

Cooperation and projects by European police forces in 2014

Bundestag Printed Paper 18/3619

Preliminary remarks of the questioners

In the area of international cooperation, the EU border agency and the EU law enforcement agency Europol are being entrusted with ever more competences. Working agreements and other forms of cooperation are also being negotiated with "third countries". Europol's endeavours are also directed at left-wing forms of cross-border protest (Bundestag printed paper 17/9756 and 18/498). The German Federal Criminal Police Office (BKA) is engaged in an "exchange of information" with French, British, Italian, Greek and Swiss agencies on what has been dubbed "Euro anarchism". The findings are stored in the Analysis Work File named "Dolphin". Whilst it is true that the Federal Criminal Police Office is also involved in the EU project "Expert Meeting Against Right Wing Extremism" (EMRE), which Austria, Sweden and Switzerland are also participating in, the international cooperation against right-wing extremism is not very pronounced. The "European Cooperation Group on Undercover Activities (EGG)" and the "International Working Group on Undercover Policing (IWG)", a forum for the bosses of undercover police, are also known to deal with infiltrating international left-wing contexts (Bundestag printed paper 17/9844). A "Cross-Border Surveillance Working Group" (CSW) provides a network for mobile special mission units from twelve EU Member States and the EU law enforcement agency Europol on cross-border surveillance techniques (Bundestag printed paper 17/5677). Members of the police forces of several EU governments meet to pursue a similar goal in the project "International Specialist Law Enforcement" (ISLE). The project, which was launched in 2009, promotes the exchange and dissemination of knowledge on secret infiltration of rooms, vehicles and electronic devices (Bundestag printed paper 17/10713). The BKA is still participating in the "Police Working Group on Terrorism" (PWGT) which was founded in 1979 to "exchange information in the event of terrorist attacks" but which since 2000 is also meant to help prevent "political acts of violence" (Bundestag printed paper 17/13440). Although the name of the PWGT suggests a focus on "terrorism", its participants also exchange information on "extremism" or general criminality. In addition to what is tantamount to secret-service intelligence gathering, cooperation is also designed to facilitate operational measures, too.

Europol is also one of the cooperation partners, although the founding of Europol makes forms of cooperation like the PWGT superfluous.

It is difficult to control the cooperation taking place in networks like PWGT. Its intergovernmental nature means one has to assume that some Member States will be better able to assert themselves than others on certain issues.

1. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "European Cooperation Group on Undercover Activities" (ECG) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*

- g) *What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) *What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) *What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) *If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 1

a)

The "European Cooperation Group on Undercover Activities" (ECG) met from 20 to 23 May 2014 in Bucharest. In the period from 6 to 9 October 2014 the 3rd ECG workshop on the subject of "Undercover on the Internet" took place in Marburg.

b)

ECG: The invitations and the agenda were prepared and sent out by Germany (ZKA, chair of the ECG). Romania was in charge of organising the meeting.

Workshop: The invitations and agenda were prepared and sent out by Germany (ZKA, chair of the ECG). Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office) carried out the organisation.

c)

ECG: The invitations and the agenda were distributed in the run up to the meeting.

Workshop: The invitations were distributed in the run up to the meeting.

d)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the part of the answer to the Minor Interpellation available to the general public. The answer of the Federal Government must be classified as "confidential". This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag.

The Federal Government is in line with the rulings of the Federal Constitutional Court here, which stipulate that effective steps may be incorporated to prevent the disclosure of official secrets when the Federal Government is meeting its obligation to provide information to the parliament whilst taking into account secrecy aspects (see FCC ruling 124, 161 [193]; for information in the context of a committee of enquiry see FCC ruling 124, 78 [128 f.]). The Bundestag rules on document security are also part of this. Whilst as a general principle the parliament's right to information is geared towards the questions posed being answered publicly, (see FCC ruling 124, 161 [193]), the classification as confidential is necessary in this case with regard to public weal and suited to satisfying the parliament's interest in information whilst safeguarding legitimate confidentiality interests on the part of the Federal Government for the following reasons:

The meetings dealt *inter alia* with tactical and operational measures in the context of undercover police investigations, for instance on the Internet. In addition to this, joint training measures in a particular area were discussed. Divulging information on such specific operational resources to the public would significantly damage the Federal Republic of Germany's interest - one very much worth safeguarding - in effectively combating crime and terrorism and as such would significantly compromise public weal.

First, the publication of these internal processes would mean disclosing sensitive information on police procedures and tactics in an area of extremely high relevance in terms of potential risks. The said undercover measures are only used in areas of criminal activity in which a particularly high level of conspiracy, danger to the public and willingness to employ violence must be assumed.

