

**13302/2/04  
REV 2**

**LIMITE**

**JAI 370**

**NOTE**

---

from :	Presidency
to :	Council
Subject :	Draft multiannual programme: "The Hague Programme; strengthening freedom, security and justice in the European Union"

---

As requested by the European Council on 17 and 18 June 2004, the Presidency has drafted, in co-operation with the Commission, the multiannual programme for the area of freedom, security and justice. This programme is to be established by the European Council on 5 November 2004.

The draft programme is based on in-depth discussions at the meeting of JHA Ministers within the Council on 19 July 2004, at the informal meeting of JHA Ministers on 30 September and 1 October 2004, and in Coreper on 14 and 20 October. Substantive and detailed written contributions of the Member States received by the Presidency, also after the Coreper meetings, have been used to a large extent as well. The result of all this work is to be found in the annexed "The Hague programme; strengthening freedom, security and justice". A number of suggestions have not been included in the multiannual programme because they are deemed better suited for the action plan to be based on this programme and prepared by the Commission by 2005.

The Presidency recognises that a few issues remain to be discussed or merit specific attention. In order to reach agreement on the Hague Programme, the Presidency calls for a discussion at the meeting of the Council on 25 and 26 October 2004 focused on the following issues:

### 1. A Common European Asylum System

The European Council already stated in 1999 in Tampere that the first set of rules called for by the Treaty of Amsterdam should be followed by the establishment of a common asylum procedure. With the completion of this set the European Council now needs to decide upon a concrete time frame to reach the objective of building a Common European Asylum System as agreed in Tampere and codified in the Constitutional Treaty. When deciding on this time frame, it is considered by many Member States to be important to take into account the necessity of evaluation of the first phase. Equally, other Member States deem it important to set a deadline for the second phase. The Presidency, recognising these positions, suggests that the evaluation to a large extent can run concurrently with the development of the second phase instruments. In this light the Presidency proposes to keep the dates, mentioned in paragraph 1.3 of the Hague Programme, as they stand.

### 2. Joint processing of asylum applications

There has been discussion on the proposal to invite the Commission to present a study on joint processing of asylum applications. Our joint determination to develop a Common European Asylum System (CEAS), with a harmonised procedure and application of refugee status, logically leads to the possibility of joint processing of asylum applications. It seems therefore important to be fully informed on all practical and legal implications, as well as the appropriateness of such a possible future course of action.

Complementary to a CEAS working within the Union, it is conceivable that occasions may rise in the future, where EU-processing outside the Union in one form or the other might become necessary. The merits, appropriateness and feasibility of such action should also be studied, before any decision on this issue is taken. Therefore the Presidency suggests that the Commission is asked to do a separate study on this issue, without committing the Council to such future action.

### 3. Conversion into European corps of border guards

The establishment of a common area of freedom, security and justice without any internal border controls on persons is only conceivable in connection with an efficient integrated external border management regime that guarantees a uniform quality of border control along all the EU external borders. However, a dilemma can be noted in the establishment of such a system as the promotion of solidarity, both financially as well as operationally, is not quite congruent with the fact that control and surveillance of external borders remain the full competence of national border authorities. It must also be noted that, while several useful measures aimed at safeguarding common standards of controls and surveillance on the Union's external borders have been put forward such as the Border Agency, a border management fund and teams of national experts, these might eventually be subject to legal and technical limits.

In light of these considerations, the foreseen evaluation of the Border Agency should equally comprise a reflection of whether it would be desirable to eventually convert teams of national experts in a European corps of border guards whose work and authority would complement national teams.

### 4. Qualified majority voting in the Council / co-decision with the European Parliament

With the decision to apply qualified majority voting (QMV) in the Council and full involvement of the European Parliament via the co-decision procedure in the field of asylum, migration and frontiers, the goal as was set in 1997 in the Treaty of Amsterdam, will be reached. In this Treaty these policy issues were transferred from the third to the first pillar and a five year time limit was set. In the subsequent Nice Treaty further steps were made in this field such as an automatic application of QMV on all asylum-related measures once all the minimum norms of the first phase are adopted. The Nice Treaty stipulates that measures on family law remain to be decided by unanimity. On 1 May 2004 the five year term ended. The Presidency notes that the European Council endorsed the application of QMV on all these issues, minus family law, by agreeing on the Constitutional Treaty.

In the view of the Presidency, encouraged by the European Parliament and by the European Council, the time is there, both legally and logically, to take the decision to apply qualified majority voting in the Council and co-decision with the European Parliament on all remaining issues on asylum, migration and frontiers.

## 5. Exchange of information

Experience has shown that at present the exchange of information between our authorities is hindered due to legal or systemic obstacles. Such obstacles are incompatible with the area of freedom, security and justice and it would be irresponsible not to lift them in a time where links between terrorism and organised crime become more obvious. The principle of availability has been explained in previous discussions. It would be a misunderstanding that it requires the abolishment of present day safeguards and protection of privacy or that there is no longer need to respect justified interests to protect the proper functioning of law enforcement and security activities.

The principle of availability requires further elaboration, in particular the conditions governing the application of this principle have to be defined more precisely. The necessary steps thereto can be defined in the action plan. Consequently, the Presidency suggests to keep chapter 2.1 of the Hague programme as it stands, and thus focused on the political guidelines for this policy area.

## 6. Terrorism

The prevention and repression of terrorism will be a key element of the future work in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice. Paragraph 2.2 of the Hague programme underlines the importance of the European Council's declaration of 25 March 2004 and the EU action plan on combating terrorism and provides, where necessary, additional measures. The Hague programme forms thus an element in an ongoing process. For the European Council in December 2004, a progress report is foreseen.

## 7. Mutual recognition in criminal matters

The proposals to accompany the further development of mutual recognition with minimum standards for procedural safeguards, as well as with measures to strengthen mutual trust in and understanding of the legal systems of the Member States will give a strong impulse on future work. The several detailed proposals reflect mostly ideas the Council already agreed upon by adopting in 2000 the programme of measures on mutual recognition, thus underlining the need to increase the implementation of that programme. The action plan to be drawn up by the Commission could provide for the extension of the 2000 programme with additional proposals in this context, setting proper deadlines as well.

## 8. Judicial cooperation in civil law

Despite the general recognition of the importance of the further development of this policy area for the citizens, the opinions differ in particular in the area of family law. Some delegations would like to go beyond of what is now reflected in the programme but did not acquire support for their ideas. The Presidency suggests to accept paragraph 3.4 as it stands now and to come back to additional proposals at the time of the review.

