COUNCIL OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 12 July 2011

7609/1/11
REV 1

LIMITE

DAPIX 15
CRIMORG 23
ENFOPOL 55

NOTE

from:	German delegation
to:	Working Group on Information Exchange and Data Protection (DAPIX / DNA experts)
Subject:	Implementation of Council Decisions 2008/615/JHA and 2008/616/JHA ("Prüm Decisions") - *Implementation guide - DNA Data Exchange*

Delegations will find in annex an updated version of the implementation guide concerning DNA data exchange Prüm provisions based on knowledge and experience of and prepared by experts of the German Bundeskriminalamt (BKA).

The implementation guide contains a number of recommendations from a technical and forensic point of view. It serves the purpose of guiding in detailed and concrete terms the IT implementation of the Prüm requirements. The main objective is to provide Member States with more insights into the implementation of software components and with an insider view of implementation details.

This updated version of the implementation guide takes account of comments made by DNA data exchange IT experts of AT and NL. In order to improve the readability of the document, changes made to the initial version are set out in **bold**.

DAPIX DNA experts are invited to comment the suggested recommendations in annex and exchange views on the content for further improvement at its meeting on 14 July 2011.

Bundeskriminalamt

IT05 Biometrics

Implementation Guide – DNA Data Exchange

Council Decision 2008/616/JHA

<u>8 July 2011</u>

(Draft)

Bundeskriminalamt

Author:	Dr. Jimmy Wang
Phone:	+49 611 55 12931
Fax:	+49 611 55 45548
Email:	jimmy.wang@bka.bund.de
Postal:	Thaerstrasse 11
	65173 Wiesbaden

Document Change

Date	Author	Version	Change Reference
August 6th, 2010	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	First draft
September 23rd, 2010	Wang Jimmy	1.0	update
September 30th, 2010	Wang Jimmy	1.0	update
October 26th, 2010	Wang Jimmy	1.0	update
November 5th, 2010	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	update
November 24th, 2010	Wang Jimmy	1.0	update
December 6th, 2010	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	update
December 17th, 2010	Wang Jimmy	1.0	update
December 27th, 2010	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	update
January 22nd, 2011	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	update
February 26th, 2011	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	update
March 1st, 2011	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	update
March 10st, 2011	Wang, Jimmy	1.0	Final draft V.1.0
July 8, 2011	**Wang, Jimmy**	**1.0**	**Updated upon NL and AT comments**

Executive Summary

This Implementation Guide has been written especially from a technical and forensic point of view. As a supplement to Council Decision 2008/616/JHA, the requirements contained in the official documents have been explained and described in more details and in concrete terms. This guide tackles especially the issues having impact on common communication and application interfaces. In regard to ever more EU countries entering police daily operations on DNA data exchange, it proves more advantageous agree upon a common set of specifications and standards to reflect the needs of all EU Member States. The implementation of the specified items, objects and mechanisms in conformity to the commonly defined specifications and standards may be taken by each country itself.

The main objective of this supplementary document is to provide all EU Member States with more insights into the implementations of the software components. What are not very technically defined and/or described in the official legal documents have been explained and annotated in this guide. EU Member States, especially those who intend to develop and maintain software components by themselves, could gain an insider view of the implementation details.

This updated version of the implementation guide takes account of comments made by DNA data exchange IT experts of AT and NL. In order to improve the readability of the document, changes made to the initial version are set out in **bold**.

Before any final agreement on this document, it is necessary, however, to define a new XML Schema file in accordance with the new additional ESS loci, construct a new DNA test set, compile a test plan with all EU Member States, and develop a binding software implementation and migration plan (see Chapter 4) .

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Diagrams

Background

1.1 Foreword

Since the integration of the Treaty of Prüm into the EU legal framework in June 2008, DNA data exchange in police daily operations has been regulated by Council Decision 2008/615/JHA and 2008/616/JHA respectively ("Prüm Decisions"). The technical and forensic requirements are described in Chapter 1 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA. However, there are places in the official document, which need clearer descriptions and exacter specifications to avoid ambiguity in the implementations. This implementation guide is aimed at providing EU Member States with tangible benefits in their own implementation of the software components for DNA data exchange, and can be thus served as a supplement to the related parts of the above mentioned Council Decisions.

1.2 Introduction

The main objective of this DNA Implementation Guide is to give more explanation and more accurate description to Chapter 1 of the Annex to 2088/616/JHA in conformity with the content of the official document. This document tackles both issues about IT and forensic matters which are not exactly defined, not clearly stated and still left open to interpretations. As far as it is possible, best viable options have been suggested for choice by EU Member States.

1.3 Scope

This document has been written especially regarding Chapter 1 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA, mainly covering the following aspects on DNA data exchange:

- Interface Control Document
- XML Schema
- Inclusion and matching rules
- Application architecture
- Network infrastructure
- Testing methodology
- Further steps

1.4 Normative References

- Council Decision 2008/615/JHA
- Council Decision 2008/616/JHA
- W3C XML Schema Part 1: Structures Second Edition (28 October 2004)
- W3C Namespaces in XML 1.0 (Third Edition), 8 December 2009

2 CONSIDERATION ON FORENSIC ISSUES

The content of Chapter 1.1 and 1.2 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA is explained and annotated in this part from a detailed technical point of view, especially as to the implementation aspects. These issues, which have not been explicitly specified in Chapter 1.1 and 1.2, are treated in more detail by providing possible options to solve the still open issues.

2.1 General Description of the Properties of DNA profiles

- Range of ESS loci

The table in Chapter 1.1 contains 24 loci including 7 ESS ones. Upon the new decision made by the ENFSI group, 5 more new loci (D1S1656, D2S441, D10S1248, D12S391 and D22S1045) have been adopted as more promising candidates for DNA identification and verification procedures. The range of ESS loci for identification and verification purposes has to be expanded to include these 5 new loci according to the last sentence of the 1st paragraph of the "Inclusion Rules". From the technical point of view, the following measures in the implementation note should be accomplished.

