Article.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 27
 ⇒ new

## Article 38

## The safe third country concept

- Member States may apply the safe third country concept only where the competent authorities are satisfied that a person seeking ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum will be treated in accordance with the following principles in the third country concerned:
  - (a) life and liberty are not threatened on account of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion;

₿ new

(b) there is no risk of serious harm as defined in Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive];

- (<u>c</u>) the principle of non-refoulement in accordance with the Geneva Convention is respected;
- (de) the prohibition of removal, in violation of the right to freedom from torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment as laid down in international law, is respected; and
- (ed) the possibility exists to request refugee status and, if found to be a refugee, to receive protection in accordance with the Geneva Convention.
- 2. The application of the safe third country concept shall be subject to rules laid down in national legislation, including:
  - (a) rules requiring a connection between the person seeking ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum and the third country concerned on the basis of which it would be reasonable for that person to go to that country;
  - (b) rules on the methodology by which the competent authorities satisfy themselves that the safe third country concept may be applied to a particular country or to a particular applicant. Such methodology shall include case-by-case consideration of the safety of the country for a particular applicant and/or national designation of countries considered to be generally safe;

- (c) rules in accordance with international law, allowing an individual examination of whether the third country concerned is safe for a particular applicant which, as a minimum, shall permit the applicant to challenge the application of the safe third country concept on the grounds that ⇒ that the third country is not safe in his/her particular circumstances ⇐ he/she would be subjected to torture, cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. ➡ The applicant shall also be allowed to challenge the existence of a connection between him/her and the third country in accordance with point (a). ⇐
- 3. When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, Member States shall:
  - (a) inform the applicant accordingly; and
  - (b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.
- Where the third country does not permit the applicant for ⇒ international protection <=</li>
   asylum to enter its territory, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.
- 5. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.

✓ 2005/85/EC article 36
 ⇒ new

## Article 39

## The European safe third <u>country</u> <del>countries</del> concept

- 2. A third country can only be considered as a safe third country for the purposes of paragraph 1 where:
  - (a) it has ratified and observes the provisions of the Geneva Convention without any geographical limitations;
  - (b) it has in place an asylum procedure prescribed by law; <u>and</u>
  - (c) it has ratified the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and observes its provisions, including the standards relating to effective remedies<u>- and</u>

(d) it has been so designated by the Council in accordance with paragraph 3.

- 3. The Council shall, acting by qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament, adopt or amend a common list of third countries that shall be regarded as safe third countries for the purposes of paragraph 1.
- <u>43</u>. The Member States concerned shall lay down in national law the modalities for implementing the provisions of paragraph 1 and the consequences of decisions pursuant to those provisions in accordance with the principle of non-refoulement under the Geneva Convention, including providing for exceptions from the application of this Article for humanitarian or political reasons or for reasons of public international law.
- <u>54</u>. When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, the Member States concerned shall:
  - (a) inform the applicant accordingly; and
  - (b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.

<u>65</u>. Where the safe third country does not re-admit the applicant for asylum, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.

₿ new

6. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.

7. Member States which have designated third countries as safe countries in accordance with national legislation in force on 1 December 2005 and on the basis of the criteria in paragraph 2(a), (b) and (c), may apply paragraph 1 to these third countries until the Council has adopted the common list pursuant to paragraph 3.

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new

## SECTION IV

Article <u>40</u> <del>32</del>

## Subsequent application

1. Where a person who has applied for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in a Member State makes further representations or a subsequent application in the same Member State, that Member State ⇒ shall ⇔ may examine these further representations or the elements of the subsequent application in the framework of the examination of the previous application or in the framework of the examination of the decision under review or appeal, insofar as the competent authorities can take into account and consider all the elements underlying the further representations or subsequent application within this framework.

 Moreover, Member States may apply a specific procedure as referred to in paragraph 3, where a person makes a subsequent application for asylum:

(a) after his/her previous application has been withdrawn or abandoned by virtue of Articles 19 or 20;

- (b) after a decision has been taken on the previous application. Member States may also decide to apply this procedure only after a final decision has been taken.
- 2. 3. ⇒ For the purpose of taking a decision on the admissibility of an application for international protection pursuant to Article 33(2)(d), ⇔ a A subsequent application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum shall be subject first to a preliminary examination as to whether , after the withdrawal of the previous application or after the decision referred to in paragraph 2(b) of this Article on this application has been reached, new elements or findings ⊗ have arisen or have been presented by the applicant which relate ⊗ relating to the examination of whether ⊗ the applicant ⊗ heve arisen arefugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔ by virtue of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC have arisen or have been presented by the applicant.