If information from the area enquired about were to fall into the hands of criminals or terrorists, this would have a hugely negative effect on the government's ability to exercise its duties in the field of averting danger and in terms of asserting its criminal prosecution rights. Second and furthermore, making public specific contents of discussions of certain operational resources conducted with foreign police authorities, as discussed in the meeting in question, would gravely undermine the trust and confidence of the international cooperation partners in the integrity of German police work and render significantly more difficult continued cooperation in the area of undercover policing.

The creation of the German Bundestag rules on document security means that there is a tool which allows Members of the German Bundestag to view such information. As such, this ultimately honours the parliamentary right to oversight and scrutiny.

e)

ECG: The agenda was drafted by the Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service (ZKA) in close consultation with the Member States. The agenda item "case presentation Germany" was presented by the Federal Criminal Police Office.

Workshop: The agenda was drafted by the Federal Criminal Police Office in close consultation with the Member States and the Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service. The agenda items "presentation Germany" and "working groups" were presented by the Federal Criminal Police Office.

f)

ECG: Representatives from the respective competent national authorities of the following states attended:

- Albania (Central Criminal Police),
- Belgium (Federal Police),
- Bulgaria (Government Agency for National Security),
- Denmark (Danish National Police),
- Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office, Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service),
- Estonia (Central Criminal Police),
- Finland (National Bureau of Investigation),
- France (Central Directorate of Criminal Investigation Department),
- Italy (Carabinieri),
- Croatia (Criminal Police Directorate),
- Latvia (Criminal Police Department),
- Lithuania (Criminal Police Bureau),
- Macedonia (Office of Public Security),
- Netherlands (National Police Agency),
- Norway (Oslo Police Department),
- Austria (Federal Criminal Police Office, Vienna),
- Poland (Polish National Police),
- Portugal (Policia Judiciária),
- Romania (Romanian National Police),
- Russia (Federal Drugs Control Service),
- Switzerland (Federal Criminal Police),
- Slovakia (Slovakian National Police),
- Slovenia (General Police Directorate),
- Spain (Spanish National Police),
- Czech Republic (Czech National Police),
- Turkey (National Police),
- Hungary (Hungarian National Police and Hungarian Customs) and
- United Kingdom (National Crime Agency and Metropolitan Police).

Workshop: Representatives of the respective competent national agencies from the following states attended:

- Belgium (Federal Police),
- Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office, Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service),
- Finland (National Bureau of Investigation),
- Italy (Carabinieri),
- Canada (Royal Canadian Mounted Police),
- Latvia (Criminal Police Department),
- Lithuania (Criminal Police Bureau),
- Netherlands (National Police Agency),
- Norway (Oslo Police Department),
- Austria (Federal Criminal Police Office, Vienna),
- Poland (Polish National Police),
- Switzerland (Federal Criminal Police),
- Slovenia (General Police Directorate),
- Hungary (Hungarian National Police)
- United Kingdom (National Crime Agency and Metropolitan Police).

g) and h)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as “confidential”.

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

i)

No specific agreements were made.

j)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as “confidential”.

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

2. What meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the “International Working Group on Undercover Policing” (IWG) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*

- h) *What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) *What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) *If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas“ what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*
- k)

On 2

Please note again that the correct name of the IWG is the “International Working Group on Police Undercover Activities”.

a)

The 45th IWG meeting took place from 21 to 24 October 2014 in Warsaw.

b)

The invitations and the agenda were prepared and sent out by Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office). Poland organised the meeting.

c)

In the run up to the meeting the invitation and the agenda were distributed.

d)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as “confidential”.

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

e)

The Federal Criminal Police Office drafted the agenda in close consultation with the Member States. The Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service introduced the agenda item “Project SELEC”.

f)

Representatives from the respective competent national authorities of the following states attended:

- Australia (Australian Federal Police),
- Belgium (Federal Police),
- Denmark (Danish National Police),
- Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office and Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service),
- Finland (National Bureau of Investigation),
- France (Central Directorate of Criminal Investigation Department),
- Italy (Carabinieri),
- Canada (Royal Canadian Mounted Police),
- Lithuania (Criminal Police Bureau),
- Netherlands (National Police Agency),
- Norway (Oslo Police Department),
- Austria (Federal Criminal Police Office, Vienna),
- Poland (Polish National Police),
- Spain (Spanish National Police),

- Sweden (National Bureau of Investigation),
- Switzerland (Federal Criminal Police),
- Slovenia (Criminal Police Directorate),
- Czech Republic (Czech National Police),
- Hungary (Hungarian National Police),
- USA (Federal Bureau of Investigation) and
- United Kingdom (National Crime Agency and Metropolitan Police).

g) and h)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as “confidential”.