Implementation note:

- o Customize the XML-based communication components deployed at the sites of EU countries
- o Modify EU/Prüm indexed DNA data structure of each EU country for DNA data exchange
- o Modify national DNA data pool in conformity with respective national policies

(…)

2.2 Inclusion Rules

The inclusion rules for reference profiles are differentiated from those for stain profiles. There should be at least six full designated of the **12** ESS loci[1] for a reference profile to be qualified for the inclusion. However stain profiles have to contain at least six full designated loci and may contain any additional loci or blank depending on their availability. The following issues should be tackled and clarified for the specified inclusion rules in Decision 2008/616/JHA:

- Full designated loci and rare valued locus

The footnote on page 20 of the Annex states that "Full designated" means the handling of rare allele values is included. Actually, a locus fully assigned with numerical values and/or a wild card (*) will be considered as full designated. Practically in the forensic labs in EU countries, the treatment of a rare valued locus in its presentation form in one country could

---

[1] See Council Resolution of 30 November 2009 on the exchange of DNA analysis results 2009/C 296/01

be differentiated from the others. As having described in the paragraph relating wild cards, the following issues should be considered:

- o An array of letters is currently in use in EU countries with the possible indications of a rare valued locus or a locus with no value at all or something else. According to the rule defined in the Decision, any of these letters should be substituted by a wild card (*). The ambiguity of this rule leads to a mixture of different kinds of substituted information in lieu of real allele values of a locus. The match engine can not be therefore in the situation to differentiate "rare value" case from "no information" and/or other cases.
- o The cases for a rare valued locus are not clearly defined in the Decision. There is no mention of the relationship of a wildcard substitution of the numerical values "0", "1" or "99" and tri allelic values to the rare value treatment. A complete list of rare value cases should be included in an amended version of this Decision.

Implementation note

- o Do not substitute any "blank" and/or "no value available" spaces with a wild card
- o Only substitute a rare valued locus with a wild card

- Order of allele values of a locus

This issue is not regulated in the Decision. In practice, a lower valued allele should be put at the first position and the higher one at the second position.

Implementation note:

- o Match Engine should be implemented, so that the both positions of the numerical values will be checked, i.e. to allow permutation check.

- Delimiter of micro variant allele values

It is not clearly stated for the delimiter in the Decision. It should use a dot instead of a comma for this case. The definition of a delimiter has the impact on the structural format of a data pool.

- Wild cards

In order to differentiate a locus with rare values from those with other cases, a wild card (*) should be used only to substitute a rare valued allele. More than one wild card can be used to store a DNA profile in indexed DNA databases. The Decision has not specified this issue very clearly.

Implementation note:

- o Insert a common note as attachment to Decision 2008/616/JHA stating that a wild card (*) shall be used only for the substitution of a rare valued allele.
- o Insert a technical addendum stating that the places including a "blank", "unknown", "no value available" or "drop outs" shall be substituted by a capital letter "B" in the indexed DNA databases at the respective national sites
- o By exploiting the definition of the above mentioned foot note on page 20 regarding "full designated", match engine searches only 6 loci containing full designated numerical values without a wild card (*) and considers a wild card only from the 7th locus on. This option may be served as an alternative to the common note addressing the relationship between wild cards and rare-valued loci. If this option is chosen as an interim solution, match engines at the sites of EU countries have to be customized at additional development costs.

- Blank spaces

  This issue is not regulated in Decision 2008/616/JHA. At the current stage the following implementation options could be considered.

  Implementation notes:

  - o Do not store any blanks in DNA indexed databases **(...)**

- Tri-allelic values

  A tri-allelic valued locus, especially of a reference profile, mostly indicates a case of rare value. The tri-allelic loci of a stain profile may contradict this assertion. Upon the Decision 2008/616/JHA the first allele will be accepted and other two alleles shall be converted to a wild card (*) for searches. Applications at national sites should do this conversion before a tri-allelic locus will be included into the indexed DNA database.

- Homozygosis

  In the case of homozygosis a blank allele space has to be substituted by the same value of the other allele of the locus. In consideration of CODIS system, a locus, e.g., with a value pair of "a"," " shall be transformed into the value pair of "a","a" before being put into the DNA indexed database for search and comparison by other EU countries.

  Implementation note:

  Match engines shall only consider the value pair of the form "a","a" an occurrence of the homozygosis.

- No mixed profiles are allowed to be included in indexed DNA databases

2.3 Matching Rules

According to Decision 2008/616/JHA (1st paragraph of page 21 of the Annex), the matching rules are described as follows:

1. The loci to be matched and/or compared should be contained in both of DNA profiles

2. A hit is defined as one that at least six full designated loci exclusive of amelogenin match between both DNA profiles.

3. A near match is only accepted, if there are at least six full designated matched loci in both DNA profiles (see the foot note on "full designated" on the page 20)

4. A near match is defined as one, when the value of only one of all compared alleles is different in both DNA profiles.

5. The first wildcard encountered is considered a difference. **(…)** **The other wild card/s should be considered only in the case that the corresponding loci containing the further wildcard/s are not present in the profile to be compared**

6. A near match can come from three categories: matches involving wildcards, micro-variants and mismatches

7. There are four hit quality levels defined (see §4.2.2.3 Interface Control Document on page 25 of the Annex) to satisfy the rules as defined above:
   - o Hit Quality 1 (exact match)
     a match **of at least 6 fully designated loci** with all the same numerical allele values compared in both DNA profiles
   - o Hit Quality 2 (near match/wild card)
     a match including a wild card for the substitution of a rare value which is allowed **in addition** to the six designated loci
   - o Hit Quality 3 (near match/micro variant)
     a match accepting one difference in the case of micro variant **which is allowed in addition to the six designated loci**
   - o Hit Quality 4 (near match/mismatch)
     a match with other kind of a difference **which is allowed in addition to the six designated loci (…)**

2.4 Using anonymous DNA test set over test environments

Test environments and anonymous DNA profiles should be used for qualitative and quantitative tests over the deployed communication network sTESTA. From the operational point of view, the separation of a test environment from the operational one can mitigate the potential negative influences on the daily police operations caused by unpredictable testing behaviors. If a physical separated testing environment could not be established due to technical, financial or organizational reasons, it proves advantageous to configure a logical or virtual separation of test and production data.

