

#### COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 20 March 2009

Interinstitutional File: 2008/0090 (COD) 7791/09

LIMITE

 INF
 58

 API
 32

 CODEC
 380

#### NOTE

потв	
from:	General Secretariat of the Council
to :	Delegations
No. Cion prop.:	9200/08 + COR 1 INF 103 API 23 JUR 192 CODEC 946 (COM(2008) 229 final)
No. prev. doc.	5671/1/09 REV 1 + REV 1 COR 1 + REV 1COR 2 INF 5 API 4 CODEC 74
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents (recast)

Following the adoption by the European Parliament of its amendments (92) concerning the abovementioned proposal, on 11 March 2009, the Presidency would like to take forward the examination of the draft recast during the next meeting of the Working Party on Information (WPI) on 2 April with a view to establishing a general approach as soon as possible.

The general approach should eventually reflect the position of the WPI as regards also the amendments proposed by the European Parliament. In view of this aim and taking into account the opinion of the Council Legal Service regarding certain amendments tabled by the EP (doc. 6865/09), it would be useful to identify, in the first place, those of Parliament's amendments which could constitute the basis for negotiations between the Parliament and the Council.

To that end, the Presidency transmits hereby for comments by delegations:

- a draft framework for the negotiations, setting out the Presidency's proposal with respect to admissibility of the amendments adopted by the European Parliament (Annex I),

# together with

- a table (Annex II) listing those amendments divided into three categories according to the below-mentioned criteria:
- Amendments which, according to the analysis by the CLS, fall outside the scope of Article 255 of the EC Treaty. These proposals are inadmissible within the recast of the Regulation.
- 2. Amendments falling within the scope of the recast, which are to be discussed further and which may constitute a basis for negotiations with the European Parliament.
- 3. The remaining amendments which, although within the scope of Article 255 TEC, fall outside the object of the recasting proposal. They may only be included in future negotiations if they are accepted by the Commission and incorporated into a modified recast proposal.

The Presidency therefore suggests that the discussions at the forthcoming meetings of the WPI be focused first on the confirmation of the inadmissibility of the EP's amendments belonging to the first category, then on substance of the amendments belonging to the second category together with the amendments proposed by the Commission in its recasting proposal with a view to establish a general approach. Finally, the Presidency intends to sound out delegations on their views with regard to the remaining EP amendments (the third category), pending possible modification by the Commission of its initial recasting proposal.

This exercise does not exclude that some of the concerns reflected in the non-negotiable amendments adopted by the EP could be met through other appropriate means, even if the examination of such accompanying measures would, at this stage, fall outside the remit of the WPI which is to examine the Commission's recasting proposal. By defining, in the first place, the framework of the future negotiations with the EP, the Working Party should be in a position to concentrate its work on what should constitute the core of that process, i.e. the admissible amendments. In a second phase, our objective should be to reach a general approach on the Commission's proposal, which does not only take account of Parliament's amendments, but also of comments and amendments suggested by delegations.

It would facilitate our work at the next meeting, if, prior to that meeting, delegations could provide the Presidency and the Council Secretariat with written comments on whether they can agree to the draft of this framework proposed by the Presidency.

The Presidency is willing to intensify its work on this file, if needed, by increasing the number of meetings during the next few months. The meeting following the meeting on 2 April has been scheduled for the 21 April.

\_\_\_\_\_

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(1) A number of substantive changes are to be made to Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents. In the interest of clarity, that Regulation should be recast.	(Numbers in brackets concern the new numbering of Recitals and Articles done by the PE)	
(2) The second subparagraph of Article 1 of the Treaty on European Union enshrines the concept of openness, stating that the Treaty marks a new stage in the process of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe, in which decisions are taken as openly as possible and as closely as possible to the citizen.		
<ul> <li>(3) Openness enables citizens to participate more closely in the decision-making process and guarantees that the administration enjoys greater legitimacy and is more effective and more accountable to the citizen in a democratic system. Openness contributes to strengthening the principles of democracy and respect for fundamental rights as laid down in Article 6 of the EU Treaty and in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.</li> <li>The conclusions of the European Council meetings held at Birmingham, Edinburgh and Copenhagen stressed the need to introduce greater transparency into the work of the Union institutions. This Regulation consolidates the initiatives that the institutions have already taken with a view to improving the transparency of the decision-making process.</li> </ul>	Amendment 1 (Cashman) Recital 3 a (Recital 4) (3a) Transparency should also strengthen the principles of good administration in the EU institutions as provided for by Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union <sup>1</sup> ("the Charter"). Internal procedures should be defined accordingly and adequate financial and human resources should be made available to put the principle of openness into practice. <sup>1</sup> OJ C 303, 14.12.2007, p.1.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255
	Amendment 2	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009,	Presidency proposal
(COM (2008) 229 final)	as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009 (Cashman)	based on CLS opinion
(4) The general principles and the limits on grounds of public or private interest governing the public right of access to documents	Recital 4	Admissible - to be
have been laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, which became applicable on 3 December 2001.	deleted	discussed
	Amendment 3	
(5) A first account of the involution of $\mathbf{D}$ could be $(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{C}))$ No.	(Cashman)	
(5) A first assessment of the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 was made in a report published on 30 January 2004. On 9	Recital 5	Admissible - to be discussed
November 2005, the Commission decided to launch the process	deleted	uiscusseu
leading to the review of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001. In a		
Resolution adopted on 4 April 2006, the European Parliament has		
invited the Commission to submit a proposal amending the Regulation. On 18 April 2007, the Commission published a Green	Amendment 92	
Paper on the review of the Regulation and launched a public	(Frassoni)	Inadmissible - goes
consultation.	Recital 5 a (Recital 5)	beyond the scope of
		Art. 255.
	5a. The consultation conducted by the Commission showed broad support from civil society for the European Parliament's call for the	
	introduction of a genuine freedom of information instrument applicable	
	to the institutional framework of the European Union, in accordance	
	with the right to good administration laid down Article 41 of the Charter	
	of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.	
	Amondmont 1	
	Amendment 4 (Cashman)	
	(	

The purpose of this Regulation is to give the fullest possible effect to the right of public access to documents and to lay down the general principles and limits on such access in accordance with Article 255(2) of the EC Treaty. Since the question of access to documents is not covered by provisions of the Treaty establishing the European Coal and Steel Community and the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community, the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission should, in accordance with Declaration No 41 attached to the Final Act of the Treaty of Amsterdam, draw guidance from this Regulation as regards documents concerning the activities covered by those two Treaties $\mathbb{E}$ that Treaty $\mathbb{E}$ . In accordance with Articles 28(1) and 41(1) of the EU Treaty, the Since the regulation as the function of the treaty of the treaty of the treaty the to the Final Act of the Treaties $\mathbb{E}$ that Treaty $\mathbb{E}$ . (8) In accordance with Articles 28(1) and 41(1) of the EU Treaty, the	Regulation is to give the fullest possible effect to cess to documents and to lay down the general hits on <i>the grounds of public or private interest</i> cess in accordance with Article 255(2) of the EC <i>into account the experience of the initial</i> <i>gulation (EC) No 1049/2001 and of the resolution</i>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies
Since the question of access to documents is not covered by provisions of the Treaty establishing the European Coal and Steel Community and the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community, the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission should, in accordance with Declaration No 41 attached to the Final Act of the Treaty of Amsterdam, draw guidance from this Regulation as regards documents concerning the activities covered by those two Treaties IS> that Treaty ⊠ . In accordance with Articles 28(1) and 41(1) of the EU Treaty, the (8) In accordance with Council and the Sin accordance with Council and the Sin accordance with Council and the Sin accordance with Council and the Council and th	ament of 4 April 2006 with recommendations to cess to the institutions' texts*. This Regulation is xisting rights of access to documents for Member ties or investigative bodies.	its proposal.
	, <i>p. 151.</i> h Articles 28(1) and 41(1) of the EU Treaty, the blies to documents relating to the common foreign to police and judicial cooperation in criminal	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(9) The European Parliament and the Council adopted on 6 September 2006 Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 on the application of the provisions of the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters to Community institutions and bodies. With regard to access to documents containing environmental information, this Regulation should be consistent with Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006.	<b>Recital 8 a (Recital 11)</b> (8 a) The EU institutions act in their legislative capacity when, by associating the European Parliament, they adopt even under delegated power rules of general scope which are legally binding in or for the Member States, by means of regulations, directives, framework decisions or decisions, on the basis of the relevant provisions of the Treaties.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal
(10) With regard to the disclosure of personal data, a clear relationship should be established between this Regulation and Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data.	Amendment 7 (Cashman) Recital 10 (Recital 21) (10) The Community institutions and bodies should treat personal data in a fair and transparent way and in full compliance with the rights of data subjects as defined by Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data and by the case-law of the Court of Justice of the European Communities ("the Court of Justice"). The institutions should define their internal procedures, duly taking into account the recommendation of the European Data Protection Supervisor. Since the adoption of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 the case-law of the	Admissible - to be discussed