- 3.4. If, following the preliminary examination referred to in paragraph 2 3 of this Article
  Source concludes that Source elements or findings Source arisen or Source been Source are presented by the applicant which significantly add to the likelihood of the applicant qualifying as a refugee Source or a person eligible for subsidiary protection Source by virtue of Directive Source [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] Source Source are application shall be further examined in conformity with Chapter II. So Member States may also provide for other reasons for a subsequent application to be further examined. Source Sou
- 5. Member States may, in accordance with national legislation, further examine a subsequent application where there are other reasons why a procedure has to be re-opened.
- <u>4.6.</u> Member States may decide to further examine the application only if the applicant concerned was, through no fault of his/her own, incapable of asserting the situations set forth in paragraphs <u>2 and 3</u> <u>3.4 and 5</u> of this Article in the previous procedure, in particular by exercising his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to Article <u>46</u> <u>39</u>.

↓ new

5. When a subsequent application is not further examined pursuant to this Article, it shall be considered inadmissible, in accordance with Article 33(2)(d).

<u>6.7</u> The procedure referred to in this Article may also be applicable in the case of:

- (a) a dependant who lodges an application after he/she has, in accordance with Article  $\underline{7(2)} \xrightarrow{6(3)}$ , consented to have his/her case be part of an application made on his/her behalf  $\Rightarrow$ , and/or  $\Leftarrow$
- (b)  $\Rightarrow$  an unmarried minor who lodges an application after an application has been made on his/her behalf pursuant to Article 7(5)(c)  $\Leftarrow$ .

In  $\Rightarrow$  those cases  $\Leftrightarrow$  this case, the preliminary examination referred to in paragraph  $2 \ge ef$ this Article will consist of examining whether there are facts relating to the dependant's  $\Rightarrow$  or the unmarried minor's  $\Leftrightarrow$  situation which justify a separate application.

<sup>↓</sup> new

Council

○ [...] C ○ 7. Where a person with regard to whom a transfer decision has to be enforced pursuant to Regulation (EU)[.../...][the Dublin Regulation] makes further representations or a subsequent application in the transferring Member State, those representations or subsequent applications shall be examined by the responsible Member State, as defined in Regulation (EU) [.../...][the Dublin Regulation], in accordance with this Directive. C

## Article 41

## Specific rules following the rejection or inadmissibility of a subsequent application

Where  $\bigcirc, \bigcirc \bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$  after a final decision to consider  $\bigcirc \_a \bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$  subsequent  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$ application inadmissible pursuant to Article 40(5) or after a final decision to reject  $\bigcirc$  that  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$  application as unfounded,  $\bigcirc \_a$  person makes  $\bigcirc$  another  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc \bigcirc$  request  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$  for international protection in the same Member State, Member States need not grant the right to remain in the territory  $\bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$ , provided the determining authority  $\bigcirc$  considers  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$  that a return decision will not lead to direct or indirect *refoulement* in violation of international and Union obligations of that Member State.  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \_...] \bigcirc$ 

⊃[...]C

## ➡ Member States may also:

- (○ <u>a</u> C [...] C) provide that the examination procedure be accelerated in accordance with Article 31(6)(f); in such case, Member States may also derogate from the time limits normally applicable in accelerated procedures, in accordance with national legislation <u>and/or</u> C ,
- (○ b C [...] C) derogate from the time limits normally applicable to admissibility procedures provided for in Articles 33 and 34, in accordance with national legislation.

**↓** 2005/85/EC

## Article 33

#### Failure to appear

Member States may retain or adopt the procedure provided for in Article 32 in the case of an application for asylum filed at a later date by an applicant who, either intentionally or owing to gross negligence, fails to go to a reception centre or appear before the competent authorities at a specified time.

Article <u>42</u> <u>34</u>

## **Procedural rules**

1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  asylum whose application is subject to a preliminary examination pursuant to Article <u>40</u> <u>32</u> enjoy the guarantees provided for in Article <u>12(1)</u> <u>10(1)</u>.

- 2. Member States may lay down in national law rules on the preliminary examination pursuant to Article  $40 \frac{32}{22}$ . Those rules may, *inter alia*:
  - (a) oblige the applicant concerned to indicate facts and substantiate evidence which justify a new procedure;

## (b) require submission of the new information by the applicant concerned within a time limit after he/she obtained such information;

(be) permit the preliminary examination to be conducted on the sole basis of written submissions without a personal interview ⇒, with the exception of cases referred to in Article 40(6) ⇔.

 $\boxtimes$  Those rules  $\bigotimes$  The conditions shall not render impossible the access of applicants for a sylum to a new procedure or result in the effective annulment or severe curtailment of such access.