## **THE HAGUE PROGRAMME**

### **STRENGTHENING FREEDOM, SECURITY AND JUSTICE**

#### **IN THE EUROPEAN UNION**

##### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The European Council reaffirms the priority it attaches to the development of an area of freedom, security and justice, responding to a central concern of the peoples of the States brought together in the Union.

Over the past years the European Union has increased its role in securing police, customs and judicial cooperation and in developing a coordinated policy with regard to asylum, immigration and external border controls. This development will continue with the firmer establishment of a common area of freedom, security and justice by the Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe, signed in Rome on 29 October 2004. This Treaty and the preceding Treaties of Maastricht, Amsterdam and Nice have progressively established a common legal framework in the field of justice and home affairs, and the integration of this policy area with other policy areas of the Union.

Since the Tampere European Council in 1999, the Union's policy in the area of justice and home affairs has been developed in the framework of a general programme. Even if not all the original aims were achieved, comprehensive and coordinated progress has been made. The European Council welcomes the results that have been achieved in the first five-year period: the foundations for a common asylum and immigration policy have been laid, the harmonisation of border controls has been prepared, police cooperation has been improved, and the groundwork for judicial cooperation on the basis of the principle of mutual recognition of judicial decisions and judgments has been well advanced.

The security of the European Union and its Member States has acquired a new urgency, especially in the light of the terrorist attacks in the United States on 11 September 2001 and in Madrid on 11 March 2004. The citizens of Europe rightly expect the European Union, while guaranteeing respect for fundamental freedoms and rights, to take a more effective, joint approach to cross-border problems such as illegal migration, trafficking in and smuggling of human beings, terrorism and organised crime, as well as the prevention thereof. Notably in the field of security, the coordination and coherence between the internal and the external dimension has been growing in importance and needs to continue to be vigorously pursued.

Five years after the European Council's meeting in Tampere, it is time for a new agenda to enable the Union to build on the achievements and to effectively meet the new challenges it will face. To this end, it adopted this new multi-annual programme to be known as the Hague Programme. It reflects the ambitions as expressed in the Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe and contributes to the preparation of the Union for the entering into force. It takes full account of the evaluation by the Commission<sup>1</sup> as welcomed by the European Council in June 2004 as well as the Recommendation adopted by the European Parliament on 14 October 2004<sup>2</sup>, in particular in respect of the passage to qualified majority voting and co-decision as foreseen by Article 67(2) TEC.

The objective of the Hague programme is the improvement of the common capability of the Union and its Member States to guarantee fundamental rights, minimum procedural safeguards and the access to justice to provide protection in accordance with the Geneva Convention and other international treaties to persons in need, to regulate migration flows and to control the external borders of the Union, to fight organised cross-border crime and repress the threat of terrorism, to realise the potential of Europol and Eurojust, to further realise the mutual recognition of judicial decisions and certificates both in civil and in criminal matters, and to eliminate legal and judicial obstacles in litigation in civil and family matters with cross-border implications. This is an objective that has to be achieved in the interests of our citizens by the development of a Common Asylum System and by improving access to the courts, practical police and judicial cooperation, the approximation of law and the development of common policies.

---

<sup>1</sup> COM (2004) 401.

<sup>2</sup> P6\_TA-PROV (2004) 0022 A6-0010/2004

A key element in the near future will be the prevention and repression of terrorism. A common approach in this area should be based on the principle that preserving national security requires that full account is taken of the security of the Union as a whole. The European Council will endorse in December 2004 the new European Strategy on Drugs 2005-2012 that will be added to this programme.

The European Council considers the common project of strengthening the area of freedom, security and justice quintessential in securing safe communities, mutual trust and the rule of law throughout the Union. Freedom, justice, control at the external borders, internal security and the prevention of terrorism should henceforth be considered indivisible within the Union as a whole. An optimal level of protection of the area of freedom, security and justice requires a multi-disciplinary and concerted action both at EU level and at national level between the competent law enforcement authorities, especially police, customs and border guard.

In the light of this Programme, the European Council invites the Commission to present an Action Plan in 2005 in which the aims and priorities of this programme will be translated into concrete actions, comprising a timetable for their adoption and implementation. The European Council calls on the Council to ensure that the timetable for each of the various measures is observed. The Commission is invited to present to the Council a yearly report on the implementation of the Hague programme ("scoreboard").

## **II. GENERAL ORIENTATIONS**

### **1. General principles**

The programme set out below seeks to respond to the challenge and the expectations of our citizens. It is based on a pragmatic approach and builds on ongoing work arising from the Tampere programme, current action plans and an evaluation of first generation measures. It is also grounded in the general principles of subsidiarity, proportionality, solidarity and respect for the different legal systems and traditions of the Member States.



The Treaty establishing a Constitution of Europe (hereinafter the Constitutional Treaty) served as a guideline for the level of ambition, but the existing Treaties provide the legal basis for Council action until such time as the Constitutional Treaty takes effect. Accordingly, the various policy areas have been examined to determine whether preparatory work or studies could already commence, so that measures provided for in the Constitutional Treaty can be taken as soon as it enters into force.

Fundamental rights, as guaranteed by the European Convention on Human Rights and the Charter of Fundamental Rights in Part II of the Constitutional Treaty and the explanatory notes, as well as the Geneva Convention on Refugees, must be fully observed. At the same time, the programme aims at real and substantial progress towards enhancing mutual confidence and promoting common policies to the benefit of all our citizens.

## 2. Protection of fundamental rights

Incorporating the Charter into the Constitutional Treaty and accession to the European Convention for the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms will place the Union, including its institutions, under a legal obligation to ensure that in all its areas of activity, fundamental rights are not only respected but also actively promoted.

In this context, the European Council welcomes the Commission's communication on the extension of the mandate of the European Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia towards a Human Rights Agency.

## 3. Implementation and evaluation

The evaluation by the Commission of the Tampere programme showed a clear need for adequate and timely implementation and evaluation of all types of measures in the area of freedom, security and justice.

It is vital for the Council to develop in 2005 practical methods to facilitate timely implementation in all policy areas: measures requiring national authorities' resources should be accompanied by proper plans to ensure more effective implementation, and the length of the implementation period should be more closely related to the complexity of the measure concerned. Regular progress reports by the Commission to the Council during the implementation period should provide an incentive for national action by Member States.

Evaluation of the implementation as well as of the effects of all measures is, in the European Council's opinion, essential for the effectiveness of Union action. The evaluations undertaken as from 1 July 2005 must be systematic, objective, impartial and efficient, while avoiding too heavy an administrative burden on national authorities and the Commission. Their goal should be to address the functioning of the measure and to suggest solutions for problems encountered in its implementation and/or application. The Commission should prepare a yearly evaluation report of measures to be submitted to the Council and to inform the European Parliament and the national parliaments.