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Court of Justice and decisions and positions adopted by the European Ombudsman and the European Data Protection Supervisor have clarified the relationship between that Regulation and Regulation (EC) No 45/2001, to the effect that it is the regulation on access to documents which is to be applied to requests for documents containing personal data and that application of the exceptions to the rules allowing access to documents and information for the purpose of protecting personal data must be based on the need to protect the privacy and integrity of an individual.	
	Amendment 99	
	(Cashman and Fava) Recital 10 a (Recital 22)	Admissible - to be discussed
	(10a) The right of access to public documents is without prejudice to the right of access to personal data under Regulation (EC) No 45/2001. When a person requests access to data concerning him or her, an institution should on its own initiative examine whether that person is entitled to access under Regulation (EC) No 45/2001.	
	Amendments 93 and 110 (Frassoni & Svensson)	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(11) Clear rules should be established regarding the disclosure of documents originating from the Member States and of documents of third parties which are part of judicial proceedings files or obtained by the institutions by virtue of specific powers of investigation conferred upon them by EC law.	<b>Recital 11 a (Recital 25)</b> 11a. The Court of Justice of the European Communities has specified that the obligation for Member States to be consulted in relation to requests for access to documents originating from them does not give them a right of veto, or the right to invoke national laws or provisions and that the institution receiving a request may refuse access only on the grounds of the exceptions in this Regulation. However, there is still a need to clarify the status of documents originating from third parties in order to ensure that information relating to legislative procedures is not shared more broadly with third parties, (including administrations of third countries) than with Union citizens to whom the legislation will apply.	Admissible - to be discussed
(12) Wider access should be granted to documents in cases where the institutions are acting in their legislative capacity, including under delegated powers, while at the same time preserving the effectiveness of the institutions' decision-making process. Such documents should be made directly accessible to the greatest possible extent.	Amendment 8 (Cashman) Recital 12 (12) In compliance with the democratic principles outlined in Article 6(1) of the EU Treaty and the case-law of the Court of Justice on the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, wider access should be granted to documents in cases where the institutions are acting in their legislative capacity, including under delegated powers. Legal texts should be drafted in a clear and understandable way and published in the Official Journal of the European Union; preparatory documents and all related information, including legal opinions and the interinstitutional procedure, should be made easily accessible by citizens on the Internet in a timely manner.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	technical solutions to track the life-cycle of preparatory documents and to share them with the institutions and bodies associated in the procedure should be agreed by the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission in accordance with this Regulation and published in the Official Journal of the European Union. <sup>1</sup> Interinstitutional Agreement of 22 December 1998 on common guidelines for the quality of drafting of Community legislation (OJ C 73, 17.3. 1999, p. 1).	
	Amendment 9 (Cashman) Recital 12 a (Recital 18) (12a) Documents related to non- legislative procedures, such as binding measures without general scope or measures dealing with internal organisation, administrative or budgetary acts, or of a political nature (such as conclusions, recommendations or resolutions) should be easily accessible in compliance with the principle of good administration outlined in Article 41 of the Charter, while at the same time preserving the effectiveness of the institutions' decision-making process. For each category of document the institution responsible and, where appropriate, the other institutions associated should make accessible to citizens the workflow of the internal procedures to be followed, which organisational units could be in charge, as well their remit, the deadlines set and the office to be contacted. Special arrangements may be made with the interested parties in the procedure even when public access could not be granted; the institutions should duly take into account the recommendations of the European Ombudsman.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(13) Transparency in the legislative process is of utmost importance for citizens. Therefore, institutions should actively disseminate documents, which are part of the legislative process. Active dissemination of documents should also be encouraged in other fields.	Amendment 10 (Cashman) Recital 12 b (Recital 19) (12b) The institutions should agree on common guidelines as to the way in which to register their internal documents, to classify them and to archive them for historical needs according to the principles outlined in this Regulation. Council Regulation (EEC, Euratom) No 354/83 of 1 February 1983 concerning the opening to the public of the historical archives of the European Economic Community and the European Atomic Energy Community* should then be repealed. * OJ L 43, 15.2.1983, p.1. Amendment 11 (Cashman) Recital 13 a (Recital 13) (13a) An interinstitutional register of lobbyists and other interested parties is a natural tool for the promotion of openness and transparency in the legislative process.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255. Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	(Svensson) Recital 13 b (Recital 26) (13a) In accordance with Article 255(1) of the EC Treaty, the Commission should immediately make all documents related to the ongoing international negotiations on the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA) publicly available.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
(14) In order to ensure the full application of this Regulation to all activities of the Union, all agencies established by the institutions should apply the principles laid down in this Regulation.	Amendment 12 (Cashman) Recital 14 (Recital 33) (14) In order to ensure the full application of this Regulation to all activities of the Union, all agencies established by the institutions should apply the principles laid down in this Regulation. <i>All the other EU</i> <i>institutions are invited to adopt comparable measures in accordance</i> <i>with Article 1 of the EU Treaty.</i>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
(15) On account of their highly sensitive content, certain documents should be given special treatment. Arrangements for informing the European Parliament of the content of such documents should be made through interinstitutional agreement.	Amendment 13 (Cashman) Recital 15 (Recital 20) (15) In order to develop the activities of the institutions in areas which require a degree of confidentiality, it is appropriate to establish a comprehensive security system covering the treatment of EU classified information. The term "EU classified" should mean any information and material the unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third countries or international organisations. In accordance with the democratic principle outlined in Article 6(1) of the	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009 EU Treaty, the European Parliament should have access to EU classified information notably when such access is necessary for the performance of legislative or non-legislative duties conferred by the Treaties. Amendment 100 (Cashman and Fava) Recital 15 a (Recital 16) (15a) Without prejudice to national legislation on access to documents, in accordance with the principles of loyal cooperation and legal certainty, when implementing acts of the EU institutions, the Member States should not undermine the attainment of the objectives of this Regulation, including the level of transparency which it seeks to ensure at EU level and should, in particular, ensure that the Member States' national provisions implementing EU legislation should give European citizens and other persons concerned a clear and precise understanding of their rights and obligations and enable national courts to ensure that those rights and obligations are respected. Amendment 14 (Cashman) Recital 16 (Recital 27) (16) In order to bring about greater openness in the work of the institutions, access to documents should be granted by the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission not only to documents drawn up by the institutions, but also to documents received by them. A Member State may request the European Parliament, the Commission or the Council not to communicate to third parties outside the	• 1 1