3. Member States shall ensure that  $\pm$ 

<u>(a)</u> the applicant is informed in an appropriate manner of the outcome of the preliminary examination and, in case the application will not be further examined, of the reasons for this and the possibilities for seeking an appeal or review of the decision.

(b) if one of the situations referred to in Article 32(2) applies, the determining authority shall further examine the subsequent application in conformity with the provisions of Chapter II as soon as possible.

## SECTION V

## 

#### **Border procedures**

- Member States may provide for procedures, in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II, in order to decide at the border or transit zones of the Member State on:
  - (a)  $\Rightarrow$  the admissibility of an  $\Leftrightarrow$  application  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\Rightarrow$ , pursuant to Article 33,  $\Leftrightarrow$  made at such locations;  $\Rightarrow$  and/or  $\Leftrightarrow$

↓ new

(b) the substance of an application in a procedure pursuant to Article 31(6).

◆ 2005/85/EC (adapted)

⇔ new

2. However, when procedures as set out in paragraph 1 do not exist, Member States may maintain, subject to the provisions of this Article and in accordance with the laws or regulations in force on 1 December 2005, procedures derogating from the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II, in order to decide at the border or in transit zones as to whether applicants for asylum who have arrived and made an application for asylum at such locations, may enter their territory.

- (a) are allowed to remain at the border or transit zones of the Member State, without prejudice to Article 7;
- (b) are be immediately informed of their rights and obligations, as described in Article 10(1) (a);
- (c) have access, if necessary, to the services of an interpreter, as described in Article 10(1)(b);
- (d) are interviewed, before the competent authority takes a decision in such procedures, in relation to their application for asylum by persons with appropriate knowledge of the relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law, as described in Articles 12, 13 and 14;
- (c) can consult a legal adviser or counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, as described in Article 15(1); and
- (f) have a representative appointed in the case of unaccompanied minors, as described in Article 17(1), unless Article 17(2) or (3) applies.

Moreover, in case permission to enter is refused by a competent authority, this competent authority shall state the reasons in fact and in law why the application for asylum is considered as unfounded or as inadmissible.

- <u>2.4.</u> Member States shall ensure that a decision in the framework of the procedures provided for in paragraph  $\underline{1} \cong$  is taken within a reasonable time. When a decision has not been taken within four weeks, the applicant for asylum shall be granted entry to the territory of the Member State in order for his/her application to be processed in accordance with the other provisions of this Directive.
- 3.5. In the event of particular types of arrivals, or arrivals involving a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons lodging applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum at the border or in a transit zone, which makes it practically impossible ⇒ in practice ≪ to apply there the provisions of paragraph 1 or the specific procedure set out in paragraphs 2 and 3, those procedures may also be applied where and for as long as these third country nationals or stateless persons are accommodated normally at locations in proximity to the border or transit zone.

↓ 2005/85/EC

⊃[...]℃

**↓** 2005/85/EC

⇒ new

Council

# **CHAPTER IV**

# PROCEDURES FOR THE WITHDRAWAL OF $\Rightarrow$ INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION $\Leftarrow$ REFUGEE $\bigcirc [...] \bigcirc$

## Withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇐ refugee status

Member States shall ensure that an examination to withdraw the  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$ refugee status of a particular person may commence when new elements or findings arise indicating that there are reasons to reconsider the validity of his/her  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  refugee status.

## Article <u>45</u> <u>38</u>

## **Procedural rules**

- Member States shall ensure that, where the competent authority is considering withdrawing the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status of a third country national or stateless person in accordance with Article 14 ⇒ or Article 19 ⇔ of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC, the person concerned shall enjoys the following guarantees:
  - (a) to be informed in writing that the competent authority is reconsidering his or her qualification for ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status and the reasons for such a reconsideration; and
  - (b) to be given the opportunity to submit, in a personal interview in accordance with Article  $\underline{12(1)(b)} \underbrace{\underline{10(1)(b)}}_{\underline{10(1)(b)}}$  and Articles  $\underline{14, 15}$ ,  $\Rightarrow 16 \Leftrightarrow \underline{\text{and } 17} \underbrace{\underline{12, 13 \text{ and } 14}}_{\underline{12, 13 \text{ and } 14}}$  or in a written statement, reasons as to why his/her  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  refugee status should not be withdrawn.