A test set of pseudo DNA profiles consists of three major components: 1) a data pool containing a substantial amount of pseudo DNA profiles covering most possible cases for correctness check and error verification, 2) a pool of requests with properly selected DNA profiles to be sent to other EU countries for matching; 3) a pool of SHOULD-BE answers to the corresponding requests. This pseudo DNA profiles should cover possible correct and incorrect cases to verify the conformity with Decision 2008/616/JHA.

Implementation note:

- Set up a physically separated testing environment if possible, or
- Separate test and productive data logically and virtually
- Install the latest version of the test data set of pseudo DNA profiles, developed by NL and to be downloaded from the CIRCA Information and Utility Server[1], at both sides of the involved testing parties
- Use also anonymous DNA stain profiles in a mass comparison

2.5 Reporting Rules

All four hit quality categories and "not hit" will be generated by the systems and forwarded back to the requesting countries in an automated procedure. The follow-up requests concerning the details of the hits, especially person related information, shall be conducted in accordance with Article 5 and 10 respectively of Council Decision 2008/615/JHA.

3. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

The following implementation note should be considered while implementing section 3.1 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA.

Implementation note:

- In mint condition the response to an incoming request will be generated by the match engine within a few seconds, then processed and forwarded to the requesting party by the communication center through the sTESTA.
- Due to the underlying transport protocol SMTP via different email server nodes between two parties involved in the communication, there is no way to guarantee a certain amount of delivery time of the response to reach the requesting party **(…)**
- **Each MS shall implement a monitoring functionality to recognize unresolved search requests.**

4 DNA INTERFACE CONTROL DOCUMENT (ICD)

Section 4 of the Annex to 2008/616/JHA contains a general description of data items and structures to be used for implementation by XML mechanism. The items contained in the tables of the ICD are described in an informal language. The formal definitions of XML elements are included in the Appendices of this implementation guide.

Currently all countries use the XML Schema Version 1.0 in accordance with the Treaty of Prüm but not fully in conformity with the "Prüm Decisions". Before any final agreement about this ICD is reached, it is necessary to deploy the "Prüm Decisions" conform XML Schema

---

[1] see doc. 14755/10 JAI 825 DAPIX 33 CRIMORG 173 ENFOPOL 279

Version 1.1, additionally to define a customized XML Schema including the five new ESS loci (XML Schema Version 1.2) and to develop a binding software implementation and migration plan.

4.1 General Guidance and Standards

On account of EU legal constraints on police operations, a set of ICD (Interface Control Document) items with their SHOULD-BE characteristics has been adopted for the implementation of DNA data exchange among EU Member States. The procedure is based on a set of identification characteristics of the non-coding part of an analyzed human DNA sample, i.e. the particular molecular structure at the various DNA locations (loci). The established technology STRs (Short Tandem Repeats) has been deployed in analyzing human DNA samples. After a few modifications to the standard originally set up within the framework of the Treaty of Prüm, these items contained in the ICD have been widely used by all EU countries.

4.2 XML structure and principles

For the communication among the parties involved, there is one root XML element named "PRUEMDNA" defined in the XML Schema file and visibly contained in a XML instance document for requests and responses. For the purposes of technical processes, e.g. databases, in the background, other necessary items and fields are implemented invisibly to end users in the outside world.

All tables in the section 4.2 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA containing the items implemented are annotated in this section with the corresponding section numbers and the correction of typographical errors. All annotated tables listed below take the same table structure.

Table 1: Section 4.2.1 "XML Schema Element PRUEMDNA"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
Header	**PRUEM_header**	Complex	1	Containing info about a general description of DNA data exchange
Datas	**PRUEM_datas**	Complex	1-500	Containing DNA samples for exchange as many as to 500 profiles

Table 2: Section 4.2.2.1 "Complex Type PRUEM_header"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
Type	PRUEM_header_type	Simple	1	M := Multiple Profiles upon Article 4 S := Single Profile upon Article 3 (Included in the XSD V.1.0, but not yet implemented in V.1.0)
Direction	PRUEM_header_dir	Simple	1	Indicating the message flow: request or answer
Ref	string	Primitive build-in	1	Referencing XML instance document
generator	string	Primitive build-in	1	Indicating generator of XML instance document
schema_version	string	Primitive build-in	1	Containing version number of XML Schema
requesting	**PRUEM_header_info**	Complex	1	Containing info of a requesting country
requested	**PRUEM_header_info**	Complex	1	Containing info of a requested or country

Table 3: Section 4.2.2.2 "Simple type PRUEM_header_dir"

Value	Description
R	R stands for Requests
A	A stands for Answer

Table 4: Section 4.2.2.3 "Complex type PRUEM_header_info"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
source_isocode	string	Primitive build-in	1	ISO 3166-2 code of the requesting member state
destination_isocode	string	Primitive build-in	1	ISO 3166-2 code of the requested member state
request_id	string	Primitive build-in	1	Unique identifier of a request
Date	date	Primitive build-in	1	Date of message creation
Time	time	Primitive build-in	1	Time of message creation

Table 5: Section 4.2.3.1 "Complex type PRUEM_datas"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
Reqtype	PRUEM_request_type	Simple	N.A.	Denoting the type of requests (Article 3 or 4); It has not been implemented in the XML Schema version 1.0, but will be done in the version 1.1
Date	date	Primitive build-in	0-1	Indicating the date of data file to be stored
Type	PRUEM_data_type	Simple	0-1	DNA profile category: stain or person (reference) profiles
Result	PRUEM_data_result	Simple	1	Matching result category
Agency	string	Primitive build-in	1	Agency name in the requesting and requested country
profile_ident	string	Primitive build-in	1	Unique profile ID in the EU member state
Message	string	Primitive build-in	0-1	Error messages in the case of the returned value "E" of result
Profile	**IPSG_DNA_profile**	Complex	0-1	The data of profile will be contained in a response XML instance document if "direction" = "A" and "result" = "H"
match_id	string	Primitive build-in	0-1	Profile ID of the requesting profile in the case of a Hit
Quality	PRUEM_hitquality_type	Simple	0-1	Indicating 4 Hit quality levels
Hitcount	integer	Derived build-in	0-1	Number of counts of matched alleles
Rescount	integer	Derived build-in	N.A.	Number of counts of matched profiles in the answer. If "direction" = R(equest) then "rescount" is empty If "quality" = "0" then "rescount" is empty (It has not been implemented in the XML Schema version 1.0, but will be done in the version 1.1)