	institutions themselves a document originating from that State without its prior agreement. If such a request is not accepted, the institution which received the request should give the reasons for refusing it. According to Article 296 of the EC Treaty, no Member State is obliged	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(17) In principle, all documents of the institutions should be accessible to the public. However, certain public and private interests should be protected by way of exceptions. The institutions should be entitled to protect their internal consultations and deliberations where necessary to safeguard their ability to carry out their tasks. In assessing the exceptions, the institutions should take account of the principles in Community legislation concerning the protection of personal data, in	to supply information the disclosure of which it considers contrary to the essential interests of its security. Amendment 15 (Cashman) Recital 17 (Recital 28) (17) In principle, all documents drafted or received by the institutions and relating to their activities should be registered and accessible to the public. However, without prejudice to the European Parliament's scrutiny, access to the entire document or to part of it could be postponed.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
all areas of Union activities.	Amendment 116 (Nassauer) Recital 17 a (Recital 23)(17a) Article 4 of the Statute for Members of the European Parliament excludes the documents of Members of the European Parliament from the scope of the definition of "document" used in this Regulation. These documents, when transmitted to the institutions outside the legislative process, are still protected by Article 6 of the Members' Statute. Therefore the interpretation of this Regulation should take due account of the protection of the political activities of Members of the European Parliament, as enshrined in the Members' Statute in order to protect the democratic principles of the European Union.Amendment 16	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	(Cashman)	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
<ul><li>(18) All rules concerning access to documents of the institutions should be in conformity with this Regulation.</li></ul>	Recital 18 (Recital 7) (18) In accordance with Article 255(2) of the EC Treaty, this Regulation details the general principles and limits on grounds of public or private interest governing the right of access to documents with which all the other EU rules should comply.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	Amendment 17 Recital 18 a (Recital 29) (18a) The institutions should ensure that the development of information technology makes it easier to exercise the right of access and does not result in a reduction in the amount of information available to the public.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
<ul> <li>(19) In order to ensure that the right of access is fully respected, a two-stage administrative procedure should apply, with the additional possibility of court proceedings or complaints to the Ombudsman.</li> <li>(20) Each institution should take the measures necessary to inform the public of the new provisions in force and to train its staff to assist citizens exercising their rights under this Regulation. In order to make it easier for citizens to exercise their rights, each institution should provide access to a register of documents.</li> </ul>	Amendment 19 (Cashman) Recital 20 (Recital 31) (20) <i>The</i> institution should <i>in a consistent and coordinated way</i> inform the public of the <i>measures adopted to implement this Regulation</i> and train their staff to assist citizens exercising their rights under this Regulation.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	Amendment 20 (Cashman)	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(21) Even though it is neither the object nor the effect of this Regulation to amend national legislation on access to documents, it is nevertheless clear that, by virtue of the principle of loyal cooperation which governs relations between the institutions and the Member States, Member States should take care not to hamper the proper application of this Regulation and should respect the security rules of the institutions.	<b>Recital 21 (Recital 17)</b> (21) Even though it is neither the object nor the effect of this Regulation to amend national legislation on access to documents, it is nevertheless clear that, by virtue of the principle of loyal cooperation which governs relations between the institutions and the Member States, <i>the Member States should grant to their citizens at national level at least the same level of transparency as is granted at EU level when implementing EU rules. By the same token and without prejudice to national parliamentary scrutiny, Member States should take care not to hamper the processing of EU classified documents.</i>	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
(22) This Regulation is without prejudice to existing rights of access to documents for Member States, judicial authorities or investigative bodies.	Amendment 21 (Cashman) Recital 22deletedAmendment 22 (Cashman) Recital 22 a (Recital 15)(22a) By way of a complementing this Regulation, the Commission should propose an instrument, to be adopted by the European Parliament and Council, on common rules governing the re-use of information and documents held by the institutions which implements, mutatis mutandis, the principles outlined in Directive 2003/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the re-use of public-sector information.Amendment 23	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal. Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
<ul> <li>(23) In accordance with Article 255(3) of the EC Treaty, each institution lays down specific provisions regarding access to its documents in its rules of procedure, Council Decision 93/731/EC of 20 December 1993 on public access to Council documents<sup>1</sup>, Commission Decision 94/90/ECSC, EC, Euratom of 8 February 1994 on public access to Commission documents<sup>2</sup>, European Parliament Decision 97/632/EC, ECSC, Euratom of 10 July 1997 on public access to European Parliament documents<sup>3</sup>, and the rules on confidentiality of Schengen documents should therefore, if necessary, be modified or be repealed.</li> <li>HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:</li> </ul>	(Cashman) Recital 23 (Recital 32) (23) In accordance with Article 255(3) of the EC Treaty <i>and the</i> <i>principles and rules outlined in this Regulation</i> each institution lays down specific provisions regarding access to its documents in its rules of procedure,	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 1 Purpose The purpose of this Regulation is:	Amendment 24 Article 1 – point a	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
<ul> <li>(a) to define the principles, conditions and limits on grounds of public or private interest governing the right of access to European Parliament, Council and Commission (hereinafter referred to as «the institutions») documents provided for in Article 255 of the EC Treaty in such a way as to ensure is grant the public (I the widest possible access to is such (I communication) and communication)</li> </ul>	(a) to define <i>in accordance with Article 255 of the EC Treaty,</i> the principles, conditions and limits on grounds of public or private interest governing the right of access to <i>documents of the</i> European Parliament, Council and Commission (hereinafter referred to as «the institutions») <i>as well of all the Agencies and bodies created by those institutions</i> to grant the widest possible access to such documents;	
b) to establish rules ensuring the easiest possible exercise of this right.		
<ul> <li>(c) to promote good administrative practice on access to documents.</li> </ul>	Amendment 25 Article 1 – point c (c) to promote <i>transparent and</i> good administrative practice <i>in the</i> <i>institutions in order to improve</i> access to <i>their</i> documents.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 2 Beneficiaries and scope	Amendment 27 (Cashman) Article 2 Beneficiaries	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	Amendment 28 Article 2, paragraph 1	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
1. Any eitizen of the Union, and any natural or legal person residing or having its registered office in a Member State, has $\boxtimes$ shall have $\bigotimes$ a right of access to documents of the institutions, subject to the principles, conditions and limits defined in this Regulation.	1. Any natural or legal person <i>or any association of legal or natural persons</i> shall have a right of access to documents of the institutions, subject to the principles, conditions and limits defined in this Regulation.	
<ul> <li>2. The institutions may, subject to the same principles, conditions and limits, grant access to documents to any natural or legal person not residing or not having its registered office in a Member State.</li> <li>32. This Regulation shall apply to all documents held by an institution, that is to say is namely (I documents drawn up or received by it and in its possession is concerning a matter relating to the policies, activities and decisions falling within its sphere of responsibility (I), in all areas of activity of the European Union.</li> </ul>	Amendment 29 (Cashman) Article 2, paragraph 2 deleted	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.