In addition, Member States shall ensure that within the framework of such a procedure:

(a) the competent authority is able to obtain precise and up-to-date information from various sources, such as, where appropriate, from 
 ⇒ the European Asylum Support
 Office and 
 the UNHCR, as to the general situation prevailing in the countries of
 origin of the persons concerned; and

- (b) where information on an individual case is collected for the purposes of reconsidering the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status, it is not obtained from the actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ in a manner that would result in such actor(s) being directly informed of the fact that the person concerned is a ⇒ beneficiary of international protection ⇔ refugee whose status is under reconsideration, nor jeopardise the physical integrity of the person and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.
- 2. Member States shall ensure that the decision of the competent authority to withdraw the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status is given in writing. The reasons in fact and in law shall be stated in the decision and information on how to challenge the decision shall be given in writing.
- 3. Once the competent authority has taken the decision to withdraw the  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Rightarrow$  refugee status, Article <u>20</u> <u>15, paragraph 2</u>,  $\Rightarrow$  Article 22  $\Leftrightarrow$ , Article <u>23(1)</u> <u>16</u>, <u>paragraph 1</u> and Article <u>29</u> <u>21</u> are equally applicable.
- 4. By derogation to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article, Member States may decide that the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee ●[...] © shall lapse by law in case of cessation in accordance with Article 11(1)(a) to (d) of Directive 2004/83/EC or if the ⇒ beneficiary of international protection ⇔ refugee has unequivocally renounced his/her recognition as a ⇒ beneficiary of international protection ⇔ refugee. ⇒ Member States may also provide that the international protection status shall lapse by law where the beneficiary of international protection has become a citizen of that Member State. ⇔

## **CHAPTER V**

## **APPEALS PROCEDURES**

## Article <u>46</u> <del>39</del>

## The right to an effective remedy

- Member States shall ensure that applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum have the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal, against the following:
  - (a) a decision taken on their application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ , including a decision:

↓ new

 to consider an application unfounded in relation to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status,

Υ	2005/85/EC
⇒	new
0	Council

- $(\underline{i}\underline{i}\underline{i})$  to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article  $\underline{33} \underbrace{\underline{25}}{\underline{25}} (2)$ ,
- (<u>iii</u> <u>ii</u>)taken at the border or in the transit zones of a Member State as described in Article <u>43(1)</u> <u>35(1)</u> **○**: **○ ○** [...] **○**

#### (iii) not to conduct an examination pursuant to Article 36;

## (iv) not to conduct an examination pursuant to Article 39. C

 (b) a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation pursuant to Articles <u>27 and 28</u> <u>19 and 20</u>;

## (c) a decision not to further examine the subsequent application pursuant to Articles 32 and 34;

## (d) a decision refusing entry within the framework of the procedures provided for under Article 35(2);

(<u>ce</u>) a decision to withdraw  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  refugee status pursuant to Article <u>45</u> <u>38</u>.

↓ new◆ Council

2. Member States shall ensure that persons recognised by the determining authority as eligible for subsidiary protection have the right to an effective remedy as referred to in paragraph 1 against a decision to consider an application unfounded in relation to refugee status.

➡ Without prejudice to paragraph 1(c) of this Article, where the subsidiary protection status granted by a Member State offers the same rights and benefits as those offered by the refugee status under Union and national law, that Member State may consider an appeal against the decision referred to in subparagraph 1 of this paragraph inadmissible on the basis of insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in maintaining the proceedings.

## €[...]

3.

○ In order to comply with paragraph 1, C Member States shall ensure that ○ an C
○ [...] C effective remedy ○ [...] C provides for a full ○ and ex nunc C examination of both facts and points of law, including ○, where applicable, C an ○ [...] C examination of the international protection needs pursuant to Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive], at least in appeal procedures before a court or tribunal of first instance.

✓ 2005/85/EC
 ⇒ new

<u>4.2.</u> Member States shall provide for  $\Rightarrow$  reasonable  $\Leftarrow$  time limits and other necessary rules for the applicant to exercise his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.

<sup>↓</sup> new

The time limits shall not render impossible or excessively difficult the access of applicants to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.

Member States may also provide for an *ex officio* review of decisions taken pursuant to Article 43.

◆ 2005/85/EC

Member States shall, where appropriate, provide for rules in accordance with their

international obligations dealing with:

(a) the question of whether the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 shall have the effect of

allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome;

(b) the possibility of legal remedy or protective measures where the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 does not have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome. Member States may also provide for an ex officio remedy; and

(c) the grounds for challenging a decision under Article 25(2)(c) in accordance with the methodology applied under Article 27(2)(b) and (c).

↓ new◆ Council

- 5. Without prejudice to paragraph 6, Member States shall allow applicants to remain in the territory until the time limit within which to exercise their right to an effective remedy has expired or, when this right has been exercised within the time limit, pending the outcome of the remedy.
- 6. In the case of a decision
  - (a) C to consider an application unfounded where any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6) [...] C apply or manifestly unfounded in accordance with Article 32(2); C
  - **(b) (c) (c)**

○ (c) to reject reopening of the applicant's case that has been discontinued according to
Article 28; C

○ (d) not to examine or not to examine fully the application pursuant to Article 39, 
C

and where, in such cases, the right to remain in the Member State pending the outcome of the remedy is not foreseen under national legislation, a court or tribunal shall have the power to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory of the Member State, either upon request of the concerned applicant or acting on its own motion.