Table 6: Section 4.2.3.2 "Simple type PRUEM_request_type"

Value	Description
3	Requests pursuant to Article 3 of EU Council Decision 2008/615/JHA
4	Requests pursuant to Article 4 of EU Council Decision 2008/615/JHA

Note:

This simple type "PRUEM_request_type" has not yet been implemented in the XML Schema version 1.0. However, this feature is included in the XML Schema version 1.1 further developed by Germany. The tests of the version 1.1.7 have successfully been carried out between Austria and Germany. It is envisaged that the version 1.1 will be released to the EU Member States carrying out DNA data exchange in police daily operations after the finalization of the tests among all EU Member States connected over the productive environments.

Table 7: Section 4.2.3.3 "Simple type PRUEM_hitquality_type"

Value	Description
0	Referring original requesting profile: If "No Hit" then original requesting profile to be sent back only
1	Exact match: All available (numerical) allele values without wildcards in the compared profiles are identical.
2	Near Match (wildcard): Only one value of all compared alleles containing wildcards is different in the two DNA profiles.
3	Near Match (microvariant): Only one value of all compared alleles containing deviation (mirovariant) is different in the two profiles.
4	Near Match (mismatch): Only one value of all compared alleles is different in the two profiles in addition to six full designated matched loci.

Note:

A near match is only accepted if there are at least six full designated matched loci in the two compared DNA profiles.

Table 8: Section 4.2.3.4 "Simple type PRUEM_data_tape"

Value	Description
P	Person profile
S	Stain profile

Table 9: Section 4.2.3.5 "Simple type PRUEM_data_result"

Value	Description
U	Undefined, if direction = R(equest)
H	Hit
N	No Hit
E	Error

Note:

Error codes have been specified as follows:

- Format error of a locus
- Not enough number of ESS loci
- Not enough number of loci
- Invalid locus ordering
- Invalid locus value
- *(can be extended)*

A detailed error code catalogue has not yet been implemented in the XML Schema version 1.0, but will be realized in version 1.1.

Table 10: Section 4.2.3.6 "Complex type IPSG_DNA_profile"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
ess_issol	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL	Complex	0-1	group of loci corresponding to the ESS
additional_loci	IPSG_DNA_additional_loci	Complex	0-1	other additional loci
Marker	string	Primitive build-in	0-1	(Genetic) marker
profile_id	string	Primitive build-in	0-1	unique identifier of a DNA profile

Note:

- From historical reasons, the term "issol" has been used as field names in the specification. In the future versions of the specification this term will be deleted.
- The term of "IPSG" (Interpol Secretariat General) will be substituted by the "ESS" (European Standard Set of Loci) in the future version of the specification and be implemented in the XML Schema files

Table 11: Section 4.2.3.7 "Complex type IPSG_DNA_ISSOL"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
Vwa	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus vwa
th01	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus th01
d21s11	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d21s11
Fga	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus fga
d8s1179	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d8s1179
d3s1358	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d3s1358
d18s51	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d18s51
Amelogenin	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Amelogenin
(…)				

Note: see note of the Table 10

Table 12: Section 4.2.3.8: complex type of the new ESS loci

Note: Implementation XML Name Tag must be harmonized in a working group!

d1S1656	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d1S1656**
d2S441	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d2S441**
d10S1248	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d10S1248**
d12S391	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d12S391**
d22S1045	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d22S1045**

Table 13: Section 4.2.3.8 "Complex type IPSG_DNA_additional_loci"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
Tpox	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus tpox
csf1po	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus csf1po
d13s317	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d13s317
d7s820	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d7s820
d5s818	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d5s818
d16s539	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d16s539
d2s1338	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d2s1338
d19s433	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus d19s433
penta_d	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus penta_d
penta_e	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus penta_e
Fes	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus fes
f13a1	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus f13a1
f13b	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus f13b
se33	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus se33
cd4	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus cd4
Gaba	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	Complex	0-1	Locus gaba

Note: see note of the Table 10

Table 14: Section 4.2.3.9 "Complex type IPSG_DNA_locus"

Fields	Type Name	Type Category	Occ	Description
low_allele	string	Primitive build-in	1	Allele with smaller value
high_allele	string	Primitive build-in	1	Allele with larger value

4.3 XML Message between Member States

4.3.1 Request Message

The following message describes the structure of a request for DNA data exchange among EU Member States.

Table 15: Logical structure of a request message

Item	Occ.	Item Type	Remarks
PRUEMDNA	1	XML element	root element
Header			logical structure indicator
Header	1	PRUEM_header (XML Complex Type)	See Table 2
Body			logical structure indicator
Request			logical structure indicator
Datas$_1$ .... Datas$_N$	1-500	PRUEM_datas (XML Complex Type)	See Table 5

4.3.2 Response Message

The following message describes the structure of a response (answer) for DNA data exchange among EU Member States.