The European Commission is invited to prepare proposals to be tabled as soon as the Constitutional Treaty has entered into force about the role of the European Parliament and the national parliaments in the evaluation of Eurojust's activities and the scrutiny of Europol's activities.

#### 4. Review

Since the programme will run for a period in which the Constitutional Treaty will enter into force, a review of its implementation is considered to be useful. To that end, the Commission is invited to report by the entry into force of the Constitutional treaty (1 November 2006) to the European Council on the progress made and to propose the necessary additions to the programme, taking into account the changing legal basis as a consequence of its entry into force.

### III. SPECIFIC ORIENTATIONS

#### 1. STRENGTHENING FREEDOM

##### 1.1 Citizenship of the Union

The right of all EU citizens to move and reside freely in the territory of the Member States is the central right of citizenship of the Union. Practical significance of citizenship of the Union will be enhanced by full implementation of Directive 2004/38, which codifies Community law in this field and brings clarity and simplicity. The Commission is asked to submit in 2008 a report to the Council and the European Parliament, accompanied by proposals if appropriate for allowing EU citizens to move within the European Union on similar terms to nationals of a Member State moving around or changing their place of residence in their own country.

The European Council encourages the Union's institutions, within the framework of their competences, to maintain an open, transparent and regular dialogue with representative associations and civil society and to promote and facilitate citizens' participation in public life. In particular, the European Council invites the Council and the Commission to give special attention to the fight against anti-semitism, racism and xenophobia.

##### 1.2 Asylum and migration policy

International migration will continue. A comprehensive approach, involving all stages of migration, with respect to the root causes of migration, the entry and admission policies and integration and return policies is needed.

To ensure such an approach, the European Council urges the Council, the Member States and the Commission to pursue coordinated, strong and effective working relations between those responsible for migration and asylum policies and those responsible for other policy fields relevant to these areas.

The ongoing development of the European asylum and migration policy should be based on a common analysis of migratory phenomena in all their aspects. Reinforcing the collection, provision, exchange and efficient use of up-to-date information and data on all relevant migratory developments is of key importance.

The second phase of development of a common policy in the field of asylum, migration and borders started on 1 May 2004. It should be based on solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility including its financial implications and closer practical co-operation between Member States: technical assistance, training, and exchange of information, monitoring of the adequate and timely implementation and application of instruments as well as further harmonisation of legislation.

The European Council, taking into account the assessment by the Commission and the strong views expressed by the European Parliament in their Recommendation, asks the Council to adopt a decision based on Article 67(2) TEC immediately after formal consultation of the European Parliament and no later than 1 April 2005 to apply the procedure provided for in Article 251 TEC to all Title IV measures to strengthen freedom, subject to the Nice Treaty.

### 1.3 A Common European Asylum System

The aims of the Common European Asylum System in its second phase will be the establishment of a common asylum procedure and a uniform status for those who are granted asylum or subsidiary protection. It will be based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention on Refugees and other relevant Treaties, and be built on a thorough and complete evaluation of the legal instruments that have been adopted in the first phase. The European Council urges the Member States to fully implement the first phase without delay. In this regard the Council should adopt in conformity with article 67(5) TEC by unanimity the Asylum Procedures Directive as soon as possible. The Commission is invited to conclude the evaluation of first-phase legal instruments in 2007. The second-phase instruments and measures should be submitted to the Council and the European Parliament with a view to their adoption before the end of 2010. In this framework, the European Council invites the Commission to present a study on the appropriateness as well as the legal and practical implications of joint processing of asylum applications within the Union.

Furthermore a separate study should look into the merits, appropriateness and feasibility of the joint processing of asylum applications outside EU territory, in complementarity with the Common European Asylum System and in compliance with the relevant international standards.

The European Council invites the Council and the Commission to establish in 2005 appropriate structures involving the national asylum services of the Member States with a view to facilitating practical co-operation. Thus Member States will be assisted, inter alia, in achieving a single procedure for the assessment of applications for international protection, and in jointly compiling, assessing and applying information on countries of origin, as well as in addressing particular pressures on the asylum systems and reception capacities resulting, inter alia, from their geographical location. When a common asylum procedure has been established by the end of 2010, these structures should be transformed, on the basis of an evaluation, into a European office to assist all forms of co-operation between Member States relating to the Common European Asylum System.

The European Council welcomes the establishment of the new European Refugee Fund for the period 2005-2010 and stresses the urgent need for Member States to maintain adequate asylum systems and reception facilities in the run-up to the establishment of a common asylum procedure. It invites the Commission to designate existing Community funds to assist Member States in the processing of asylum applications and in the reception of categories of third-country nationals. It invites the Council to designate these categories on the basis of a proposal to be submitted by the Commission in 2005.

#### 1.4 Legal migration and the fight against illegal employment

Legal migration will play an important role in enhancing the knowledge-based economy in Europe, in advancing economic development, and thus contribute to the implementation of the Lisbon strategy. It could also play a role in partnerships with third countries.

The European Council underlines that the determination of volumes of admission of labour migrants is a competence of the Member States. The European Council, taking into account the outcome of discussions on the Green Paper on labour migration, best practices in Member States and its relevance for implementation of the Lisbon strategy, invites the Commission to present a policy plan on legal migration including admission procedures capable of responding promptly to fluctuating demands for migrant labour in the labour market before the end of 2005.

As the informal economy and illegal employment can act as a pull factor for illegal immigration and can lead to exploitation, the European Council calls on Member States to reach the targets for reducing the informal economy set out in the European employment strategy.

#### 1.5 Integration of third-country nationals

Stability and cohesion within our societies benefit from the successful integration of legally residing third-country nationals and their descendants. To achieve this objective, it is essential to develop effective policies, and to prevent the isolation of certain groups. A comprehensive approach involving stakeholders at the local, regional, national, and EU level is therefore essential.

While recognising the progress that has already been made in respect of the fair treatment of those third-country nationals in the EU, the European Council calls for the creation of equal opportunities to fully participate in society. Obstacles to integration need to be actively eliminated.

The European Council underlines the need for greater co-ordination of national integration policies and EU initiatives in this field. In this respect, the common basic principles underlying a coherent European framework on integration should be established.