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

i)

No specific agreements were made.

i)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as “confidential”.

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

3. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the “International Business Secretariat” (IBS) of the IWG took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 3

a)

The 16th IBS meeting took place from 10 to 13 June 2014 in Oslo.

b)

The invitations and the agenda were prepared and sent out by the United Kingdom. Norway was in charge of organising the meeting.

c)

In the run up to the meeting the invitation and the agenda were distributed.

d)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as "confidential".

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

e)

The "biometrics" agenda item was introduced by the German Federal Criminal Police Office.

f)

Representatives from the respective competent national authorities of the following states attended:

- Belgium (Federal Police),
- Denmark (Danish National Police),
- Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office and Central Office of the German Customs Investigation Service),
- France (Central Directorate of Criminal Investigation Department),
- Canada (Royal Canadian Mounted Police),
- Netherlands (National Police Agency),
- Norway (Oslo Police Department),
- Poland (Polish National Police),
- Spain (Spanish National Police),
- Sweden (National Bureau of Investigation),
- Switzerland (Federal Criminal Police),
- United Kingdom (National Crime Agency und Metropolitan Police).

g) and h)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as "confidential".

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

i)

No specific agreements were made.

j)

It is not possible for the Federal Government to answer this question in the section which can be viewed by the general public due to the confidential nature of the information. The answer of the Federal Government to this question has to be classified as "confidential".

This part of the answer is available at the Document Security Office of the German Bundestag in line with the rules on document security. Please refer to the comments in response to question 1 d) for more detailed explanation.

4. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the “Cross-Border Surveillance Working Group” (CSW) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 4

a)

A CSW meeting took place in Rome from 7 to 9 May 2014 and a CSW steering group meeting took place on 16/17 October 2014 in Den Haag. This was followed from 26 to 28 November 2014 by the “Assembly of Regional Groups on Surveillance (ARGOS)” conference in Den Haag, which the CSW attends.

b)

The CSW meeting in Rome was organised by Italy. The agendas for the steering group meeting were drafted by the steering group. Europol was in charge of organising the ARGOS conference.

c)

In the run up to the meeting the agendas and organisational information were sent to the participants.

d)

At the CSW meeting, there were presentations on the organisation of the R.O.S Carabinieri force and a case study of an abduction case. The agenda for the meeting also contained the following items:

- Current status and outlook for the European Tracking System (ETS) and European Law
- Enforcement Technology Services (ENLETS)
- Presentation of the legal situation in Belgium and other Member States
- Use of different licence plates in the respective Member States
- Presentation of criminal activities and technical means of detection
- Police measures
- Air-based surveillance in the United Kingdom

- Challenges and opportunities arising from the use of technology in the fight against crime
- Legislative amendments and presentation of the organisation and deployment possibilities of the French police force
- Presentation of the different legal foundations and use of resources for the interception of private conversations in the participating countries
- Overview and presentation of an EU Framework Programme.

The meeting of the CSW steering group served to discuss organisational matters.

In addition to this, the last assembly in Rome was discussed and there was a look forward to the ARGOS conference in November 2014.

At the ARGOS conference the organisations CSW and SENSEE and their work were presented.

The agenda also contained the following items:

- Presentation of a case study on cooperation in the field of surveillance (SENSEE)
- Current status and outlook for the European Tracking System (ETS) und European law
- Enforcement Technology Services (ENLETS)
- Presentation of the Europol Liaison Officers "Working Group on Controlled Delivery"
- Presentation on possible impacts of the European Investigation Order on cross-border surveillance
- Advantages of cross-departmental surveillance and administration.

e)

The Federal Criminal Police Office contributed to the drafting of the respective agendas as a member of the steering group.

f)

The CSW meeting was attended by representatives of the mobile special mission units or comparable units from Belgium, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, France, Spain, Denmark, Austria, Italy, Finland, Ireland, Luxembourg, Sweden, Norway and Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office). A representative of Europol also attended. The steering group meeting was attended by representatives from Germany (Federal Criminal Police Office), the United Kingdom, France, the Netherlands and Europol. Representatives of 37 states attended the ARGOS conference.

g)

The explorative discussions were about exchanging practice-related optimisation possibilities on the afore-stated agenda items.

h)

The representatives of German authorities did not give any presentations.

i) and j)

The meetings served to enable the various mobile special mission units to exchange experiences and, building on this, the optimisation of cooperation during cross-border surveillance operations. No specific agreements or arrangements were decided on.

5. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "TC LI Group" of the "European Telecommunications Standards Institute" (ETSI) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

a) Where were these held?