Table 16: Logical structure of a response message

Item	Occ.	Item Type	Remarks/Example
PRUEMDNA	1	XML element	root element
Header			logical structure indicator
Header	1	PRUEM_header (XML Complex Type)	See table 2
type	1	PRUEM_hearder_type	"M"
direction	1	PRUEM_header_dir	"A"
ref	1	string	"PRUEM_DNA_Response.xml"
generator	1	string	"PRUEM DNA Communication Center"
schema_version	1	string	"1.0"
requesting	1	PRUEM_header_info	See table 4
source_isocode	1	string	"AT"
destination_isocode	1	string	"DE"
request_id	1	string	"1281431941845"
date	1	date	"2010-08-10"
time	1	time	"22:10:20"
requested	1	PRUEM_header_info	See table 4

source_isocode	1	string	"DE"
destination_isocode	1	string	"AT"
request_id	1	string	"1281431941845"
date	1	date	"2010-08-10"
time	1	time	"22:10:25"
Body			logical structure indicator
Answer			logical structure indicator
datas	1-500	PRUEM_datas	See table 5
type	1	PRUEM_data_type	"S"
result	1	PRUEM_data_result	"H"
agency	1	string	"BKA ZD22"
profile_ident	1	string	"K012345678900"
Match_id	1	string	"30000000_1/1"
quality	1	PRUEM_hitquality_type	"1"
hitcount	1	integer	"6"
profile	1	IPSG_DNA_profile	See table 10 (matched profile sent back)
datas			
type	1	PRUEM_data_type	"S"
result	1	PRUEM_data_result	"N"
agency	1	string	"BK II.6.1.3"
profile_ident	1	string	"30000000_3/1
quality	1	PRUEM_hitquality_type	"0"
hitcount	1	integer	"0"
profile	1	IPSG_DNA_profile	See table 10 (original profile sent back)
datas			
……			

4.4   Backward compatibility and interoperability of XML Schemas

Under the regulations of the Treaty of Prüm, the communication software components using the XML Schema version 1.0 have been deployed in almost all EU Member States in the production environments. During the progress of the DNA data exchange in the context of the "Prüm Decisions", a few countries have developed and improved the match engine and communication software components by their own technical forces. The group of CODIS countries is waiting for the release of the CODIS 7, in which the Match Engine, Communication Center and mechanism to extract data in conformity with the inclusion rules of the "Prüm Decisions" will be re-invented and implemented by the FBI/UNISYS in accordance with the requirement specification by the CODIS-Prüm Interoperability Working Group. Three

kinds of communication software components will be deployed in the near future over the production environments:

- Communication Center developed by Germany
- Communication software components developed by other EU Member States
- Message Center integrated in CODIS 7 to be provided by FBI/UNISYS

In order to keep pace with the changing IT landscape and make different kinds of communication software components to be able to talk with each other, the backward compatibility and interoperability of XML Schemas play a vital role in the communication. One night switch to a single new version of communication interface at the sites of all productive EU Member State countries may be theoretically appropriate for a smooth and seamless transit, but in practice infeasible from the organizational point of view.

Implementation note:

- Description and specification in this guide can be served as guidelines on the implementation of interoperable communication components in EU Member States by themselves.
- Existing communication components of different sources should keep backward compatibility of the new version with the old one and the interoperability with those deployed by other countries.
- The mechanism of XSLT (XSL Transformations) is considered one of the state-of-the-art technologies to implement the requirements mentioned above in this section.

4.5 DNA XML Namespaces

Since the implementation according to the Treaty of Prüm, the generic W3C XML Namespace has been adopted in the communication interfaces. There are no other notions of XML Namespace having been created and used in the context of Prüm and EU DNA data exchange yet. Due to the diversification of the software development domains and involvement of multiple countries further to develop communication interfaces, the items and objects defined in a certain application domain have to be effectively differentiated from the other items and objects with same names.

It is predicted the construction and using of XML Namespace in new versions of communication interface would be ever more important and significant.

5. APPLICATION AND COMMUNICATION ARCHITECTURE

5.1 Description of the General Topology

The system of EU DNA data exchange has been designed decentralized, that means there are no substantial functional components realized and deployed in a central site. All database, application and email components with the exception of a central email relay server are located at local sites of the respective EU Member States. The requesting country will get

answers/responses from the requested country in an automated procedure within a defined time frame. From experience in police daily operations this time frame varies from seconds to at most 15 minutes.

Figure 1: General Topology of Application and Communication Architecture

5.2　　Security Standards and Data Protection

The design of the security architecture in three levels fulfills the legal and data protection requirements.

At the data level a HIT notification does not contain any personal information. The further investigation after a HIT notification will be carried out merely by means of an identification number with a view to exchanging more detailed information with the parties involved by specially authorized officers.

The encryption protocol sMIME is deployed to process email messages embodying requests and answers in XML format. By using this technology, the integrity of data coming across the country boundaries has been guaranteed.

All users of the EU Members States are connected through the logically closed EU-wide sTESTA network, where there is no trivial and direct connection to the Internet. The active access devices to the sTESTA at the national sites do use standard encryption mechanisms at the layer 2 and/or 3 in conformity with the 7-layered ISO/OSI Model.

5.3 Implementation Considerations

EU Member States have worked out a common set of definitions, standards and specifications, which reflects requirements of IT, forensic and police functional areas as contained in Decisions 2008/615/JHA and 2008/616/JHA. So far as it is possible, the open source software packages have been used in order to maintain the interoperability and at the same time to reduce the costs of the products.

The explanation and more detailed description in this implementation guide could support EU Member States in developing the product components by themselves.

5.3.1 Match Engine

During the development period of the software components, two kinds of match engines have been implemented for common use of DNA data exchange in compliance with the matching rules defined by Decision 2008/616/JHA (see section 2.3 of this document). Microsoft SQL and Oracle based match engines work together by providing HIT and/or No-HIT results over the sTESTA network to the parties involved. Up to now there are no other kinds of match engines to be involved in the processing. It is predicted that some other kinds of match engines would be brought into play in the near future. If new kinds of match engines would be developed by EU Member States, the rules described in the section 2.3 of this document should be taken into account.

