

Office for Security and Counter-Terrorism

2 Marsham Street, London SW1P 4DF

OSCTFOI@homeoffice.x.gsi.gov.uk Website: www.homeoffice.gov.uk Tel: 020 7035 4848 Fax: 020 7035 4745 www.homeoffice.gov.uk

Mr Chris Jones chris@statewatch.org

FOI Ref: 33638

Date: 22 December 2014

Dear Mr Jones,

Thank you for your e-mail of 25 November 2014, in which you ask about the US-UK Joint Contact Group (JCG), and specifically for information since 1 January 2009 on:

- 1. agendas of JCG meetings;
- 2. minutes of JCG meetings; and
- 3. lists of participants at JCG meetings (if not included in the agendas)

Your request has been handled as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act).

We do not hold records for more than two years [data retention], and there were no meetings of the JCG in 2013, but we can provide information on the two meetings that took place in 2014.

### 1. Agendas

Please see **Annex A** for the agendas from the two meetings in 2014. However, these documents have been redacted under section 24(1) of the Act.

Section 24(1) provides that information can be withheld for the purposes of safeguarding national security and the public interest falls in favour of applying the exemption. Arguments for and against disclosure in terms of the public interest, with the reasons for our conclusion, are set out in the attached **Annex B** to this letter.

#### 2. Minutes

I can confirm that the Home Office holds the information that you requested. However, after careful consideration we have decided that the information is exempt from disclosure under section 23(1) of the Act.



Section 23(1) provides that information can be withheld where the information is supplied by, or relates to, bodies dealing with security matters and national security. Section 23(1) is an absolute provision and consequently there is no further consideration required.

## 3. Participants

In 2014 the two JCG meetings were jointly chaired by Home Office Permanent Secretary Mark Sedwill and Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Deputy Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas. The following senior officials also attended one or both of the meetings:

UK	US
Charles Farr, Director-General of the Office	R. Gil Kerlikowske, Commissioner of U.S.
for Security and Counter-Terrorism (Home	Customs and Border Protection
Office)	
Sir Charles Montgomery, DG Border Force	Rob Silvers, Senior Counselor to the
	Deputy Secretary
Bernard Silverman, Chief Scientific Advisor,	Mark Koumans, Principal Deputy Assistant
Home Office	Secretary for International Affairs (DHS)
Mike Anderson, DG International	David Gersten, Countering Violent
Directorate, Home Office	Extremism Coordinator (DHS)
Jonathan Emmett, Director Strategy,	Robert Paschall, DHS Attaché in London
Planning and International (OSCT, Home	
Office)	
Paul Lincoln, Director National Security	Pat Barry, Counsellor to the Secretary for
Directorate (OSCT, Home Office)	Counterterrorism and Intelligence (DHS)
Phil Luxford, Director Protect, Prepare and	
CT Science (OSCT, Home Office)	
Christophe Prince, Director Prevent (OSCT,	
Home Office)	

There were also official-level representatives from the Home Office and DHS at both meetings, but we are not required to provide the names of anyone below Director.

If you are dissatisfied with this response you may request an independent internal review of our handling of your request by submitting a complaint within two months to the address below, quoting reference 33638. If you ask for an internal review, it would be helpful if you could say why you are dissatisfied with the response.

Information Access Team
Home Office
Ground Floor, Seacole Building
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

e-mail: info.access@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

As part of any internal review the Department's handling of your information request will be reassessed by staff who were not involved in providing you with this response. If you remain dissatisfied after this internal review, you would have a right of complaint to the Information Commissioner as established by section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act.

Yours sincerely

FOI Team

## Annex A – JCG Agendas (2014)

2<sup>nd</sup> April – 09:00 – 16:45

2 April – 09.00 – 16.45	Timing
WELCOME & OPENING REMARKS	09:00 – 09;05
THREAT BRIEFINGS	09:05 – 09:45
PRIORITIES UPDATE	09:45 – 11:00
• BREAK	11:00 – 11:15
SYRIA: FOREIGN FIGHTERS	11:15 – 12:30
LUNCH: FIVE COUNTRY MINISTERIAL	12:30 – 13:30
SYRIA: REFUGEES	13:30 – 14:15
• REDACTED	14:15 – 14:45
• BREAK	14:45 – 15:00
• REDACTED	15:00 – 15:30
EXIT CHECKS	15:30 – 16:00
QUADRENNIAL HOMELAND SECURITY REVIEW (QHSR)     OVERVIEW	16:00 – 16:45

# 4<sup>TH</sup> November – 14:30 – 17:30

Item	Timing
WELCOME COFFEE & INTRODUCTION	14:30 – 14:45
G6 DISCUSSION	14:45 – 15:00
• PREVENT	15:00 – 16:30

- DETAIL REDACTED	
AVSEC     DETAIL REDACTED	16:30 – 17:15
CLOSING REMARKS	17:15 – 17:30
RECEPTION AT DHM RESIDENCE	18:30 – 20:00

# 5<sup>TH</sup> November – 08:30 – 12:00

Item	Timing
WELCOME & COFFEE	08:30 – 08:45
SYRIA/IRAQ & FOREIGN FIGHTERS     DETAIL REDACTED	08:45 – 10:05
• BREAK	10:05 – 10:20
EBOLA CRISIS	10:20 – 11:00
SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY COLLABORATION	11:00 – 11:20
JOINT HOME OFFICE/DHS BUSINESS     DETAIL REDACTED	11.20 – 11:50
CLOSING REMARKS	11:50 – 12:00

#### **Public Interest Considerations**

**Question 1 :** You have requested the full disclosure of the agendas of the Joint Contact Group (JCG) from 1 January 2009 to present. Some of this information has been redacted under section 24(1) in the interests of national security.

Section 24(1) of the Freedom of Information Act states:

24(1) Information which does not fall within subsection 23(1) is exempt information if exemption from section 1(1)(b) is required for the purpose of safeguarding national security.

Section 24(1) is a 'qualified exemption', and is subject to a public interest test (PIT).

### Section 24(1) Considerations in favour of disclosing the information

The Joint Contact Group (JCG) is not a secret body, and the issues discussed at the meetings in 2014 are of significant public interest. Increased openness and transparency with regard to this subject would increase public understanding and inform public debate.

### Section 24(1) Considerations in favour of withholding the information

While the broad agendas should be shared, some of the items discussed as well as some detailed headings under several of the items are highly sensitive. They concern information relating to national security. Disclosing this information in particular that which has been redacted would reveal how Government prioritises and deals with current threats and information about the tactics, strategies and operational capabilities of the police and security agencies. Providing information of this nature would render national security measures less effective by providing individuals who may pose a threat to national security with knowledge and insight. Anything that could allow individuals to counter measures that may be in place to safeguard national security is clearly not in the public interest.

We conclude that the balance of the public interest lies in redacting the sensitive information.

**Question 2:** You have requested the minutes of the JCG meetings. This information is exempt under section 23 (1) of the Freedom of Information Act.

Section 23(1) of the Freedom of Information Act states:

'23(1) Information held by a public authority is exempt information if it was directly or indirectly supplied to the public authority by, or relates to, any of the bodies specified in subsection (3).

Section 23(1) is an absolute provision and consequently there is no further consideration required.