This paragraph shall not apply to procedures referred to in Article 43.

7. Member States shall allow the applicant to remain in the territory pending the outcome of the procedure to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory, laid down in paragraph 6.

€[...]

↓ 2005/85/EC

- <u>9.4.</u> Member States may lay down time-limits for the court or tribunal pursuant to paragraph 1 to examine the decision of the determining authority.
- 5. Where an applicant has been granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC, the applicant may be considered as having an effective remedy where a court or tribunal decides that the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 is inadmissible or unlikely to succeed on the basis of insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in maintaining the proceedings.
- <u>10.6.</u> Member States may also lay down in national legislation the conditions under which it can be assumed that an applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her remedy pursuant to paragraph 1, together with the rules on the procedure to be followed.

## **CHAPTER VI**

## **GENERAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS**

Article <u>47</u> <del>40</del>

## Challenge by public authorities

This Directive does not affect the possibility for public authorities of challenging the administrative and/or judicial decisions as provided for in national legislation.

*Article* <u>48</u> <u>41</u>

## Confidentiality

Member States shall ensure that authorities implementing this Directive are bound by the confidentiality principle as defined in national law, in relation to any information they obtain in the course of their work.

<sup>↓</sup> new

Article 49

#### Cooperation

Member States shall each appoint a national contact point and communicate its address to the Commission. The Commission shall communicate that information to the other Member States.

# Member States shall, in liaison with the Commission, take all appropriate measures to establish direct cooperation and an exchange of information between the competent authorities.

↓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
⇒ new
⇒ Council

Article <u>50</u> <u>42</u>

## Report

No later than  $\frac{1 - \text{December 2009}}{1 - \text{December 2009}} \Rightarrow \dots^8 \Leftrightarrow$ , the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this Directive in the Member States and shall propose any amendments that are necessary. Member States shall send the Commission all the information that is appropriate for drawing up this report. After presenting the report, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this Directive in the Member States at least every  $\Rightarrow$  five  $\Leftrightarrow$  two years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>  $\bigcirc$  [...]  $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$  48 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union  $\bigcirc$  .

## Transposition

1.Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions<br/>necessary to comply with this Directive by 1 December 2007  $\boxtimes$  Articles [...] [the Articles<br/>that have been changed as to the substance by comparison with the earlier Directive] by<br/>[...] <sup>9</sup>at the latest  $\boxtimes$ . Concerning Article 15, Member States shall bring into force the<br/>laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by<br/>1 December 2008. They shall forthwith  $\boxtimes$  communicate to  $\bigotimes$  inform the Commission<br/>thereof  $\boxtimes$  the text of those provisions  $\bigcirc$ [...]  $\bigcirc$   $\bigotimes$ .

↓ new◆ Council

2. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Article 31( ⊃ 2 ⊂ ⊃ and 3 ⊂ ⊃ [...] ⊂) by [3 years from the date of the transposition deadline]. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions ⊃[...] ⊂.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

↓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)⇒ Council

- 3. When Member States adopt is the it those provisions is referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 dial, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or shall be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Is Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made. If the methods of making such reference shall be laid down by Member States. Is They shall also include a statement that references in existing laws, regulations and administrative provisions to the directive repealed by this Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made and how that statement is to be formulated. If the methods of the shall be construed as references to the statement is to be formulated. If the states shall determine how such reference is to be made and how that statement is to be formulated.
- <u>4.</u> Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the  $\boxtimes$  main  $\bigotimes$  provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive  $\bigcirc [\ldots] \bigcirc$ .

✓ 2005/85/EC (adapted)
 ⇒ new

## Article <u>52</u> <u>44</u>

## Transition<u>al provisions</u>

Member States shall apply the laws, regulations and administrative provisions  $\boxtimes$  referred to  $\bigotimes$  set out in Article <u>51(1)</u> <u>43</u> to applications for  $\Leftrightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$  <u>asylum</u> lodged after  $\Rightarrow$  [...]  $\Leftrightarrow$  <sup>10</sup><u>1 December 2007</u> and to procedures for the withdrawal of  $\Rightarrow$  international protection  $\Leftrightarrow$ refugee status started after  $\Rightarrow$  [...]  $\Leftrightarrow$ <sup>11</sup><u>1 December 2007</u>.  $\Rightarrow$  Applications submitted before [...] <sup>12</sup> and procedures for the withdrawal of refugee status initiated before [...] <sup>13</sup> shall be governed by the laws, regulations and administrative provisions adopted pursuant to Directive 2005/85/EC.  $\Leftrightarrow$ 

↓ new

Member States shall apply the laws, regulations and administrative provisions referred to in Article 51(2) to applications for international protection lodged after  $[...]^{14}$ . Applications submitted before  $[...]^{15}$  shall be governed by the laws, regulations and administrative provisions in accordance with Directive 2005/85/EC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 60 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 60 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Article 53

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

## Repeal

Directive 2005/85/EC is repealed for the Member States bound by this Directive with effect from [day after the date set out in Article 51(1) of this Directive], without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.