JT/ML/mi/kb LIMITE

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Amendment 114         (Nassauer)         Article 2, paragraph 2 a (Art. 2(2))         2a. This Regulation shall not apply to documents covered by Article 4 of the Statute for Members of the European Parliament.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
$\underline{3}$ . Without prejudice to Articles 4 and 9, documents shall be made accessible to the public either following a written application or directly in electronic form or through a register. In particular, documents drawn up or received in the course of a legislative procedure shall be made directly accessible in accordance with Article 12.	Amendment 30 (Cashman) Article 2, paragraph 3 deleted	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
$\frac{54}{54}$ . Sensitive documents as defined in Article 9(1) shall be subject to special treatment in accordance with that Article.	Amendment 31 (Cashman) Article 2, paragraph 4 deleted	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
5. This Regulation shall not apply to documents submitted to Courts by parties other than the institutions.	Amendment 32 (Cashman) Article 2, paragraph 5	Admissible - to be discussed.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
6. Without prejudice to specific rights of access for interested parties established by EC law, documents forming part of the administrative file of an investigation or of proceedings concerning an act of individual scope shall not be accessible to the public until the investigation has been closed or the act has become definitive. Documents containing information gathered or obtained from natural or legal persons by an institution in the framework of such investigations shall not be accessible to the public.	deleted Amendment 33 (Cashman) Article 2, paragraph 6 deleted	Admissible - to be discussed.
$\underline{\underline{67}}$ . This Regulation shall be without prejudice to rights of public access to documents held by the institutions which might follow from instruments of international law or acts of the institutions implementing them.	Amendment 34 (Cashman) Article 2, paragraph 7 deleted	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	Amendment 108         (Speroni)         Article 2, paragraph 7 a (Art. 2(3))         7a. In order to ensure that the principle of institutional transparency is fully applied, free public access to documents concerning infringement mechanisms and proceedings should be guaranteed.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Amendment 35 Article 2 a (Art. 3)	Goes beyond the object of the recasting
	Article 2 a Scope	proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	1. This Regulation shall apply to all documents held by an institution, that is to say documents drawn up or received by it and in its possession, in all areas of activity of the European Union.	
	2. Documents shall be made accessible to the public either in electronic form in the Official Journal of the European Union, or in an official institution's register or following a written application.	
	The documents drawn up or received in the course of a legislative procedure shall be made directly accessible in accordance with Article 11.	
	3. This Regulation shall be without prejudice to enhanced rights of public access to documents held by the institutions which might follow from instruments of international law or acts of the institutions implementing them or by the Member States' legislation.	
Article 3 Definitions	Amendment 36 (Cashman) Article 3, point a (Art. 4)	Admissible - to be discussed.
For the purpose of this Regulation:	(a) «document» <i>shall mean</i> any <i>data or</i> content whatever its medium (written on paper or stored in electronic form or as a sound, visual or	
<ul> <li>(a) «document» shall mean ∞ means ∞ any content whatever its medium (written on paper or stored in electronic form or as a sound, visual or audiovisual recording) concerning a matter relating to the policies, activities and decisions falling within the institution's sphere of responsibility ∞ drawn-up by an institution and formally</li> </ul>	audiovisual recording) concerning a matter relating to the policies, activities and decisions falling within the institution's sphere of responsibility; information contained in electronic storage, processing and retrieval systems (including external systems used for the institution's work) shall constitute a document or documents if it can be	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
transmitted to one or more recipients or otherwise registered, or received by an institution; data contained in electronic storage, processing and retrieval systems are documents if they can be extracted in the form of a printout or electronic-format copy using the available tools for the exploitation of the system $\langle X \rangle$ ;	extracted in the form of one or more printouts or electronic-format copies using any reasonably available tools for the exploitation of the system. An institution that intends to create a new electronic storage system, or to substantially change an existing system, shall evaluate the likely impact on the right of access guaranteed by this Regulation and act so as to promote the objective of transparency. The functions for the retrieval of information stored in electronic storage systems by the institutions shall be adapted in order to satisfy repeated requests from the public which cannot be satisfied using the tools currently available for the exploitation of the system;	
	<ul> <li>Amendment 37</li> <li>Article 3 – point a a (point b)</li> <li>(a a) «classified documents» shall mean documents the disclosure of which could affect the protection of the essential interests of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States, notably in public security, defence and military matters, and which may be partially or totally classified;</li> </ul>	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
	Amendment 101 (Cashman and Fava) Article 3 – point a b (point c) (a b) 'legislative documents' shall in principle mean documents drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of acts, including under delegated powers, which are legally binding in or for the Member States and for the adoption of which the Treaty provides for the intervention or association of the European Parliament; by way of exception, measures of general scope which according to the Treaties are adopted by the Council and the Commission without associating the European Parliament shall also be considered "legislative".	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	<ul> <li>Amendment 39</li> <li>Article 3 – point a c (point d)</li> <li>(a c) «non-legislative documents» shall mean documents drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of acts of a political nature, such as conclusions, recommendations or resolutions or acts which are legally binding in or for the Member States, but which are not of general scope as are the ones cited in point ab;</li> </ul>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	<ul> <li>Amendment 40</li> <li>Article 3 – point a d (point e)</li> <li>(a d) «administrative documents» shall mean documents relating to the institutions' decision-making process or measures dealing with organisational, administrative or budgetary matters which are internal to the institution concerned;</li> </ul>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
	Amendment 41         Article 3 – point a e (point f)         (a e) «archive» shall mean an institution's tool for managing in a structured way the registration of all the institution's documents referring to an ongoing or recently concluded procedure;	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
	Amendment 42 Article 3 – point a f (point g) (a f) «historical archives» shall mean that part of the archives of the institutions which has been selected, on the terms laid down in point a), for permanent preservation;	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009,	Presidency proposal
(COM (2008) 229 final)	as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	based on CLS opinion
(b) «third party» <del>shall mean</del> ⊠ means ⊠ any natural or legal person, or any entity outside the institution concerned, including the Member States, other Community or non-Community institutions and bodies and third countries.		Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Amendment 44 Article 3 a (Art. 5)	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
	Article 3 a Classified documents	
	1. When grounds of public policy exist under Article 6(1), and without prejudice to parliamentary scrutiny at EU and national level, an institution shall classify a document where its disclosure would undermine the protection of the essential interests of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States. Information shall be classified as follows:	
	(a) "EUTOP SECRET": this classification shall be applied only to information and material the unauthorised disclosure of which could cause exceptionally grave prejudice to the essential interests of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States;	
	(b) "EU SECRET ": this classification shall be applied only to information and material the unauthorised disclosure of which could seriously harm the essential interests of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States;	
	(c) "EU CONFIDENTIAL ": this classification shall be applied to information and material the unauthorised disclosure of which could harm the essential interests of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States;	
	(d) "EU RESTRICTED": this classification shall be applied to information and material the unauthorised disclosure of which could be disadvantageous to the interests of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States;	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	2. Information shall be classified only when necessary. If possible, originators shall specify on classified documents a date or period when the contents may be downgraded or declassified. Otherwise, they shall review the documents at least every five years, in order to ensure that the original classification remains necessary. The classification shall be clearly and correctly indicated, and shall be maintained only for as long as the information requires protection. The responsibility for classifying information and for any subsequent downgrading or declassification rests solely with the originating institution or that which received the classified document from a third party or another institution.	
	3. Without prejudice to the right of access by other EU institutions, classified documents shall be released to third parties only with the consent of the originator. However, the institution refusing such access shall give reasons for its decision in a manner which does not harm the interest protected under Article 6(1).	
	When more than one institution is involved in the processing of a classified document, the same ground of classification shall be granted and mediation shall be initiated if the institutions have a different appreciation of the protection to be granted. Documents relating to legislative procedures shall not be classified; implementing measures shall be classified before their adoption insofar as the classification is necessary and aimed at preventing an adverse effect on the measure itself. International agreements dealing with the sharing of confidential information concluded on behalf of the European Union or of the Community and cannot give any right to a third country or international organisation to prevent the European Parliamentfrom having access to confidential information.	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	4. Applications for access to classified documents under the procedures laid down in Articles 17 and 18 shall be handled only by those persons who have a right to acquaint themselves with those documents. Those persons shall also assess which references to classified documents could be made in the public register.	<b>^</b>
	5. Classified documents shall be recorded in an institution's register or released only with the consent of the originator.	
	6. An institution which decides to refuse access to a classified document shall give the reasons for its decision in a manner which does not harm the interests protected by the exceptions laid down in Article $6(1)$ .	
	7. Without prejudice to national parliamentary scrutiny, Member States shall take appropriate measures to ensure that, when handling applications for EU classified documents, the principles set out in this Regulation are respected.	
	8. The security rules of the institutions concerning classified documents shall be made public.	
	9. The European Parliament shall have access to classified documents through a special oversight committee composed of members appointed by its Conference of Presidents. These members shall comply with a specific clearance procedure and solemnly swear not to reveal in any way the content of the information accessed.	
	The European Parliament shall establish in its internal rules and in compliance with the obligations conferred by the Treaties, security standards and sanctions equivalent to the ones outlined in the Council and Commission Internal Security rules.	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 4 Exceptions	Amendment 45 Article 4 – title (Art. 6) <i>General</i> exceptions <i>to the right of access</i>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