These principles, connecting all policy areas related to integration, should include at least the following aspects. Integration:

- is a continuous, two-way process involving both legally residing third-country nationals and the host society;

- includes, but goes beyond, anti-discrimination policy;
- implies respect for the basic values of the European Union and fundamental human rights;
- requires basic skills for participation in society;
- relies on frequent interaction and intercultural dialogue between all members of society within common forums and activities in order to improve mutual understanding;
- extends to a variety of policy areas, including employment and education.

A framework, based on these common basic principles, will form the foundation for future initiatives in the EU, relying on clear goals and means of evaluation. The European Council invites Member States, the Council, and the Commission to promote the structural exchange of experience and information on integration, supported by the development of a broadly accessible website on the Internet.

## 1.6 The external dimension of asylum and migration

### 1.6.1 Partnership with third countries

Asylum and migration are by their very nature international issues. EU policy should aim at assisting third countries, in full partnership, using existing Community funds where appropriate, in their efforts to improve their capacity for migration management and refugee protection, prevent and combat illegal immigration, inform on legal channels for migration, resolve refugee situations by providing better access to durable solutions, build border-control capacity, enhance document security and tackle the problem of return.

The European Council recognises that insufficiently managed migration flows can result in humanitarian disasters. It wishes to express its utmost concern about the human tragedies that take place in the Mediterranean as a result of attempts to enter the EU illegally. It calls upon all States to intensify the co-operation in preventing further loss of life.

The European Council calls upon the Council and the Commission to continue the process of fully integrating migration in the EU's existing and future relations with third countries. It invites the Commission to complete the integration of migration into the Country and Regional Strategy Papers for all relevant third countries by the spring of 2005.

The European Council acknowledges the need for the EU to contribute to a more accessible, equitable and effective international protection system in partnership with third countries, to provide access to protection and durable solutions at the earliest possible stage. Countries in regions of origin and transit will be encouraged in their efforts to strengthen capacity for the protection of refugees. In this regard the European Council calls upon all third countries to accede and adhere to the Geneva Convention on Refugees.

#### 1.6.2 Partnership with countries and regions of origin

The European Council welcomes the Commission Communication on improving access to durable solutions <sup>1</sup> and invites the Commission to develop EU-Regional Protection Programmes in partnership with the third countries concerned and in close consultation and co-operation with UNHCR. These programmes will build on experience gained in pilot protection programmes to be launched before the end of 2005. These programmes will incorporate a variety of relevant instruments, primarily focused on capacity building, and include a joint resettlement programme for Member States willing to participate in such a programme.

Policies which link migration, development co-operation and humanitarian assistance should be coherent and be developed in partnership and dialogue with countries and regions of origin. The European Council welcomes the progress already made, invites the Council to develop these policies, with particular emphasis on root causes, push factors and poverty alleviation, and urges the Commission to present concrete and carefully worked out proposals by the spring of 2005.

---

<sup>1</sup> COM (2004) 410 def.



### 1.6.3. Partnership with countries and regions of transit

As regards countries of transit, the European Council emphasises the need for intensified co-operation and capacity building, both on the southern and the eastern borders of the EU, to enable these countries to better manage migration and to provide adequate protection for refugees. Support for capacity-building in national asylum systems, border control and wider co-operation on migration issues will be provided to those countries that demonstrate a genuine commitment to fulfil the obligations under the Geneva Convention on Refugees.

The proposal for a Regulation establishing a European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument <sup>1</sup> provides the strategic framework for intensifying co-operation and dialogue on asylum and migration with neighbouring countries amongst others around the Mediterranean basin, and for initiating new measures. The European Council requests a report on progress and achievements before the end of 2005.

### 1.6.4 Return and re-admission policy

Migrants who do not or no longer have the right to stay legally in the EU must return on a voluntary or, if necessary, involuntary basis. The European Council calls for the establishment of an effective removal and repatriation policy based on common standards for persons to be returned in a humane manner and in full respect for their human rights and dignity.

The European Council considers it essential that the Council start discussions in early 2005 on minimum standards for return procedures including minimum standards to support effective national removal efforts. The proposal should also take into account special concerns as regards safeguarding public order and security. A coherent approach between return policy and all other aspects of the external relations of the Community with third countries is necessary as is special emphasis on the issue of lack of travel and identification documents.

---

<sup>1</sup> COM (2004) 628 final

The European Council calls for:

- closer co-operation and mutual technical assistance;
- the launch of the preparatory phase of a European return fund;
- common integrated country and region specific return programmes;
- the establishment of a European Return Fund by 2007 taking into account the evaluation of the preparatory phase;
- the timely conclusion of Community readmission agreements;
- the prompt appointment by the Commission of a Special Representative for a common readmission policy.

## 1.7 Management of migration flows

### 1.7.1 Border checks and the fight against illegal immigration

The European Council stresses the importance of a swift abolition of internal border controls, the further gradual establishment of the integrated management system for external borders and the strengthening of controls at and surveillance of the external borders of the Union. In this respect the need for solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility including its financial implications between the Member States is underlined.

The European Council urges the Council, the Commission and Member States to take all necessary measures to allow the abolition of controls at internal borders as soon as possible , provided all requirements to apply the Schengen acquis have been fulfilled and after the Schengen Information System (SIS II) has become operational in 2007. In order to reach this goal, the evaluation of the implementation of the non SIS II related acquis should start in the first half of 2006.

The European Council welcomes the establishment of the European Agency for the Management of Operational Co-operation at the External Borders, on 1 May 2005. It requests the Commission to submit an evaluation of the Agency to the Council before the end of 2007. The evaluation should contain a review of the tasks of the Agency and an assessment of whether the Agency should concern itself with other aspects of border management, including an enhanced co-operation with customs services and other competent authorities for goods-related security matters.

The control and surveillance of external borders falls within the sphere of national border authorities. However, in order to support Member States with specific requirements for control and surveillance of long or difficult stretches of external borders, and where Member States are confronted with special and unforeseen circumstances due to exceptional migratory pressures on these borders, the European Council:

- invites the Council to establish teams of national experts that can provide rapid technical and operational assistance to Member States requesting it, following proper risk analysis by and acting within the framework of the Border Management Agency, on the basis of a proposal by the Commission on the appropriate powers and funding for such teams, to be submitted in 2005;
- invites the Council and the Commission to establish a Community border management fund by the end of 2006 at the latest;
- invites the Commission as soon as the abolition of controls at internal borders has been completed, to submit a proposal to supplement the existing Schengen evaluation mechanism with a supervisory mechanism, ensuring full involvement of Member States experts, including unannounced inspections.

The question of whether the teams of national experts can ultimately be converted into a European corps of border guards should be examined as part of the review of the tasks of the Agency envisaged above.