References to the repealed Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Annex III.

**↓** 2005/85/EC

## Entry into force

This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Articles [...] shall apply from [day after the date set out in Article 51(1)].

◆ 2005/85/EC (adapted)

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

## Addressees

This Directive is addressed to the Member States in <del>conformity with the Treaty establishing the European Community</del>  $\boxtimes$  accordance with the Treaties  $\bigotimes$ .

Done at Brussels,

For the European Parliament The President For the Council The President

↓ 2005/85/EC

## ANNEX I

#### Definition of "determining authority"

When implementing the provision of this Directive, Ireland may, insofar as the provisions of section 17(1) of the Refugee Act 1996 (as amended) continue to apply, consider that:

- "determining authority" provided for in Article 2 (<u>e) (f)</u> of this Directive shall, insofar as the examination of whether an applicant should or, as the case may be, should not be declared to be a refugee is concerned, mean the Office of the Refugee Applications Commissioner; and

- "decisions at first instance" provided for in Article 2 (c) (f) of this Directive shall include recommendations of the Refugee Applications Commissioner as to whether an applicant should or, as the case may be, should not be declared to be a refugee.

Ireland will notify the Commission of any amendments to the provisions of section 17(1) of the Refugee Act 1996 (as amended).

## <u>ANNEX I <del>II</del></u>

Designation of safe countries of origin for the purposes of Articles  $\frac{29}{20}$  and  $\frac{30}{20}$   $\frac{37}{1}$ 

A country is considered as a safe country of origin where, on the basis of the legal situation, the application of the law within a democratic system and the general political circumstances, it can be shown that there is generally and consistently no persecution as defined in Article 9 of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] Directive 2004/83/EC, no torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment and no threat by reason of indiscriminate violence in situations of international or internal armed conflict.

In making this assessment, account shall be taken, inter alia, of the extent to which protection is provided against persecution or mistreatment by:

- (a) the relevant laws and regulations of the country and the manner in which they are applied;
- (b) observance of the rights and freedoms laid down in the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and/or the International Covenant for Civil and Political Rights and/or the Convention against Torture, in particular the rights from which derogation cannot be made under Article 15(2) of the said European Convention;
- (c) respect of the non-refoulement principle according to the Geneva Convention;
- (d) provision for a system of effective remedies against violations of these rights and freedoms.

◆ 2005/85/EC

⇔ new

## ANNEX III

Definition of "applicant" or "applicant for asylum"

When implementing the provisions of this Directive Spain may, insofar as the provisions of "Ley 30/1992 de Régimen jurídico de las Administraciones Públicas y del Procedimiento Administrativo Común" of 26 November 1992 and "Ley 29/1998 reguladora de la Jurisdicción Contencioso-Administrativa" of 13 July 1998 continue to apply, consider that, for the purposes of Chapter V, the definition of "applicant" or "applicant for asylum" in Article 2(c) of this Directive shall include "recurrente" as established in the abovementioned Acts.

A "recurrente" shall be entitled to the same guarantees as an "applicant" or an "applicant for asylum" as set out in this Directive for the purposes of exercising his/her right to an effective remedy in Chapter V.

Spain will notify the Commission of any relevant amendments to the abovementioned Act.

## ANNEX II IV

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

## Part A

## **Repealed Directive**

(referred to in Article 53)

Council Directive 2005/85/EC

(OJ L 326, 13.12.2005, p. 13)

Part B

## Time-limit for transposition into national law

(referred to in Article 51)

Directive	Time-limits for transposition
2005/85/EC	First deadline: 1 December 2007
	Second deadline: 1 December 2008

