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DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

on the initiative of the Kingdom of Sweden and the Kingdom of Spain for adoption of a Council framework decision on accreditation of forensic laboratory activities (11419/2009 – C7-0100 – 2009/0806(CNS))

(Consultation procedure)

The European Parliament,

– having regard to the initiative of the Kingdom of Sweden and the Kingdom of Spain (11419/2009),

– having regard to Article 39(1) and Article 34(2)(c) of the EU Treaty, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C7-0100//2009),

– having regard to Rules 100 and 55 of its Rules of Procedure,

– having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (A7-0071/2009),

A. Whereas the draft initiative of the Kingdom of Sweden and the Kingdom of Spain (11419/2009) raises issues in terms of the correct legal basis;

1. Rejects the initiative of the Kingdom of Sweden and the Kingdom of Spain (11419/2009);

2. Calls on the Kingdom of Sweden and the Kingdom of Spain to withdraw their initiative;

3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and the Commission, and to the governments of the Kingdom of Sweden and the Kingdom of Spain.

________________________

1 Not yet published in OJ.
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