The European Council invites Member States to improve their joint analyses of migratory routes and smuggling and trafficking practices and of criminal networks active in this area, inter alia within the framework of the Border Management Agency and in close co-operation with Europol and Eurojust. It also calls on the Council and the Commission to ensure the firm establishment of immigration liaison networks in relevant third countries. Particular attention should be paid to co-operation between Member States and with third countries in rescuing immigrants at sea in conformity with international law.

With a view to the development of common standards, best practices and mechanisms to prevent and combat trafficking in human beings, the European Council invites the Council and the Commission to develop a plan in 2005.

#### 1.7.2 Biometrics and information systems

The management of migration flows, including the fight against illegal immigration is strengthened by establishing a continuum of security measures that effectively links visa application procedures and entry and exit procedures at external border crossings. Such measures are also of importance for the prevention and control of crime, in particular terrorism. In order to achieve this, a coherent approach and harmonised solutions in the EU on biometric identifiers and data are necessary.

The European Council requests the Council to examine maximising the effectiveness and interoperability of EU information systems in tackling illegal immigration and improving border controls as well as the management of these systems on the basis of a communication by the Commission on the interoperability between the Schengen Information System (SIS II), the Visa Information System (VIS) and EURODAC to be released in 2005, taking into account the need to strike the right balance between law enforcement purposes and safeguarding the fundamental rights of individuals.

The European Council invites the Council, the Commission and Member States to continue their efforts to integrate biometric identifiers in travel documents, visa, residence permits, EU citizens' passports and information systems without delay and to prepare for the development of minimum standards for national identity cards, taking into account ICAO standards.

#### 1.7.3 Visa policy

The European Council underlines the need for further development of the common visa policy as part of a multi-layered system aimed at facilitating legitimate travel and tackling illegal immigration through further harmonisation of national legislation and handling practices at local consular missions. Common visa offices should be established in the long term, taking into account discussions on the establishment of an European External Action Service. The European Council welcomes initiatives by individual Member States which, on a voluntary basis, co-operate at pooling of staff and means for visa issuance.

The European Council:

- invites the Commission, as a first step, to propose necessary amendments to further enhance visa policies and to submit in 2005 a proposal on the establishment of common application centres focusing inter alia on possible synergies linked with the development of the VIS, to review the Common Consular Instructions and table the appropriate proposal by early 2006 at the latest;
- stresses the importance of the swift implementation of the VIS starting with the incorporation of among others alphanumeric data and photographs by the end of 2006 and biometrics by the end of 2007 at the latest;
- invites the Commission to submit with out delay the necessary proposal in order to respect the agreed time frame for implementation of the VIS;
- calls on the Commission to continue its efforts to ensure that the citizens of all Member States can travel without a short-stay visa to all third countries whose nationals can travel to the EU without a visa as soon as possible;
- invites the Council and the Commission to examine, with a view to developing a common approach, whether in the context of the EC readmission policy it would be opportune to facilitate, on a case by case basis, the issuance of short-stay visas to third-country nationals, where possible and on a basis of reciprocity, as part of a real partnership in external relations, including migration-related issues.

## **2. STRENGTHENING SECURITY**

### **2.1 Improving the exchange of information**

The European Council is convinced that strengthening freedom, security and justice requires an innovative approach to the cross-border exchange of law-enforcement information. The mere fact that information crosses borders should no longer be relevant.

With effect from 1 January 2008 the exchange of such information should be governed under conditions set out below by the principle of availability, which means that, throughout the Union, a law enforcement officer in one Member State who needs information in order to perform his duties can obtain this from another Member State and that the law enforcement agency in the other Member State which holds this information will make it available for the stated purpose, taking into account the requirement of ongoing investigations in that State.

Without prejudice to work in progress<sup>1</sup> the Commission is invited to submit proposals by the end of 2005 at the latest for the implementation of the principle of availability, in which the following key conditions should be strictly observed:

- the exchange may only take place in order that legal tasks may be performed;
- the integrity of the data to be exchanged must be guaranteed;
- the need to protect the sources of information and to secure the confidentiality of the data at all stages of and after the exchange;
- common standards for access to the data and common technical standards must be applied;
- supervision of respect for data protection must be ensured;
- individuals must be protected from abuse of data and have the right to seek correction of incorrect data.

The methods of exchange of information should make full use of new technology and must be adapted to each type of information, where appropriate through mutual access to or interoperability of national databases, or direct (on-line) access, including for Europol, to existing central EU databases such as the SIS. New centralised European databases should only be created on the basis of studies that have shown their added value.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Draft framework decision on simplifying the exchange of information and intelligence between law enforcement authorities of the Member States of the European Union, in particular as regards serious offences including terrorist acts.

## 2.2 Terrorism

The European Council underlines that effective prevention and combating of terrorism in full respect of fundamental rights requires Member States not to confine their activities to maintaining their own security, but to focus also on the security of the Union as a whole.

As a goal this means that Member States :

- use the powers of their intelligence and security services not only to counter threats to their own security , but also, as the case may be, to protect the internal security of the other Member States;
- bring immediately to the attention of the competent authorities of other Member States any information available to their services which concerns threats to the internal security of these other Member State;
- in cases where persons or goods are under surveillance by security services in connection with terrorist threats, ensure no gaps occur in their surveillance as a result of their crossing a border.

In the short term all the elements of the European Council's declaration of 25 March 2004 and the EU action plan on combating terrorism must continue to be implemented in full, notably that enhanced use of Europol and Eurojust should be made and the EU Counter Terrorism Coordinator is encouraged to promote progress.

In this context the European Council recalls its invitation to the Commission to bring forward a proposal for a common EU approach to the use of passengers data for border and aviation security and other law enforcement purposes<sup>1</sup>.

The high level of exchange of information between security services shall be maintained. Nevertheless it should be improved, taking into account the overall principle of availability as described above in paragraph 2.1 and giving particular consideration to the special circumstances that apply to the working methods of security services, e.g. the need to secure the sources of information and the continued confidentiality of the data after the exchange.

---

<sup>1</sup> Declaration on Combating terrorism adopted on 25 March 2004, doc. 7906/04, point 6

With effect from 1 January 2005, SitCen will provide the Council with strategic analysis of the terrorist threat based on intelligence from Member States' intelligence and security services and, where appropriate, on information provided by Europol.

The European Council stresses the importance of measures to combat financing of terrorism. It looks forward to examining the coherent overall approach that will be submitted to it by the Secretary General/High Representative and the Commission at its meeting in December 2004. This strategy should suggest ways to improve the efficiency of existing instruments such as the monitoring of suspicious financial flows and the freezing of assets and propose new tools in respect of cash transactions and the institutions involved in them.