## ANNEX III

## **CORRELATION TABLE**

Directive 2005/85/EC	This directive
Article 1	Article 1
Article 2 (a) to (c)	Article 2 (a) to (c)
-	Article 2 (d)
Article 2 (d) to (f)	Article 2 (e) to (g)
-	Article 2 (h) and (i)
Article 2 (g)	Article 2 (j)
-	Article 2 (k) and (l)
Article 2 (h) to (k)	Article 2 (m) to (p)
-	Article 2 (q)
Article 3 (1) and (2)	Article 3 (1) and (2)
Article 3 (3)	-
Article 3 (4)	Article 3 (3)
Article 4 (1) first subparagraph	Article 4 (1) first subparagraph
Article 4 (1) second subparagraph	-
Article 4 (2) (a)	Article 4 (2) (a)
Article 4 (2) (b) to (d)	-
Article 4 (2) (e)	Article 4 (2) (b)
Article 4 (2) (f)	-
-	Article 4 (3)
Article 4 (3)	Article 4 (4)

-	Article 4 (5)
Article 5	Article 5
Article 6 (1)	Article 6 (1)
-	Article 6 (2) to (4)
Article 6 (2) and (3)	Article 7 (1) and (2)
-	Article 7 (3)
-	Article 7 (4)
Article 6 (4)	Article 7 (5)
Article 6 (5)	-
-	Article 8
Article 7 (1) and (2)	Article 9 (1) and (2)
-	Article 9 (3)
Article 8 (1)	Article 10 (1)
-	Article 10 (2)
Article 8 (2) (a) to (c)	Article 10 (3) (a) to (c)
-	Article 10 (3) (d)
Article 8 (3) and (4)	Article 10 (4) and (5)
Article 9 (1)	Article 11 (1)
Article 9 (2), first subparagraph	Article 11 (2), first subparagraph
Article 9 (2), second subparagraph	-
Article 9 (2), third subparagraph	Article 11 (2), second subparagraph
Article 9 (3)	Article 11 (3)
Article 10 (1) (a) to (c)	Article 12 (1) (a) to (c)
-	Article 12 (1) (d)

Article 10 (1) (d) and (e) Article 12(1)(e) and (f) Article 10 (2) Article 12 (2) Article 11 Article 13 Article 12 (1) first subparagraph Article 14 (1) first subparagraph Article 12 (2) second subparagraph Article 14 (1) second and third subparagraph Article 12 (2) third subparagraph Article 14 (1) fourth subparagraph Article 12 (2) (a) Article 14 (2) (a) Article 12 (2) (b) \_ Article 12 (2) (c) Article 12 (3) first subparagraph Article 14 (2) (b) Article 12 (3) second subparagraph Article 14 (2) second subparagraph Article 12 (4) to (6) Article 14 (3) to (5) Article 13 (1) and (2) Article 15 (1) and (2) Article 13 (3) (a) Article 15 (3) (a) Article 15 (3) (b) \_ Article 13 (3) (b) Article 15 (3) (c) Article 15 (3) (d) \_ Article 15 (3) (e) Article 13 (4) Article 15 (4) Article 13 (5) \_ Article 16 Article 14 Article 17

-	Article 18
-	Article 19
Article 15 (1)	Article 22 (1)
Article 15 (2)	Article 20 (1)
-	Article 20 (2) to (4)
-	Article 21 (1)
Article 15 (3) (a)	-
Article 15 (3) (b) and (c)	Article 21 (2) (a) and (b)
Article 15 (3) (d)	-
Article 15 (3) second subparagraph	-
Article 15 (4) to (6)	Article 21 (3) to (5)
-	Article 22 (2)
Article 16 (1) first subparagraph	Article 23 (1) first subparagraph
Article 16 (1) second subparagraph first sentence	Article 23 (1) second subparagraph introductory words
-	Article 23 (1) (a)
Article 16 (1) second subparagraph second sentence	Article 23 (1) (b)
Article 16 (2) first sentence	Article 23 (2)
Article 16 (2) second sentence	-
-	Article 23 (3)
Article 16 (3)	Article 23 (4) first subparagraph
Article 16 (4) first subparagraph	-
Article 16 (4) second and third subparagraphs	Article 23 (4) second and third subparagraphs
-	Article 24

Article 17 (1)	Article 25 (1)
Article 17 (2) (a)	Article 25 (2)
Article 17 (2) (b) and (c)	-
Article 17 (3)	-
Article 17 (4)	Article 25 (3)
-	Article 25 (4)
Article 17 (5)	Article 25 (5)
-	Article 25 (6)
Article 17 (6)	Article 25 (7)
Article 18	Article 26
Article 19	Article 27
Article 20 (1) and (2)	Article 28 (1) and (2)
-	Article 28 (3)
Article 21	Article 29
Article 22	Article 30
Article 23 (1)	Article 31 (1)
Article 23 (2), first subparagraph	Article 31 (2)
-	Article 31 (3)
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
-	Article 31 (4), second subparagraph
- Article 23 (3)	Article 31 (4), second subparagraph Article 31 (5) introductory words
- Article 23 (3) -	
- Article 23 (3) - Article 23 (4) (a)	Article 31 (5) introductory words
- Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	
	Article 31 (2)
Anner 23 (2), mot subparagraph	
-	Article 31 (3)
-	
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	
Article 23 (2) second subparagraph	
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
Article 23 (2), second subparagraph	Article 31 (4), first subparagraph
-	Article 31 (4), second subparagraph
- Article 23 (3)	
- Article 23 (3)	Article 31 (5) introductory words
-	Article 31 (5) introductory words Article 31 (5) (a) to (c)
-	Article 31 (5) introductory words Article 31 (5) (a) to (c)