1. The institutions shall refuse access to a document where disclosure would undermine the protection of $\frac{1}{2}$ (a) the public interest as regards:	Amendment 46 Article 4 – paragraph 1 – introductory part (Art. 6(1)) 1. <i>Without prejudice to the cases dealt with in Article 5,</i> the institutions <i>shall</i> refuse access to a document where disclosure would undermine the protection of the public interest as regards:	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
(a) public security ⇒ including the safety of natural or legal persons ⇐	Amendment 47 Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a (Art. 6(1)a) (a) the internal public security of the European Union or of one or more of its Member States;	Admissible - to be discussed.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
(b) defence and military matters		
	Amendment 49 Article 3, point b a (Art. 6(1)b)	Admissible - to be discussed.
	(b a) the privacy and the integrity of the individual, in accordance with Community legislation regarding the protection of personal data in particular the rules applicable to the institutions as laid down in Article 286 of the EC Treaty as well as the principle of transparent and good administrative practice outlined in Article 1(c) of this Regulation;	
<u>(c)</u> international relations $\frac{1}{2}$		
(d) the financial, monetary or economic policy of the Community or a Member State;		
(e) the environment, such as breeding sites of rare species.		
(b)privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with Community legislation regarding the protection of personal data.		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
2. The institutions shall refuse access to a document where disclosure would undermine the protection of:	<ul> <li>Amendment 48 (Cederschiöld)</li> <li>Article 4, paragraph 2, introductory part (Art. 6(2))</li> <li>2. The institutions shall refuse access to a document where disclosure would undermine the protection of <i>public or private interests linked to</i>:</li> </ul>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
$(\underline{a})$ commercial interests of a natural or legal person <sub><math>\overline{z}</math></sub> ; including intellectual property,		
$\boxtimes$ (b) intellectual property rights; $\bigotimes$		
(c) $\boxtimes$ legal advice and $\bigotimes$ court proceedings $\Leftrightarrow$ , arbitration and dispute settlement proceedings $\Leftrightarrow$ and $\frac{1}{22}$	Amendment 50         (Svensson, Liotard)         Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point c (Art. 6(2)c)         (c) legal advice and court proceedings, except for legal advice in connection with procedures leading to a legislative act or a non-legislative act of general application;	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
( <u>d</u> ) the purpose of inspections, investigations and audits $\frac{1}{2}$		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
the institutions concerned, shall be refused even after the decision has been taken if disclosure of the document would seriously undermine the institution's decision-making process, unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure.		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
$\boxtimes$ 4. The exceptions under paragraphs (2) and (3) shall apply unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure. $\bigotimes \Rightarrow$ As regards paragraph 2(a) an overriding public interest in disclosure shall be deemed to exist where the information requested relates to emissions into the environment. $\Leftarrow$	<ul> <li>Amendment 53 (Cederschiöld)</li> <li>Article 4 – paragraph 4 (Art. 6(3))</li> <li>4. The exceptions under paragraph (2) shall apply unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure. A strong public interest in disclosure exists where the requested documents have been drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of EU legislative acts or of non-legislative acts of general application. When balancing the public interest in disclosure, special weight shall be given to the fact that the requested documents relate to the protection of fundamental rights or the right to live in a healthy environment.</li> </ul>	Admissible - to be discussed.
	Amendment 115 (Nassauer) Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (Art. 6(4)) 4a. The definition of an overriding public interest in disclosure shall take due account of the protection of the political activity and independence of Members of the European Parliament, in particular with regard to Article 6(2) of the Members' Statute.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009Amendment 54Article 4 – paragraph 4 b (Art. 6(5))4b. Documents the disclosure of which would pose a risk to environmental protection values, such as the breeding sites of rare species, shall only be disclosed in conformity with Regulation (EC) No 	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion Admissible - to be discussed.
5. Names, titles and functions of public office holders, civil servants and interest representatives in relation with their professional activities shall be disclosed unless, given the particular circumstances, disclosure would adversely affect the persons concerned. Other personal data shall be disclosed in accordance with the conditions regarding lawful processing of such data laid down in EC legislation on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data.	Justice in Environmental Matters to Community institutions and bodies <sup>1</sup> . <sup>1</sup> OJ L 264, 25.9.2006, p. 13. Amendments 90, 96 and 102 (Jäätteenmäki; Frassoni; Cashman and Fava) Article 4 – paragraph 5 (Art. 6(6)) 5. Personal data shall not be disclosed if such disclosure would harm the privacy or the integrity of the person concerned. Such harm shall not be deemed to be caused: – if the data relate solely to the professional activities of the person concerned unless, given the particular circumstances, there is reason to assume that disclosure would adversely affect that person; – if the data relate solely to a public person unless, given the particular circumstances, there is reason to assume that disclosure would adversely affect that person or other persons connected with him or her; – if the data have already been published with the consent of the person concerned.	Admissible - to be discussed.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)         6. If only parts of the requested document are covered by any of the	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009Personal data shall nevertheless be disclosed if an overriding public interest requires disclosure. In such a case, the institution or body concerned shall be required to specify the public interest. It shall give 	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
exceptions, the remaining parts of the document shall be released.	Amendment 55 Article 4 – paragraph 7 (Art. 6(8))	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible
7. The exceptions as laid down in paragraphs 1 to 3 this Article shall only apply for the period during which protection is justified on the basis of the content of the document. The exceptions may apply for a maximum period of 30 years. In the case of documents covered by the exceptions relating to privacy $\boxtimes$ the protection of personal data $\langle \boxtimes \rangle$ or commercial interests and in the case of sensitive documents, the exceptions may, if necessary, continue to apply after this period.	7. The exceptions as laid down in this Article <i>shall not apply to documents transmitted in the framework of procedures leading to a legislative act or a non-legislative act of general application. The exceptions</i> shall only apply for the period during which protection is justified on the basis of the content of the document. The exceptions may apply for a maximum period of 30 years. In the case of documents covered by the <i>exception</i> relating to <i>privacy and the integrity of the individual</i> , the <i>exception</i> may, if necessary, continue to apply after this period.	only if Cion modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Amendment 56 (Niculescu) Article 4, paragraph 7 a (Art. 6(9)) (7a) The exceptions as laid down in this Article shall not be interpreted as referring to information of public interest relating to the beneficiaries of European Union funds that is available within the framework of the financial transparency system.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion modifies its proposal.
<u>Article 5</u> Source Consultations ⊗	Amendment 57 Article 5 – title (Art. 7) Consultation of third parties	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
<ul> <li><u>41</u>. As regards third-party documents, the institution shall consult the third party with a view to assessing whether an exception is referred to  (조) in paragraph 1 or 2 Article 4 is applicable, unless it is clear that the document shall or shall not be disclosed.</li> <li><u>5. A Member State may request the institution not to disclose a document originating from that Member State without its prior agreement.</u></li> </ul>	Amendment 58 (Cashman) Article 5 – paragraph 1 (Art. 7(1)) 1. As regards third-party documents, <i>they shall be disclosed by</i> the <i>institutions without consulting the originator if it is clear that none of</i> <i>the exceptions in this Regulation are applicable. A</i> third party <i>shall be</i> <i>consulted if that party has requested, when handing in the document,</i> <i>that it be treated in a specific way,</i> with a view to assessing whether an exception provided for in this Regulation is applicable. Documents provided to institutions for the purpose of influencing policy-making <i>should be made public.</i>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
2. Where an application concerns a document originating from a Member State, other than documents transmitted in the framework of procedures leading to a legislative act or a non-legislative act of general application, the authorities of that Member State shall be consulted. The institution holding the document shall disclose it unless the Member State gives reasons for withholding it, based on the exceptions referred to in Article 4 or on specific provisions in its own legislation preventing disclosure of the document concerned. The institution shall appreciate the adequacy of reasons given by the Member State insofar as they are based on exceptions laid down in this Regulation.	<ul> <li>Amendment 91 (Cashman)</li> <li>Article 5 – paragraph 2 (Art. 7(2))</li> <li>2. Where an application concerns a document originating from a Member State,</li> <li>- which has not been transmitted by the Member State in its capacity as a member of the Council, or</li> <li>- which does not concern information submitted to the Commission concerning the implementation of EC policies and legislation the authorities of that Member State shall be consulted. The institution holding the document shall disclose it unless the Member State gives reasons for withholding it, based on the exceptions referred to in Article 4 or in equivalent provisions of its own legislation or objects on the basis of Article 296(1) (a) of the EC Treaty that the disclosure would be contrary to its essential security interests. The institution shall assess the adequacy of reasons given by the Member State.</li> </ul>	Admissible - to be discussed.
<u>Article 5</u> <u>Documents in the Member States</u> <u>3.</u> Where a Member State receives a request for a document in its possession, <del>originating</del> ∞ which originates ≪ from an institution, unless it is clear that the document shall or shall not be disclosed, the Member State shall consult with the institution concerned in order to take a decision that does not jeopardise <del>the attainment of</del> the objectives of this Regulation. The Member State may instead refer the request to the institution.	<ul> <li>Amendment 60 Article 5 – paragraph 3 (Art. 7(3))</li> <li>3. Without prejudice to national parliamentary scrutiny, where a Member State receives a request for a document in its possession, which originates from an institution, unless it is clear that the document shall or shall not be disclosed, the Member State shall consult with the institution concerned in order to take a decision that does not jeopardise the objectives of this Regulation. The Member State may instead refer the request to the institution.</li> </ul>	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	(Title II - Legislative and Non-legislative Transparency)	
	Amendment 103 Article 5 a (Art. 10) <i>Article 10</i>	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
	Legislative Transparency	
	1. In compliance with the democratic principles outlined in Article 6 (1) of the EU Treaty and with the case-law of the Court of Justice on the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, institutions acting in their legislative capacity, including under delegated powers, shall grant the widest possible access to their activities.	
	2. Documents relating to their legislative programmes, preliminary civil society consultations, impact assessments and any other preparatory documents linked to a legislative procedure shall be accessible on a user-friendly interinstitutional site and published in a special series of the Official Journal of the European Union.	
	3. Legislative proposals as well other EU legal texts shall be drafted in a clear and understandable way and the institutions shall agree common drafting guidelines and models improving legal certainty in accordance with the relevant case-law of the Court of Justice.	