Functional Description of the Match Engine:

Begin

allocate sufficient table space in the deployed DBMS

(It depends upon the amount of requests of DNA profiles; As a rule of thumb, 100 MB

is generally appropriate.)

check periodically incoming requests in an interface table by a DB polling mechanism;

ifthere are some incoming requests

then

{

fetch them sequentially;

parallelize the processing, if possible (German Oracle Match Engine does);

compare them with entries in the indexed DB upon the matching rules set in

the section 2.3;

write results with different processing flags into another interface table;

}

else

wait for another polling period;

End

Two interface tables are used for the processing by the match engine. One table serves as a place to store the values of DNA loci to be searched by other parties; the other holds administrative information such as profile identification, profile category, etc. It is not forcibly to put information into two interface tables. A single interface table holding all necessary information could also be used for this purpose.

Typically, a match engine should be implemented so that its behavior can be controlled by configuration parameters. For instance, there are a bunch of global control parameters of a typical match engine, which can be adjusted to suit different purposes of the operations. All the global control parameters of a match engine can be grouped in two categories: one consisting of parameters for dealing with the national specialties such as agency name, own ISO country code, setting of rare value, email address, etc and another category comprising parameters for handling information relating communication with other EU Member States such as status of the EU Member States (active in the test/production or not, what kind of DNA profiles to be sent, holding back or not of responses upon Art. 3, etc.).

5.3.2 Communication Center

The DNA Communication Center provides the interface for the exchange of DNA requests and results among EU Member States to generate and handling XML based messages. Before messages with DNA requests in XML format will be sent to other parties, Communication Center encrypts messages via sMIME. This piece of software has to be installed at the testing and production sites of each EU Member State.

DNA profile data in requests and responses are exchanged among participating countries in XML format wrapped in an encrypted message. The data are sent as file attachments. The following requirements must be met for the communication:

- SMTP and POP3 email components must be set up in the involved member state site
- The corresponding email addresses for tests and production have to be established upon the specification contained in the paragraph 5.7.4 (Network Address Assignment) of the Chapter 1 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA. With regard to the change of sTESTA domain, this set of parameters should be kept up-to-date.
- The subject field of a message containing DNA request/s should be filled out with the string "PRUEM DNA Request"
- The request file attached must have the name "PRUEM_DNA_Request.xml"
- The subject field of a message containing DNA answer/response should be filled out with the string "PRUEM DNA Response"
- The response file attached must have the name "PRUEM_DNA_Response.xml"
- XML instance documents to be exchanged must comply with the EU DNA Data Exchange XML Schema definition in the file "PRUEMDNA.xsd"

The workflow of the Communication Center is shown in the following diagram:

Figure 2: Workflow of the Communication Center

The German Communication Center has been implemented as a set of Java applications. It can run in any standard J2EE Servlet container. The Servlets manage four individual independent threads, which maintain incoming and outgoing messages with EU Member States. The servlet containers and threads implemented in the German Communication Center can be started, stopped and status-checked by the routines at the level of the operating system. With the help of several property files the behavior of the Communication Center can be configured. JDBC is used to establish the connection to and the interaction with databases. However any kind of other *x*DBC, e.g. ODBC, can be deployed to fulfill these functions. Error messages will be written into a log file.

The four property files contain the configurable information as shown in the following tables:

Table 17: Configuring the thread "RequestInThread"

Name	Description
Pop3Server	IP address or host name of the POP3 server from which incoming DNA requests can be collected
Pop3User	User/mailbox for incoming DNA requests
Pop3Passwd	Password for the mailbox
Interval	Time interval in milliseconds how often the mailbox should be searched for incoming requests
HomeIsoCode	ISO code of the own Member State

Table 18: Configuring the thread "RequestOutThread"

Name	Description
SMTPServer	IP address or host name of the local SMTP mail server through which requests are sent
SMTPUser	User name for the authentication on the SMTP server. If no authentication is required, " " can be entered.
SMTPPasswd	Password for the authentication on the SMTP server. If no authentication is required, " " can be entered.
Interval	Time interval in milliseconds how often checks should be made in the table PROFILE as to whether there are any new search requests.
HomeIsoCode	ISO code of the own Member State
HomeMailAddress	Sender e-mail address of the respective Member State

Table 19: Configuring the thread "ResponseInThread"

Name	Description
Pop3Server	IP address or host name of the POP3 server from which incoming DNA responses can be collected
Pop3User	User/mailbox for incoming DNA responses
Pop3Passwd	Password for the mailbox
Interval	Time interval in milliseconds how often the mailbox should be searched for incoming responses
HomeIsoCode	ISO code of the own Member State

Table 20: Configuring the thread "ResponseOutThread"

Name	Description
SMTPServer	IP address or host name of the local SMTP mail server through which responses are sent
SMTPUser	User name for the authentication on the SMTP server. If no authentication is required, " " can be entered.
SMTPPasswd	Password for the authentication on the SMTP server. If no authentication is required, " " can be entered.
Interval	Time interval in milliseconds how often checks should be made in the table RESULT if there are any new results.
HomeIsoCode	ISO code of the own Member State
HomeMailAddress	Sender e-mail address of the respective Member State

An open source based key management package has been embedded in the communication among EU Member States. Because of the restrictions of the Java-SDK imposed by the US export regulations, the asymmetrical encryption mechanism with a key length of 64 bit is used for encryption and decryption of messages.

In the following table, the main functions of the JavaMail-Crypto-API have been summarized.

Table 21: Main Functions of JavaMail Crypto API

Name	Description
HomeIsoCode	ISO code of the own Member State
KeyStore	KeyStore file which contains the private key of the Member State
KeyStorePublic	KeyStore file which contains the public keys of the other Member States
KeyStorePass	Password for accessing the keystores (the same password is valid for both)
CertDir	Directory where the public keys of the other Member States can be found. This directory is not required during run-time because the public keys are in the corresponding keystore.
MAIL_xx	Mail addresses of the other Member States to which the requests and responses are sent (xx -> ISO code of the respective Member State)
IN OUT ERR	Directories where incoming and outgoing e-mails are logged. In case of an error, the logging is done in the ERR directory.