Article 23 (4) (c) (i)	Article 31 (6) (b)
Article 23 (4) (c) (ii)	-
Article 23 (4) (d)	Article 31 (6) (c)
Article 23 (4) (e)	-
Article 23 (4) (f)	Article 31 (6) (d)
Article 23 (4) (g)	Article 31 (6) (e)
Article 23 (4) (h) and (i)	-
Article 23 (4) (j)	Article 31 (6) (f)
Article 23 (4) (k) and (l)	-
Article 23 (4) (m)	Article 31 (6) (g)
Article 23 (4) (n) and (o)	-
-	Article 31 (7) and (8)
Article 24	-
-	Article 32 (moved Article 28)
Article 25	Article 33
Article 25 (1)	Article 33 (1)
Article 25 (2) (a) to (c)	Article 33 (2) (a) to (c)
Article 25 (2) (d) and (e)	-
Article 25 (2) (f) and (g)	Article 33 (2) (d) and (e)
-	Article 34
Article 26	Article 35
Article 27 (1) (a)	Article 38 (1) (a)
-	Article 38 (1) (b)
Article 27 (1) (b) to (d)	Article 38 (1) (c) to (e)

Article 27 (2) to (5)	Article 38 (2) to (5)
Article 28	Article 32
Article 29	-
Article 30 (1)	Article 37 (1)
Article 30 (2) to (4)	-
-	Article 37 (2)
Article 30 (5) and (6)	Article 37 (3) and (4)
Article 31 (1)	Article 36 (1)
Article 31 (2)	-
Article 31 (3)	Article 36 (2)
Article 32 (1)	Article 40 (1)
Article 32 (2)	-
Article 32 (3)	Article 40 (2)
Article 32 (4)	Article 40 (3) first sentence
Article 32 (5)	Article 40 (3) second sentence
Article 32 (6)	Article 40 (4)
-	Article 40 (5)
Article 32 (7), first subparagraph	Article 40 (6) (a)
-	Article 40 (6) (b)
Article 32 (7), second subparagraph	Article 40 (6), second subparagraph
-	Article 40 (7)
-	Article 41
Article 33	-
Article 34 (1) and (2) (a)	Article 42 (1) and (2) (a)

Article 34 (2) (b)	-
Article 34 (2) (c)	Article 42 (2) (b)
Article 34 (3) (a)	Article 42 (3)
Article 34 (3) (b)	-
Article 35 (1)	Article 43 (1) (a)
-	Article 43 (1) (b)
Article 35 (2) and (3) (a) to (f)	-
Article 35 (4)	Article 43 (2)
Article 35 (5)	Article 43 (3)
Article 36 (1) to (2) (c)	Article 39 (1) to (2) (c)
Article 36 (2) (d)	-
Article 36 (3)	-
Article 36 (4) to (6)	Article 39 (3) to (5)
-	Article 39 (6)
Article 36 (7)	-
Article 37	Article 44
Article 38	Article 45
-	Article 46 (1) (a) (i)
Article 39 (1) (a) (i) and (ii)	Article 46 (1) (a) (ii) and (iii)
Article 39 (1) (a) (iii)	-
Article 39 (1) (b)	Article 46 (1) (b)
Article 39 (1) (c) and (d)	-
Article 39 (1) (e)	Article 46 (1) (c)
-	Article 46 (2) and (3)

Article 39 (2)	Article 46 (4) first subparagraph
-	Article 46 (4) second and third subparagraphs
Article 39 (3)	-
-	Article 46 (5) to (8)
Article 39 (4)	Article 46 (9)
Article 39 (5)	-
Article 39 (6)	Article 41 (10)
Article 40	Article 47
Article 41	Article 48
-	Article 49
Article 42	Article 50
Article 43 first subparagraph	Article 51 (1)
-	Article 51 (2)
Article 43 second and third subparagraphs	Article 51 (3) and (4)
Article 44	Article 52 first subparagraph
-	Article 52 second subparagraph
-	Article 53
Article 45	Article 54
Article 46	Article 55
Annex I	-
Annex II	Annex I
Annex III	-
-	Annex II
-	Annex III