	4. During the legislative procedure, each institution or body associated in the decision-making process shall publish its preparatory documents and all related information, including legal opinions, in a special series of the Official Journal of the European Union as well on a common Internet site reproducing the lifecycle of the procedure concerned.	
	5. Any initiative or documents provided by any interested parties with a	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	view to influencing the decision-making process in any way shall be made public.	
	6. Once adopted, legislative acts shall be published in the Official Journal of the European Union as provided for by Article 12.	
	7. By virtue of the principle of loyal cooperation which governs relations between the institutions and the Member States, in order not to undermine the attainment of the objectives of this Regulation, the Member States shall seek to ensure that an equivalent level of transparency is granted in relation to national measures implementing acts of the institutions of the European Union, in particular by clearly publishing the references of the national measures. The objective is to give citizens a clear and precise understanding of their rights and obligations deriving from specific EU rules and enable national courts to ensure that those rights and obligations are respected in accordance with the principles of legal certainty and the protection of individual.	
Article 6 Applications	(Article 16)	
1. Applications for access to a document shall be made in any written form, including electronic form, in one of the languages referred to in Article 314 of the EC Treaty and in a sufficiently precise manner to enable the institution to identify the document. The applicant is not obliged to state reasons for the application.		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
2. If an application is not sufficiently precise ⇒ or if the requested documents cannot be identified ⇐, the institution shall ask the applicant to clarify the application and shall assist the applicant in doing so, for example, by providing information on the use of the public registers of documents. ⇒ The time limits provided for under Articles 7 and 8 shall start to run when the institution has received the requested clarifications. ⇐	Amendment 62 Article 6 – paragraph 2 (Art. 16(2)) 2. If an application is not sufficiently precise the institution shall <i>within</i> 15 working days ask the applicant to clarify the application and shall assist the applicant in doing so, for example, by providing information on the use of the public registers of documents.	Admissible - to be discussed.
<ul> <li>3. In the event of an application relating to a very long document or to a very large number of documents, the institution concerned may confer with the applicant informally, with a view to finding a fair ⇒ and practical ⇔ solution.</li> <li>4. The institutions shall provide information and assistance to citizens on how and where applications for access to documents can be made.</li> </ul>		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 7 Processing of initial applications 1. An application for access to a document shall be handled promptly. An acknowledgement of receipt shall be sent to the applicant. Within 15 working days from registration of the application, the institution shall either grant access to the document requested and provide access in accordance with Article 10 within that period or, in a written reply, state the reasons for the total or partial refusal and inform the applicant of his or her right to make a confirmatory application in accordance with paragraph $\underline{2} \underline{4}$ of this Article.	(Article 17) Amendment 63 (Nicolescu) Article 7 – paragraph 1 (Art. 17(1)) 1. An application for access to a document shall be handled promptly. An acknowledgement of receipt shall be sent to the applicant. Within <i>a</i> maximum of 15 working days from registration of the application, the institution shall either grant access to the document requested and provide access in accordance with Article 10 within that period or, in a written reply, state the reasons for the total or partial refusal and inform the applicant of his or her right to make a confirmatory application in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
32. In exceptional cases, for example in the event of an application relating to a very long document or to a very large number of documents, the time-limit provided for in paragraph 1 may be extended by 15 working days, provided that the applicant is notified in advance and that detailed reasons are given.	<ul> <li>Amendment 64 (Nicolescu)</li> <li>Article 7 – paragraph 2 (Art. 17(2))</li> <li>2. In exceptional cases, for example in the event of an application relating to a very long document or to a very large number of documents, the time-limit provided for in paragraph 1 may be extended by <i>a maximum of</i> 15 working days, provided that the applicant is notified in advance and that detailed reasons are given.</li> </ul>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Amendment 104 (Cashman and Fava) Article 7 – paragraph 3 (Art. 17(3))	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
$\frac{23}{2}$ . In the event of a total or partial refusal, the applicant may, within 15 working days of receiving the institution's reply, make a confirmatory application asking the institution to reconsider its position.	<ul> <li>3. In the event of a total or partial refusal, the applicant may, within 15 working days of receiving the institution's reply, either make a confirmatory application asking the institution to reconsider its position <i>or, where the applicant calls into question whether any actual harm will be caused to the relevant interests and/or argues that there is an overriding interest in disclosure, the applicant may request the European Ombudsman to give an independent and objective view on the question of harm and/or overriding public interest.</i></li> <li>While waiting for the delivery of the European Ombudsman' opinion, the time-limit provided for in paragraph 1 shall be suspended for a maximum of 30 working days.</li> <li>Following delivery of the European Ombudsman's opinion, or at the latest at the end of the period of 30 working days, the applicant may, within a maximum of 15 working days, make a confirmatory application asking the institution to reconsider its position.</li> </ul>	
$\underline{4}$ . Failure by the institution to reply within the prescribed time-limit		
shall entitle the applicant to make a confirmatory application.		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 8 Processing of confirmatory applications	(Article 18) Amendment 66 Article 8 – paragraph 1 (Art. 18(1))	Admissible - to be discussed.
1. A confirmatory application shall be handled promptly. Within ⇒ 30 ⇔ working days from registration of such an application, the institution shall either grant access to the document requested and provide access in accordance with Article 10 within that period or, in a written reply, state the reasons for the total or partial refusal. In the event of a total or partial refusal, the institution shall inform the applicant of the remedies open to him or her <del>, namely instituting court</del> proceedings against the institution and/or making a complaint to the Ombudsman, under the conditions laid down in Articles 230 and 195 of the EC Treaty, respectively.	1. A confirmatory application shall be handled promptly. Within 15 working days from registration of such an application, the institution shall either grant access to the document requested and provide access in accordance with Article 10 within that period or, in a written reply, state the reasons for the total or partial refusal. In the event of a total or partial refusal, the institution shall inform the applicant of the remedies open to him or her.	
<ul> <li><u>22</u>. In exceptional cases, for example in the event of an application relating to a very long document or to a very large number of documents, the time limit provided for in paragraph 1 may be extended by 15 working days, provided that the applicant is notified in advance and that detailed reasons are given.</li> <li>3. In the event of a total or partial refusal, the applicant may bring proceedings before the Court of First Instance against the institution and/or make a complaint to the European Ombudsman, under the conditions laid down in Articles 230 and 195 of the EC Treaty, respectively.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Amendment 67 (Niculescu)</li> <li>Article 8 – paragraph 2 (Art. 18(2))</li> <li>2. In exceptional cases, for example in the event of an application relating to a very long document or to a very large number of documents, the time limit provided for in paragraph 1 may be extended by <i>a maximum of</i> 15 working days, provided that the applicant is notified in advance and that detailed reasons are given.</li> </ul>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
$\underline{34}$ . Failure by the institution to reply within the prescribed time limit		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
shall be considered as a negative reply and $\boxtimes$ shall $\bigotimes$ entitle the applicant to institute court proceedings against the institution and/or make a complaint to the Ombudsman, under the relevant provisions of the EC Treaty.		
Article 9Treatment of sensitive documents1. Sensitive documents are documents originating from the institutionsor the agencies established by them, from Member States, thirdcountries or International Organisations, classified as «TRÈSSECRET/TOP SECRET», «SECRET» or «CONFIDENTIEL» inaccordance with the rules of the institution concerned, which protectessential interests of the European Union or of one or more of itsMember States in the areas covered by Article 4(1)(a), notably publicsecurity, defence and military matters.2. Applications for access to sensitive documents under the procedureslaid down in Articles 7 and 8 shall be handled only by those personswho have a right to acquaint themselves with those documents. Thesepersons shall also, without prejudice to Article 11(2), assess whichreferences to sensitive documents could be made in the public register.3. Sensitive documents shall be recorded in the register or released onlywith the consent of the originator.4. An institution which decides to refuse access to a sensitive documentshall give the reasons for its decision in a manner which does not harmthe interests protected in Article 4.5. Member States shall take appropriate measures to ensure that whenhandling applications for sensitive documents the principles in this	Amendment 68 Article 9 deleted	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article and Article 4 are respected.		
6. The rules of the institutions concerning sensitive documents shall be made public.		
7. The Commission and the Council shall inform the European Parliament regarding sensitive documents in accordance with arrangements agreed between the institutions.		
Article 10	(Article 19)	
Access following an application		
1. The applicant shall have access to documents either by consulting them on the spot or by receiving a copy, including, where available, an electronic copy, according to the applicant's preference. The cost of producing and sending copies may be charged to the applicant. This charge shall not exceed the real cost of producing and sending the copies. Consultation on the spot, copies of less than 20 A4 pages and direct access in electronic form or through the register shall be free of charge.		
2. If a document has already been released by the institution concerned $\boxtimes$ is publicly available $\bigotimes$ and is easily accessible to the applicant, the institution may fulfil its obligation of granting access to documents by informing the applicant how to obtain the requested document.		
3. Documents shall be supplied in an existing version and format (including electronically or in an alternative format such as Braille, large print or tape) with full regard to the applicant's preference.		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
$\boxtimes$ 4. The cost of producing and sending copies may be charged to the applicant. This charge shall not exceed the real cost of producing and sending the copies. Consultation on the spot, copies of less than 20 A4 pages and direct access in electronic form or through the register shall be free of charge. $\bigotimes$	Amendment 69 (Cashman) Article 10, paragraph 4 (Art. 19(4)) 4. The cost of producing and sending copies may be charged to the applicant. This charge shall not exceed the real cost of producing and sending the copies. Consultation on the spot, copies of less than 20 A4 pages and direct access in electronic form or through the register shall be free of charge. In the case of printouts or documents in electronic format based on information contained in electronic storage, processing and retrieval systems, the actual cost of searching for and retrieving the document or documents may also be charged to the applicant. No additional charge shall be made if the institution has already produced the document or documents concerned. The applicant shall be informed in advance of the amount and method of calculating any charge.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
5. This Regulation shall not derogate from specific modalities governing access laid down in EC or national law, such as the payment of a fee.		