The Commission is invited to make proposals aimed at improving the security of the storage and the transport of explosives as well as ensuring traceability of industrial and chemical precursors.

The European Council also stresses the need to ensure adequate protection and assistance to victims of terrorism.

The Council with the assistance of the EU Counter-Terrorism Coordinator should, by the end of 2005, together with the Commission and experts of the Member States, develop a long term strategy to address the factors which contribute to radicalisation and recruitment for terrorist activities.

All the instruments available to the EU should be used in a consistent manner so that the key concern – the fight against terrorism – is fully addressed. To that end the JHA ministers within the Council should have the leading role, taking into account the task of the General Affairs and External Relations Council. The Commission should review Community legislation in sufficient time to be able to adapt it in parallel with measures to be adopted in order to combat terrorism.



The European Union will further strengthen its efforts in the external dimension of the area of freedom, security and justice towards the fight against terrorism. In this context, the Council is invited to set up in conjunction with Europol and the European Border Agency a network of national experts on preventing and combating terrorism and on border control, who will be available to respond to requests from third countries for technical assistance in the training and instruction of their authorities.

The European Council urges the Commission to increase the funding for counter-terrorism related capacity building projects in third countries and to ensure it has the necessary expertise to implement such projects effectively. The Council also calls on the Commission to ensure that, in the proposed revision of the existing instruments governing external assistance, appropriate provisions are made to enable rapid, flexible and targeted counter-terrorist assistance.

### 2.3 Police cooperation

The effective combating of cross-border organised and other serious crime and terrorism requires intensified practical cooperation of police and customs authorities of Member States and with Europol and better use of existing instruments in this field.

The European Council urges the Member States to enable Europol in cooperation with Eurojust to play a key role in the fight against serious cross-border (organised) crime and terrorism by:

- ratifying and effectively implementing the necessary legal instruments by the end of 2004 <sup>1</sup>;
- providing all necessary good quality information to Europol in good time;
- encouraging good cooperation between their competent national authorities and Europol.

---

<sup>1</sup> Europol Protocols: the Protocol amending Article 2 and the Annex to the Europol Convention of 30 November 2000, OJ C 358 13.12.00, p. 1, the Protocol on the privileges and immunities of Europol, the members of its organs, its Deputy Directors and its members of 28 November 2002 OJ C 312 16.12.2002, p.1 and the Protocol amending the Europol Convention of 27 November 2003, OJ C 2 6.1.2004. The Convention of 29 May 2000 on mutual assistance in criminal matters between the Member States, OJ C 197, 12.7.00, p. 1 and its accompanying Protocol of 16 October 2001 OJ C 326, 21.11.01, p. 2 and Framework Decision 2002/465/JHA of 13 June 2002 on Joint Investigation Teams, OJ L 162, 20.6.02, p. 1.

With effect from 1 January 2006, Europol must have replaced its "crime situation reports" by yearly "threat assessments" on serious forms of organised crime, based on information provided by the Member States and input from Eurojust and the Chiefs Police Task Force. The Council should use these analyses to establish yearly strategic priorities, which will serve as guidelines for further action. This should be the next step towards the goal of setting up and implementing a methodology for intelligence-led law enforcement at EU level.

Europol should be designated by Member States as central office of the Union for euro counterfeits within the meaning of the Geneva Convention of 1929.

The Council should adopt the European law on Europol, provided for in Article III-276 of the Constitutional Treaty, as soon as possible after the entry into force of the Constitutional Treaty and no later than 1 January 2008, taking account of all tasks referred to Europol.

Until that time, Europol must improve its functioning by making full use of the cooperation agreement with Eurojust. Europol and Eurojust should report annually to the Council on their common experiences and about specific results. Furthermore Europol and Eurojust should encourage the use of and its participation in Member States' joint investigation teams.

Experience in the Member States with the use of joint investigation teams is limited. With a view to encouraging the use of such teams and exchanging experiences on best practices, each Member State should designate a national expert.

The Council should develop cross-border police and customs cooperation on the bases of common principles. It invites the Commission to come with proposals to further develop the Schengen-acquis in respect of cross border operational police cooperation.

Member States should engage in improving the quality of their law enforcement data with the assistance of Europol. Furthermore, Europol should advise the Council on methods to improve the data. The Europol information system should be up and running without delay.

The Council is invited to encourage the exchange of best practices on investigative techniques as a first step to the development of common investigative techniques, envisaged in Article III-257 of the Constitutional Treaty, in particular in the areas of forensic investigations and information technology security.

Police cooperation between Member States is in a number of cases made more efficient and effective by facilitating cooperation on specified themes between Member States concerned, where appropriate by establishing joint investigation teams and, where necessary, supported by Europol and Eurojust. In specific border areas, closer cooperation and better coordination is the only way to deal with crime and threats to public security and national safety.

Strengthening police cooperation requires focused attention on mutual trust and confidence-building. In an enlarged European Union, an explicit effort should be made to improve the understanding of the working of Member States' legal systems and organisations. The Council and the Member States should develop by the end of 2005 in cooperation with CEPOL standards and modules for training courses for national police officers with regard to practical aspects of EU law enforcement cooperation.

The Commission is invited to develop in close cooperation with CEPOL by the end of 2005 systematic exchange programmes for police authorities aimed at a better understanding of the working of Member States' legal systems and organisations.

Finally experiences of external police operations should also be taken into account with a view to improve internal security of the European Union.

#### 2.4 Management of crises within the European Union with cross border effects

The European Council adopted on 12 December 2003 the European security strategy, which outlines global challenges, key threats, strategic objectives and policy implications for a secure Europe in a better world. An essential complement thereof is providing internal security within the European Union, especially in the event of major internal crises with cross border effects affecting our citizens, our vital infrastructure and our public order and security. Only then can optimum protection be provided to European citizens and our vital infrastructure in the case of, for example, a CBRN accident.

Effective management of cross border crises within the EU requires not only the strengthening of the current actions on civil protection and vital infrastructure but also addressing effectively the public order and security aspects of such crises and the coordination between these areas.

Therefore the European Council calls for the Council and the Commission to set up within the existing structures, whilst fully respecting national competences, an integrated EU crisis management arrangement for crises with cross border effects within the EU addressing this coordination, to be implemented at the latest by 1 July 2006. This arrangement should at least address the following issues: further assessment of member states' capabilities, stockpiling, training, joint exercises and operational plans for civil crisis management

#### 2.5 Operational cooperation

Coordination of operational activities by law enforcement agencies and other agencies in all segments of the area of freedom, security and justice as well as the monitoring of the strategic priorities set by the Council must be ensured.