It is not restricted to use this Java package only. So long as there is none of the general PKI infrastructure facilities existing, the following options can be deployed for DNA data exchange:

- Option: DC-certificates

  Decentralized generation and **C**entralized deposition of certificates

  This option DC has currently been deployed for DNA data exchange. Each EU Member State generates a key pair by itself and sends the generated the certificate with the corresponding public key to the party and/or parties to be involved in the communication. A central CIRCA server manages a deposit site for all valid certificates to being provided by EU Member States.

- Option: CC-certificates

  Centralized generation and **C**entralized deposition of certificates

  A central place should function as the Certificate Authority (CA), where public certificates will be signed and administered. After receipt of signed public certificates by this central CA, a pair of keys (public and private) will be generated locally at the site of a EU Member State. The generated public key will be further administered by the CA. The end-to-end communication via sMIME or alike suffices to key exchange among EU Member States.

  This option is favored from technical and administrative point of view.

5.3.3   Network Connections

The EU wide logically closed Network sTESTA is deployed for DNA data exchange among EU Member States. Each Member State has to establish a direct national access point to the sTESTA or an indirect secure link between the site, where DNA software components are installed and administered, and the site with the deployed national access point to the sTESTA.

Email messages carrying DNA requests and answers go through the sTESTA cloud and administered by an EU Email Relay Server.

Upon the current specification (paragraph 5.7.5, Chapter 1 of the Annex to Decision 2008/616/JHA), the syntax of the defined email domain assumes the form as follows:

"*application-type*.pruem.*ms-iso-code*.**eu-admin.net**"

The syntax of the italics part of the domain (sub domain) is described there as well. Since the transition of TESTA II to sTESTA the generic part of the email domain "eu-admin.net" has been changed to sTESTA related format by the provider of the network. In order to avoid interruption of the network services, the historically implemented email domain is still maintained by the network service provider. However, it is strongly recommended that the currently deployed email domain should be customized to the sTESTA conform format as soon as possible.

5.3.4 Other applications

Besides the match engines, communication centers and email components, other kinds of applications are deployed at national sites. In view of the diversified national regulations, there are no specific requirements for the additional applications. The general aspects of some of these applications are described in the following sections.

5.3.4.1 Graphical User Interface

Typically two kind of GUI: national and international can be implemented by presenting data flow in the sections as indicated in the following diagram. The major parts of the information contained in the databases can thus be rendered to users by GUI applications. With no strict national regulations imposed on demarcation of functional duties, these two GUI applications may be integrated into one.

Figure 3: Place where to set a Graphical User Interface

5.3.4.2 Workflow components at national levels

After a hit notification is received in an automated procedure at the first step, the further verification and investigation of this hit depend very much on the workflow at the national level known as the second step. As the "Prüm Decisions" do not regulate the step 2, no common components are rendered to EU Member States.

5.3.4.3 Making IT based statistics

We differentiate two kinds of statistics: one based on IT operational information, which may be obtained in the automated procedure and another based on police functional requirements. The former can be constructed by evaluating the parameters in the logging databases according to the "Prüm Decisions". The logging databases have been implemented at the sites of EU Member States in daily operations. A statistics meeting the functional needs could be compiled only after a harmonization at EU level.

6 CONFORMANCE TESTING METHODOLOGY

6.1 Using a defined DNA test set

As mentioned in section 2.4, a test set of pseudo and/or anonymous DNA profiles should be used for test purposes. It is critical that a DNA system claimed to conform to a reference system in operations is actually conformant. Thus there is a need for a standardized conformance testing methodology to be developed for evaluation and acceptance purposes.

With regard to conformance testing of included data content and format, a conformance testing framework with appropriate testing methods and procedures, a common set of pseudo/anonymous DNA profiles, testing and reporting requirements, and test assertions has been developed and is available for starting Prüm countries.

6.2 Three levels of conformance testing

A conformance testing round comprises three levels of tests.

Level 1: Data Format Conformance

In Level 1 testing, a set of data records in the indexed Database shall be checked for field-by-field and byte-by-byte conformance with the inclusion rules defined by the "Prüm Decisions". The potential data format errors can be found this way.

Level 2: Internal Consistency Checking

In Level 2 testing, a set of data records shall be checked to determine if they are internally consistent. For this purpose, the well-defined test assertions should be applied.

Level 3: Content Checking

Level 3 conformance testing is defined as a conformance testing methodology that checks if the generated request containing a DNA profile by an implementation unit is a faithful

representation regulated by the inclusion rules. The well-defined DAPIX DNA test set can be used for this purpose.

This conformance testing methodology should be designed and implemented during the further development and deployment of DNA data exchange at EU level.

6.3   Definition of the test procedure

Since the start of DNA daily operations in 2006, three kinds of acceptance tests have been carried out among the parties involved. They are

- Connection Tests over the sTESTA
- DNA data exchange upon Article 3 (reference and stain profiles)
- DNA data exchange upon Article 4 (stain profiles only)

The conformance testing methodology as described above has been applied empirically, but has not taken shape fully in the context of a standardized conformance testing. Once the framework of conformance testing methodology will be laid down, all these acceptance tests should be embedded into the defined testing framework

7.   CURRENT STATUS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

7.1   Standards versus products

For the time being, a variety of the software components of match engines and communication center has been deployed at the sites of EU countries in police daily operations and/or closely before entering operations. It is very difficult to keep all the components at EU level always up-to-date from technical, organizational and financial aspects.

A more effective way to maintain and further develop an interoperable array of software components at EU level is to work on a set of common standards and specifications. Each country could implement the products of its own at the national level in conformity with the common standards and specifications. The rules and requirements defined in the "Prüm Decisions" provide EU countries with a good start of the work on standards.

7.2   A general procedure

After integration of the Treaty of Prüm into the legal framework of EU, the number of countries, which should participate in DNA data exchange, has increased to 27 plus 2 associated states. Therefore, a general procedure for further development of the standards and specifications and deployment of DNA data exchange components has to be defined at EU level.

7.3   DNA XML Schema V.1.0

This version of XML Schema file had been developed by Germany and served as standardized interface for the communication among all EU Member States of the Treaty of Prüm, which has been signed on May 27th, 2005 by seven EU countries and officially acknowledged by a few other EU countries later on. The additional EU countries have filed their intentions and willingness to join the operations according to the Treaty of Prüm.