Article 11       (Article 15)         Registers       (Article 15)         1. To make citizens' rights under this Regulation effective, each institution shall provide public access to a register of documents. Access to the register should be provided in electronic form. References to document shall be recorded in the register without delay.       Image: Comparison of the content of the document and the date on which it was received or drawn up and recorded in the register. References shall be made in a manner which does not undermine protection of the interests in Article 4.       Amendment 70         3. The institutions shall immediately take the measures necessary to establish a register which shall be operational by 3 June 2002. <i>Without prejudice to the internal rules of the institutions, the register or system of registers (in the case of multiple registers for the same institution) of each institution where such mali falls within the definition set out in Article 4(a), - agendas and summaries of meetings and documents prepared before meetings       Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal.   </i>	Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Each institution shall:         - by*, adopt and publish internal rules concerning the registration of documents,         - by**, ensure that its register is fully operational.	(COM (2008) 229 final)         Article 11         Registers         1. To make citizens' rights under this Regulation effective, each institution shall provide public access to a register of documents. Access to the register should be provided in electronic form. References to documents shall be recorded in the register without delay.         2. For each document the register shall contain a reference number (including, where applicable, the interinstitutional reference), the subject matter and/or a short description of the content of the document and the date on which it was received or drawn up and recorded in the register. References shall be made in a manner which does not undermine protection of the interests in Article 4.         3. The institutions shall immediately take the measures necessary to	As set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009         (Article 15)         (Article 15)         Amendment 70         Article 11, paragraph 3 (Art. 15(3))         3. Without prejudice to the internal rules of the institutions, the register or system of registers (in the case of multiple registers for the same institution) of each institution shall in particular contain references to:         - incoming and outgoing documents, as well as the official mail of the institution where such mail falls within the definition set out in Article 4(a),         - agendas and summaries of meetings and documents prepared before meetings for circulation, as well as other documents circulated during meetings.         Each institution shall:         - by*, adopt and publish internal rules concerning the registration of documents,	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
<i>Article 12</i> Direct access <del>in electronic form or through a register</del> ⊠ to documents ⊠	(Title III - Method of access) (Article 14) Amendement 71 Article 12, paragraph -1 (Art. 14(1))	Admissible - to be discussed.
<ol> <li>The institutions shall as far as possible make documents directly accessible to the public in electronic form or through a register in accordance with the rules of the institution concerned.</li> </ol>	1. The institutions shall as far as possible make documents directly accessible to the public in electronic form or through a register in accordance with the rules of the institution concerned.	
	Amendement 72 (Cappato) Article 12, paragraph 1 (Art. 14(2))	Admissible - to be discussed.
<u>21</u> . In particular, legislative documents, that is to say, <u>dD</u> ocuments drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of $\boxtimes$ EU legislative $\bigotimes$ acts which are legally binding in or for the <u>Member States, should</u> $\boxtimes$ or non-legislative acts of general application shall $\bigotimes$ , subject to Articles 4 and 9, be made directly accessible $\boxtimes$ to the public $\bigotimes$ .	2. The institutions shall make all documents directly accessible to the public in electronic form or trough a register, particularly those drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of EU legislative acts or non-legislative acts of general application.	
<u><math>32</math></u> . Where possible, other documents, notably documents relating to the development of policy or strategy, <del>should</del> $\boxtimes$ shall $\bigotimes$ be made directly accessible $\boxtimes$ in electronic form $\bigotimes$ .		
<ul> <li><u>43</u>. Where direct access is not given through the register, the register shall as far as possible indicate where the document is located.</li> <li>4. Each institution shall define in its rules of procedure which other categories of documents are directly accessible to the public.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Amendement 73</li> <li>Article 12, paragraph 4 (Art. 14(5))</li> <li>5. The institutions shall establish a common interface for their registers of documents, and shall in particular ensure a single point of access for direct access to documents drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of Community legislative acts or non-legislative acts of general application.</li> </ul>	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 13 Publication in the Official Journal 1. In addition to the acts referred to in Article 254(1) and (2) of the EC Treaty and the first paragraph of Article 163 of the Euratom Treaty, the following documents shall, subject to Articles 4 and 9 of this Regulation, be published in the Official Journal:	(Article 11) Amendments 74 and 105 (Cashman and Fava) Article 13 1. In accordance with the principles outlined in this Regulation, the institutions shall agree on the structure and presentation of the Official Journal of the European Union by taking into account the pre-existing interinstitutional agreement. In addition to the acts referred to in Article 254(1) and (2) of the EC Treaty and the first paragraph of Article 163 of the Euratom Treaty, the following documents shall, subject to Articles 4 of this Regulation, be published in the Official Journal:	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
(a) Commission proposals;		
(b) common positions adopted by the Council in accordance with the procedures referred to in Articles 251 and 252 of the EC Treaty and the reasons underlying those common positions, as well as the European Parliament's positions in these procedures;	(a) common positions adopted by the Council in accordance with the procedures referred to in Articles 251 and 252 of the EC Treaty and the reasons underlying those common positions, as well as the European Parliament's positions in these procedures;	
<ul><li>(c) framework decisions and decisions referred to in Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;</li></ul>	(b) Directives other than those referred to in Article 254(1) and (2) of the EC Treaty, decisions other than those referred to in Article 254(1) of the EC Treaty, recommendations and opinions;	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
<ul><li>(d) conventions established by the Council in accordance with Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;</li></ul>		
(e) conventions signed between Member States on the basis of Article 293 of the EC Treaty;	(c) conventions signed between Member States on the basis of Article 293 of the EC Treaty;	
(f) international agreements concluded by the Community or in accordance with Article 24 of the EU Treaty.	(d) international agreements concluded by the Community or in accordance with Article 24 of the EU Treaty.	
2. As far as possible, the following documents shall be published in the		
Official Journal:		
<ul><li>(a) initiatives presented to the Council by a Member State pursuant to Article 67(1) of the EC Treaty or pursuant to Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;</li></ul>		
(b) common positions referred to in Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;	(e) common positions referred to in Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;	