To that end, the Council is invited to prepare for the setting up of the committee on internal security, envisaged in Article III-261 of the Constitutional Treaty, in particular by determining its field of activity, tasks, competences and composition, with a view to its establishment as soon as possible after the Constitutional Treaty has entered into force.

To gain practical experience with coordination in the meantime, the Council is invited to organise a joint meeting every six months between the chairpersons of the Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum (SCIFA) and the Article 36 Committee (CATS) and representatives of the Commission, Europol, Eurojust, the EBA, the Police Chiefs' Task Force, the SitCEN .

## 2.6 Crime Prevention

Crime prevention is an indispensable part of the work to create an area of freedom and justice. Therefore the Union needs an effective tool to support the efforts of Member States in preventing crime. To that end, the European Crime Prevention Network should be professionalised and strengthened. Since the scope of prevention is very wide, it is essential to focus on measures and priorities that are most beneficial to Member States. The European Crime Prevention Network should provide expertise and knowledge to the Council and the Commission in developing effective crime prevention policies.

In this respect the European Council welcomes the initiative of the Commission to establish European instruments for collecting, analysing and comparing information on crime and victimisation and their respective trends in Member States, using national statistics and other sources of information as agreed indicators. Eurostat should include the designing and collecting of these data amongst Member States.

It is important to protect public organisations and private companies from organised crime through administrative and other measures. Particular attention should be given to systematic investigations of property holdings as a tool in the fight against organised crime. Private/public partnership is an essential tool. The Commission is invited to present proposals to this effect in 2006.

## 2.7. Organised crime and corruption

The European Council welcomes the development of a strategic concept with regard to tackling cross-border organised crime at EU-level and asks the Council and the Commission to develop this concept further and make it operational, in conjunction with other partners such as Europol, Eurojust, the Police Chiefs Task Force, EUCPN and CEPOL. In that context, issues relating to corruption and its links with organised crime should be examined.

## 2.8 European strategy on drugs

The European Council underlines the importance of addressing the drugs problem in a comprehensive, balanced and multidisciplinary approach between the policy of prevention, assistance and rehabilitation of drug dependence, the policy of combating illegal drug trafficking and precursors and money laundering, including the strengthening of international cooperation.

The European Strategy on Drugs 2005-2012 will be added to the programme after its adoption by the European Council in December 2004.

## **3. STRENGTHENING JUSTICE**

The European Council underlines the need to further enhance work on the creation of a Europe for citizens and the essential role that the setting up of a European Area for Justice will play in this respect. A number of measures have already been carried out. Further efforts should be made to facilitate access to justice and judicial cooperation as well as the full employment of mutual recognition. It is of particular importance that borders between countries in Europe no longer constitute an obstacle to the settlement of civil law matters or to the bringing of court proceedings and the enforcement of decisions in civil matters.

### 3.1 European Court of Justice

The European Council underlines the importance of the European Court of Justice in the relatively new area of freedom, security and justice and is satisfied that the Constitutional Treaty greatly increases the powers of the European Court of Justice in that area.

To ensure, both for European citizens and for the functioning of the area of freedom, security and justice, that questions on points of law brought before the Court are answered quickly it is necessary to enable the Court to respond quickly as demanded by Article III-369 of the Constitutional Treaty.

In this context and in the perspective of the future Constitutional Treaty, thought should be given to setting up a more formal solution to handle requests for preliminary rulings concerning the area of freedom, security and justice, in particular by amending the Statute of the Court. The Commission is invited to come forward - after consultation of the Court of Justice - with a proposal to that effect.

### 3.2 Confidence-building and mutual trust

Judicial cooperation both in criminal and civil matters could be further enhanced by strengthening mutual trust and by progressive development of a European judicial culture. In an enlarged European Union, mutual confidence shall be based on the certainty that all European citizens have access to a judicial system meeting high standards of quality. In order to facilitate full implementation of the principle of mutual recognition, a system providing for objective and impartial evaluation of the implementation of EU policies in the field of justice, whilst fully respecting the independence of the judiciary and consistent with all the existing European mechanisms, shall be established.

Strengthening mutual confidence requires an explicit effort to improve mutual understanding among judicial authorities and different legal systems. In this regard, networks of judicial organisations and institutions, such as the network of the Councils for the Judiciary, the European Network of Supreme Courts and the European Judicial Training Network, should be supported by the Union.

Exchange programmes for judicial authorities will facilitate cooperation and help develop mutual trust. An EU component should be systematically included in the training of judicial authorities. The Commission is invited to prepare as soon as possible a proposal aimed at creating, from the existing structures, an effective European training network for judicial authorities for both civil and criminal matters, as envisaged by Articles III-269 and III-270 of the Constitutional Treaty.

### 3.3 Judicial cooperation in criminal matters

Improvement should be sought through reducing existing legal obstacles and strengthening the coordination of investigations. With a view to increasing the efficiency of prosecutions, while guaranteeing the proper administration of justice, particular attention should be given to possibilities of concentrating the prosecution in cross border multilateral cases in one Member State. Further development of judicial cooperation in criminal matters is essential to provide for an adequate follow up to investigations of law enforcement authorities of the Member States and Europol.

The European Council recalls in this context the need to ratify and implement effectively - without delay - the legal instruments to improve judicial cooperation in criminal matters, as referred to already in the paragraph on police cooperation.

#### 3.3.1 Mutual recognition

The comprehensive programme of measures to implement the principle of mutual recognition of judicial decisions in criminal matters, which encompasses judicial decisions in all phases of criminal procedures or otherwise relevant to such procedures, such as the gathering and admissibility of evidence, conflicts of jurisdiction and the ne bis in idem principle and the execution of final sentences of imprisonment or other (alternative) sanctions<sup>1</sup>, should be completed and further attention should be given to additional proposals in that context. The further realisation of mutual recognition as the cornerstone of judicial cooperation must be accompanied by the development of common standards for procedural rights in criminal proceedings with due respect for the legal traditions of Member States. In this context, the draft Framework Decision on certain procedural rights in criminal proceedings throughout the European Union should be adopted by end 2005. The Council should adopt by the end of 2005 the Framework Decision on the European Evidence Warrant<sup>2</sup>. The Commission is invited to present its proposals on enhancing the exchange of information from national records of convictions and disqualifications, in particular of sex offenders, by December 2004 with a view to adoption by the Council by the end of 2005. This should be followed in March 2005 by a further proposal on a computerised system of exchange of information.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 12, 15.1.2001, pages 10-22.

<sup>2</sup> COM(2003) 688.