This version of XML Schema file has been deployed between Austria and Germany right at the very beginning of the operation in December 5th, 2006 and successively used at the sites of other EU Member States joining the daily operations.

For historical reasons, this version of XML Schema file contains some elements using the names which are historically correct but no more up to date in the context of 2008/615/JHA and 2008/616/JHA, such as "IPSG". These XML tag names should be customized in the future versions (V. 2.x).

By exploiting the defined features upon the ATIA (Administrative and Technical Implementation Agreement) of the Treaty of Prüm, this version of XML Schema provides a common communication interface for the connected countries. Germany implemented the Prüm communication components by means of a bunch of open source products and primarily JAVA-based programming codes, which have been made available free of charge to the Prüm member states according to the decision made by the Prüm JWG (Joint Working Group) with the members from the ministerial level of all Prüm countries. The organizational regulations under the legal framework of the "Prüm Decisions" may vary.

The type and element definitions for the ICD implementation are listed in the following tables and diagrams.

In addition to the standard build-in types defined by the W3C, the Simple and Complex Types used in the XML Schema for EU DNA data exchange are summarized in the following table.

Table 22: XML Type Definitions used in EU DNA Data Exchange

	Name	Facets			Type Definition	Comment
		minLen	enumeration	maxLen		
Simple Type	PRUEM_data_type	1	P/S	1	string	Type name
	PRUEM_data_result	1	U/H/N/E	1	string	Type name
	PRUEM_header_dir	1	A/R	1	string	Type name
	PRUEM_header_type		(M/S)		string	Not implemented in the version 1.0
	PRUEM_request_type		(3/4)		string	Not implemented in the version 1.0
	PRUEM_hitquality_type	1	0/1/2/3/4	1	string	Type name
	source_isocode	1		4	string	Element name
	destination_isocode	1		4	string	Element name
	Agency	1		127	string	Element name
	profile_ident	1		127	string	Element name
	match_ident	0		127	string	Element name
	Message	1		127	string	Element name
Complex Type	PRUEM_header	N.A			See table 2	Type name
	PRUEM_header_info	N.A.			See table 4	Type name
	PRUEM_datas	N.A			See table 5	Type name
	IPSG_DNA_profile	N.A.			See table 10	Type name
	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL	N.A.			See table 11	Type name
	IPSG_DNA_additional_loci	N.A.			See table 12	Type name
	IPSG_DNA_locus	N.A.			See table 13	Type name

Elements defined in the XML Schema are shown in the following table.

Table 23: XML Element Definitions used in EU DNA Data Exchange

Element Name	Type name	Type Category	Content Model	Referenced in
date	Data	Primitive build-in		PRUEM_header_info and PRUEM_datas
PRUEMDNA		Complex	Sequence	Root element
header	PRUEM_header	Complex	Sequence item	PRUEMDNA
datas	PRUEM_datas	Complex	Sequence item	PRUEMDNA
direction	PRUEM_header_dir	Simple	Sequence item	PRUEM_header
ref	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header
generator	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header
schema_version	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header
requesting	PRUEM_header_info	Complex	Sequence item	PRUEM_header
requested	PRUEM_header_info	Complex	Sequence item	PRUEM_header
source_isocode	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header_info
destination_isocode	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header_info
Request_id	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header_info
time	Time	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_header_info
type	PRUEM_data_type	Simple	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
result	PRUEM_data_result	Simple	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
agency	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas

profile_ident	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
match_ident	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
quality	PRUEM_hitquality_type	Simple	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
hitcount	Integer	Derived build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
message	String	Primitive build-in	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
profile	IPSG_DNA_profile	Complex	Sequence item	PRUEM_datas
ess_issol	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_profile
additional_loci	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_profile
marker	String	Primitive build	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_profile
profile_id	String	Primitive build	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_profile
vwa	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
th01	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
d21s11	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
fga	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
d8s1179	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL

d3s1358	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
d18s51	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
amelogenin	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_ISSOL
tpox	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
csf1po	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d13s317	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d7s820	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d5s818	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d16s539	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d2s1338	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d19s433	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
penta_d	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
penta_e	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
fes	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
f13a1	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
f13b	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
se33	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
cd4	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
gaba	IPSG_DNA_locus	Complex	Sequence item	ISPG_DNA_additional_loci
d1S1656	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d1S1656**
d2S441	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d2S441**
d10S1248	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d10S1248**
d12S391	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d12S391**
d22S1045	**IPSG_DNA_locus**	**Complex**	**0-1**	**Locus d22S1045**
low_allele	String	Primitive build	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_locus
high_allele	String	Primitive build	Sequence item	IPSG_DNA_locus

The following set of diagrams[1] illustrates the internal structure of all XML elements with the simple and complex type information **in version 1.0.**


Diagram 1: PRUEM_header

---

Diagram 2: PRUEM_header_requesting

**Diagram 3:** PRUEM_header_requested

**Diagram 4: PRUEM_header_info**

Diagram 5: Pruem_datas

Diagram 6: IPSG_DNA_profile

Diagram 7: IPSG_DNA_ISSOL

**Diagram 8**: IPSG_DNA_additional_loci (part 1)

Diagram 9: IPSG_DNA_additional_loci (part 2)

Diagram 10: IPSG_DNA_locus

**Diagram 11**: Element „date"

Diagram 12: Element „PRUEMDNA"

7.4   DNA XML Schema

The further developed DNA XML Schema Version 1.1 contains the following improvements,

- Handling DNA data exchange with reference to Article 3 or Article 4 of 2008/615/JHA
- Completing the implementation of some parts in version 1.0 (e.g. PRUEM_header_type)
- Providing backward compatibility with the Version 1.0 and interoperability with other versions of XML Schemas
- Including 5 additional ESS loci being accepted by the EU
- Concerning other miscellaneous features

The XML Schema version 1.1 and its further developments will be included in this document as soon as they are available.