(f) framework decisions and decisions referred to in Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;	
(g) conventions established by the Council in accordance with Article 34(2) of the EU Treaty;	
3. Other documents to be published in the Official Journal of the European Union shall be determined by a joint decision of the European Parliament and of the Council, on a proposal by the Management Committee of the Publication Office of the EU <sup>1</sup> .	
(g 34 3. Ei Pa Co	) conventions established by the Council in accordance with Article (2) of the EU Treaty; Other documents to be published in the Official Journal of the uropean Union shall be determined by a joint decision of the European arliament and of the Council, on a proposal by the Management committee of the Publication Office of the EU <sup>1</sup> .



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 14	(Article 20)	
Information		
1. Each institution shall take the requisite measures to inform the public		
of the rights they enjoy under this Regulation.		
2. The Member States shall cooperate with the institutions in providing information to the citizens.		
	(Article 21) Amendment 106 (Cashman and Fava) Article 14 a <i>Article 14 a</i> <i>Information Officer</i> 1. Each directorate-general within each institution shall appoint an Information Officer who shall be responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this Regulation and good administrative practice within that directorate-general. 2. The Information Officer shall determine which information it is expedient to give the public concerning: a) the implementation of this Regulation; b) good practice; and shall ensure the dissemination of that information in an appropriate form and manner.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	3. The Information Officer shall assess whether the services within his or her directorate-general follow good practice.	
	4. The Information Officer may redirect the person who requires the information to another directorate if the information in question falls outside its remit and within the remit of a different directorate within the same institution, provided that he or she is in possession of such information.	
	(Article 9)	
	Amendment 107(Cashman and Fava)Article 14 b (new)Article 14 b	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
	Principle of good administration	
	The institutions shall on the basis of the code of good administrative behaviour adopt and publish general guidelines on the scope of the obligations of confidentiality and professional secrecy set out in Article 287 of the EC Treaty, the obligations arising from sound and transparent administration and the protection of personal data in accordance with Regulation 45/2001. These guidelines shall also define the sanctions applicable in the event of failure to comply with this Regulation in accordance with the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Communities, the Conditions of Employment of other servants of the European Communities and in the institutions' internal rules.	

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 15 Administrative practice in the institutions	(Article 12) Amendment 77 Article 15 – title <del>Administrative <i>transparency</i> practice in the</del> institutions	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
1. The institutions shall develop good administrative practices in order to facilitate the exercise of the right of access guaranteed by this Regulation.	Amendment 78 Article 15, paragraph 1 (Art. 12(1)) 1. The institutions shall develop good administrative practices in order to facilitate the exercise of the right of access guaranteed by this Regulation. <i>The institutions shall organise and maintain the information</i> <i>in their possession in such a way that the public may be granted access</i> <i>to the information without additional effort.</i>	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.
	Amendment 79 (Cashman) Article 15, paragraph 1 a (new) (Art. 12(2))1a. In order to ensure that the principles of transparency and good administration are effectively applied, the institutions concerned shall agree on common implementing rules and procedures on the presentation, classification, declassification, registration and dissemination of documents.In order to facilitate a genuine debate among the players involved in the decision-making process and without prejudice to the principle of transparency, the institutions shall make clear to the citizens if and when, during the specific phases of decision-making process, direct access to the documents may not yet be granted. These limitations will not apply once that decision has been taken.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	Amendment 80 Article 15, paragraph 1 b (Art. 12(3)) 1 b. The institutions shall inform citizens, in a fair and transparent way, about their organisational chart by indicating the remit of their internal units, the internal workflow and indicative deadlines of the procedures falling within their remit, to which services may citizens refer to obtain support, information or administrative redress.	Inadmissible - goes beyond the scope of Art. 255
2. The institutions shall establish an interinstitutional committee to examine best practice, address possible conflicts and discuss future developments on public access to documents.	Amendment 81 (Cappato) Article 15, paragraph 2 (Art. 12(4)) 2. The institutions shall establish an interinstitutional <i>Article 255</i> committee to examine <i>and exchange</i> best practice, <i>identify access and</i> <i>usability barriers and unpublished data sources</i> , address possible conflicts, <i>promote interoperability, re-use and merger of registers</i> , <i>standardise document coding through a European standards</i> <i>organisation, create a single EU portal to ensure access to all EU</i> <i>documents</i> and discuss future developments on public access to documents.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.



Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
	(Article 13) Amendment 85 (Cappato) Article 15, paragraph 2 <i>Article 15a</i> <i>Financial transparency</i> Information relating to the EU budget, its implementation and beneficiaries of EU funds and grants shall be public and accessible to citizens. Such information shall also be accessible via a specific website and database, searchable on the basis of the above information, dealing with financial transparency in the EU.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
Article 16         Reproduction of documents         This Regulation shall be without prejudice to any existing rules on copyright which may limit a third party's right to ⇒ obtain copies of documents or to ⇔ reproduce or exploit released documents.	(Article 8) Amendment 82 (Svensson, Liotard) Article 16 This Regulation shall be without prejudice to any existing rules on copyright which may limit a third party's right to reproduce or exploit released documents.	Admissible - to be discussed.

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 17	(Title V - Final provisions) (Article 22)	
Reports		
<ul> <li>Leach institution shall publish annually a report for the preceding year including the number of cases in which the institution refused to grant access to documents, the reasons for such refusals and the number of sensitive documents not recorded in the register.</li> <li>At the latest by 31 January 2004, the Commission shall publish a report on the implementation of the principles of this Regulation and shall make recommendations, including, if appropriate, proposals for the revision of this Regulation and an action programme of measures to be taken by the institutions.</li> </ul>	Amendment 83 Article 17, paragraph 1 a (Art. 22(2)) Ia. At the latest by, the Commission shall publish a report on the implementation of the principles of this Regulation and shall make recommendations, including, if appropriate, proposals for the revision of this Regulation which are necessitated by changes in the current situation and an action programme of measures to be taken by the institutions.	Goes beyond the object of the recasting proposal. Admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal.
Article 18		
Application measures		
<ul> <li>1. Each institution shall adapt its rules of procedure to the provisions of this Regulation. The adaptations shall take effect from 3 December 2001.</li> <li>2. Within six months of the entry into force of this Regulation, the Commission shall examine the conformity of Council Regulation (EEC, Eurotem) No. 254/82 of 1 Echrypres 1082 concerning the energy to the entry.</li> </ul>		
Euratom) No 354/83 of 1 February 1983 concerning the opening to the public of the historical archives of the European Economic Community		
and the European Atomic Energy Community 1 with this Regulation in		
order to ensure the preservation and archiving of documents to the		
fullest extent possible.		
3. Within six months of the entry into force of this Regulation, the		
Commission shall examine the conformity of the existing rules on		
access to documents with this Regulation.		
<del>1 OJ L 43, 15.2.1983, p. 1.</del>		

Commission proposal for Recast of Regulation 1049/2001 (COM (2008) 229 final)	Amendments EP voted by the plenary on 11/03/2009, as set out in the EP consolidated text of 13/03/2009	Presidency proposal based on CLS opinion
Article 18	(Article 23)	
Repeal		
Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 is repealed with effect from []. References to the repealed Regulation shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in the Annex.		
Article 19	(Article 24)	
Entry into force		
This Regulation shall enter into force on the third $\boxtimes$ twentieth $\bigotimes$ day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European</i> <i>Communities.</i> $\boxtimes$ Union $\bigotimes$ . It shall be applicable from 3 December 2001.		
This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.		
Done at Brussels, For the European ParliamentFor the Council		
The President   The President		



	Amendments going beyond the scope of Art 255 EC (not admissible)	Amendments to be discussed (admissible)	Amendments going beyond the object of the Cion's proposal (admissible only if Cion. modifies its proposal)
Recital 3a new	1		
Recital 4		2	
Recital 5		3	
Recital 5a new	92		
Recital 6			4
Recital 8			5
Recital 8a new			6
Recital 10		7	
Recital 10a new		99	
Recital 11a new	1	93 = 110	
Recital 12	8	<i>,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Recital 12 new	9		
Recital 12b new	10		
Recital 13a new	10		
Recital 13b new			109
Recital 14			105
Recital 15	13		12
Recital 15a new	100		
Recital 15a new	100		14
Recital 17	15		14
	15		116
Recital 17a new			116
Recital 18	17		16
Recital 18a new	17		10
Recital 20			19
Recital 21	20		
Recital 22			21
Recital 22a new			22
Recital 23			23
Art. 1 (a)	24		
Art. 1 (c)			25
Art. 2 title			27
Art. 2(1)	28		
Art. 2(2)			29
Art. 2(2a) new			114
Art. 2(3)			30
Art. 2(4)			31
Art. 2(5)		32	
Art. 2(6)		33	
Art. 2(7)			34
Art. 2(7a) new			108
Art. 2a new			35

37 41 42 43	36	101 39 40
41 42		39
42		39
42		
42		40
42		
43		
44		
		45
		46
	49	
		48
		50
	51	
		52
	53	
		115
	54	
	90 = 96 = 102	
		55
		56
		57
		58
	91	
60		
	62	
		63
		64
104		
	66	
		67
	1	68
	+ + -	69
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	70
	71	
		73
74 = 105	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	15
/ Ŧ <sup>-</sup> 10J		106
107	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	100
	$ \begin{array}{c}     44 \\                              $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Art. 15 title			77
Art. 15(1)	78		
Art. 15(1a) new	79		
Art. 15(1b) new	80		
Art. 15(2)			81
Art. 15a new			85
Art. 16		82	
Art. 17(1a) new			83