### 3.3.2 Approximation of law

The European Council recalls that the establishment of minimum rules concerning aspects of procedural law is envisaged by the existing and future treaties in order to facilitate mutual recognition of judgments and judicial decisions and police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters having a cross border dimension. The approximation of substantive criminal law serves the same purposes and concerns areas of particular serious crime with cross border dimensions. Priority should be given to areas of crime that are specifically mentioned in those treaties.

To ensure more effective implementation within national systems, JHA ministers should be responsible within the Council for defining criminal offences and determining penalties in general.

### 3.3.3 Eurojust

Effective combating of cross-border organised and other serious crime and terrorism requires the cooperation and coordination of investigations and, where possible, concentrated prosecutions by Eurojust, in cooperation with Europol.

The European Council urges the Member States to enable Eurojust to perform its tasks by:

- effectively implementing the Council Decision on Eurojust by the end of 2004<sup>1</sup> with special attention to the judicial powers to be conferred upon their national members; and
- ensuring full cooperation of their competent national authorities with Eurojust.

The Council should adopt on the basis of a proposal of the Commission the European law on Eurojust, provided for in Article III-273 of the Constitutional Treaty, after the entry into force of the Constitutional Treaty but no later than 1 January 2008, taking account of all tasks referred to Eurojust.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 63, 6.3.2002, pages 1-3.

Until that time, Eurojust will improve its functioning by focusing on coordination of multilateral, serious and complex cases. Eurojust should include in its annual report to the Council the results and the quality of its cooperation with the Member States. Eurojust should make maximum use of the cooperation agreement with Europol and should continue cooperation with the European Judicial Network and other relevant partners.

The European Council invites the Council to consider on the basis of a proposal from the Commission based on the evaluation of Eurojust, the possible establishment of a European Public Prosecutors' Office from Eurojust, after the entry into force of the Constitutional Treaty.

### 3.4 Judicial cooperation in civil matters

#### 3.4.1 Facilitating civil law procedure across borders

Civil law, including family law, concerns citizens in their everyday lives. Therefore the European Council attaches great importance to the continued development of judicial cooperation in civil matters and full completion of the programme of mutual recognition adopted in 2000. The main policy objective in this area is that borders between countries in Europe should no longer constitute an obstacle to the settlement of civil law matters or to the bringing of court proceedings and the enforcement of decisions in civil matters.

#### 3.4.2 Mutual recognition of decisions

Mutual recognition of decisions is an effective means of protecting citizens' rights and securing the enforcement of such rights across European borders.

Continued implementation of the programme of measures on mutual recognition<sup>1</sup> must therefore be a main priority in the coming years to ensure its completion by 2011. Work concerning the following projects should be carried on with energy: the conflict of laws regarding non-contractual obligations ("Rome II") and contractual obligations ("Rome I"), a European Payment Order and instruments concerning alternative dispute resolution and concerning small claims. In timing the completion of these projects, due regard should be given to current work in related areas.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 12, 15.1.2001, page 1-9.

The effectiveness of existing instruments on mutual recognition should be increased by standardising procedures and documents and developing minimum standards for aspects of procedural law, such as the service of judicial and extra-judicial documents, the commencement of proceedings, enforcement of judgments and transparency of costs.

Regarding family and succession law, the Commission is invited to submit the following proposals:

- a draft regulation on the recognition and enforcement of decisions on maintenance, including precautionary measures and provisional enforcement in 2005;
- a green paper on the conflict of laws in matters of succession, including the question of jurisdiction, mutual recognition and enforcement of decisions in this area, a European certificate of inheritance and a mechanism allowing precise knowledge of the existence of last wills and testaments of residents of European Union in 2005; and
- a green paper on the conflict of laws in matters concerning matrimonial property regimes in 2006;
- a green paper on the conflict of laws in matters relating to divorce (Rome III) in 2005.

Instruments in these areas should be completed by 2011. Such instruments should cover matters of private international law and should not be based on harmonised concepts of "family", "marriage", etc. Rules of uniform substantive law should be introduced only as an accompanying measure, whenever necessary to effect mutual recognition of decisions or to improve judicial cooperation in civil matters.

Implementation of the programme of mutual recognition should be accompanied by a careful review of the operation of instruments that have recently been adopted. The outcome of such review should provide the necessary input for the preparation of new measures.

### 3.4.3 Enhancing cooperation

With a view to achieving smooth operation of instruments involving cooperation of judicial or other bodies, Member States should be required to designate liaison judges or other competent authorities based in their own country. Where appropriate they could use their national contact point within the European judicial network in civil matters. The Commission is invited to organise EU workshops on the application of EU law and promote cooperation between members of the legal professions (such as bailiffs and notaries public) with a view to establishing best practices.

### 3.4.4 Ensuring coherence and upgrading the quality of EU legislation

In matters of contract law, the quality of existing and future Community law should be improved by measures of consolidation, codification and rationalisation of legal instruments in force and by developing a common frame of reference. A framework should be set up to explore the possibilities to develop EU-wide standard terms and conditions of contract law which could be used by companies and trade associations in the Union.

Measures should be taken to enable the Council to effect a more systematic scrutiny of the quality and coherence of all Community law instruments relating to cooperation on civil law matters.

### 3.4.5 International legal order

The Commission and the Council are urged to ensure coherence between the EU and the international legal order and continue to engage in closer relations and cooperation with international organisations such as the Hague Conference on Private International Law and the Council of Europe, particularly in order to coordinate initiatives and to maximise synergies between these organisations' activities and instruments and the EU instruments. Accession of the Community to the Hague Conference should be concluded as soon as possible.

#### 4. EXTERNAL RELATIONS

The European Council considers the development of a coherent external dimension of the Union policy of freedom, security and justice as a growing priority.

In addition to the aspects already addressed in the previous chapters, the European Council calls on the Commission and the Secretary-General / High Representative to present, by the end of 2005, a strategy of all external aspects of the Union policy on freedom, security and justice, based on the measures developed in this programme to the Council. The strategy should reflect the Union's special relations with third countries, groups of countries and regions, and focus on the specific needs for JHA cooperation with them.

All powers available to the Union, including external relations, should be used in an integrated and consistent way to establish the area of freedom, security and justice. The following guidelines<sup>1</sup> should be taken into account: the existence of internal policies as the major parameter justifying external action; need for value added in relation to projects carried out by the Member States; contribution to the general political objectives of the foreign policies of the Union; possibility of achieving the goals during a period of reasonable time; the possibility of long-term action.

-----

---

<sup>1</sup> established at the European Council meeting in Feira in 2000.