- b) *Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) *What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) *What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) *To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) *Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) *What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) *What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) *What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) *If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 5

In 2014, the TC LI Group held three ordinary working group meetings (plenary) and three "rapporteur meetings".

a)

In the period enquired about, ETSI TC LI held plenary meetings in Milan (#35), Bad Homburg (#36) and Lecce (#37) and rapporteur meetings in Den Haag (Rap#31), Stockholm (Rap#32) and Mainz (Rap#33).

b)

TC LI organised the meetings and prepared the content thereof. Please also refer to the answer of the Federal Government to the Minor Interpellation of the Left Party parliamentary group in Bundestag printed paper 18/498 to question 33b).

c)

Annexes 1 to 6 contain the lists of the documents distributed in each case. Irrespective of this, the Federal Government points out again that the parliamentary right to pose questions does not entail an entitlement to the forwarding of documents and that in this case the documents are being provided only for reasons of efficiency.

d)

The respective agendas can be found in Annexes 7 to 12. It must be noted that Annex 11 reflects the content of the proposed agenda, but that the document itself is not an official ETSI paper.

e)

The agenda was not influenced by the agencies or authorities of the Federal Government.

f)

Participants from agencies, institutions or companies were registered for the meetings cited in the answer to question 5a as per the lists in Annexes 13 to 18.

For all meetings it must be noted that whilst as a general rule the registered participants do indeed travel to the working group meetings, it may however be the case that additional participants attend who did not previously register or that registered participants do not attend without cancelling their registration. The Federal Government does not have any further information relating to this however.

g)

Please refer to the answer of the Federal Government to the Minor Interpellation submitted by the Left Party parliamentary group in Bundestag printed paper 18/498 to question 33g)

h)

In the period enquired about, at the TC LI meetings in Milan and Bad Homburg the representative of the Federal Office for the Protection of the Constitution gave a presentation on the respective preceding ILETS meetings (Item 3.9 STC / ILETS in Annexes 7 and 8) in his capacity as liaison to ILETS.

i) and j)

Please refer to the answer of the Federal Government to the Minor Interpellation submitted by the Left Party parliamentary group to Bundestag printed paper 18/498 to question 33 i) and j).

6. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the “International Specialist Law Enforcement” (ISLE) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 6

a)

An ISLE meeting was held in Rome from 20 to 22 October 2014.

b)

The German Federal Criminal Police Office prepared the ISLE meeting jointly with Europol and drafted the agenda.

c)

Prior to the meeting the agenda was distributed.

d)

In addition to organisational information relating to the meeting, the agenda included the following points:

- Future development of international cooperation in ISLE

- Discussion on the possibilities provided by the Europol Platform for Experts (EPE)
- Workshops on using the Europol Platform for Experts (EPE).

e)

Please refer to the answer to sub-question b).

f)

In addition to representatives of the Federal Criminal Police Office, members of mobile special mission units from 16 other EU Member States attended the ISLE meeting.

g)

The discussions centred around the future development of ISLE cooperation and the use of the Europol Platform for Experts (EPE).

h)

Representatives of the Federal Criminal Police Office were in charge of organising and chairing the event.

i)

In addition to using the EPE, the participants agreed to expand technical cooperation within ISLE. Furthermore, the aim is to attract additional agencies from EU Member States to join the ISLE co-operation.

j)

The meeting was not an informal exchange of ideas.

7. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "Remote Forensic Software User Group" (or similar forums set up after its possible disbandment) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 7

The Federal Government has no information on meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "Remote Forensic Software User Group" in 2014.

8. Which cross-border meetings, conference calls or other gatherings on “Euro anarchism”, animal rights activism, protests against major projects or similar forms of protest (Bundestag printed paper 17/9756) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government which were attended by the authorities or agencies of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?

On 8

The Federal Government has no information on cross-border meetings, conference calls or other gatherings on “Euro anarchism”, animal rights activism, and protests against major projects or similar forms of protest in 2014.

9. What additional “exchanges of information” or “ad-hoc discussions” did Federal Government agencies hold on the issue of “Euro anarchism” in 2014 with which authorities or agencies of which countries (please list as in Bundestag printed paper 17/9756)?

On 9

The Federal Government has no information about exchanges of information or ad-hoc discussions on the topic of “Euro anarchism” in 2014.

10. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the EU project “Expert Meeting Against Right Wing Extremism” (EMRE) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?

- j) *If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 10

a)

An EMRE meeting took place in Bonn from 19 to 22 May 2014.

b)

The Federal Criminal Police Office prepared the meeting in cooperation with the project partners the Czech Republic and Hungary.

c)

An updated agenda was handed out at the start of the meeting.

d)

The agenda included a lead-in presentation and presentations on the “Counter Terrorism Centre” service unit in Hungary, a set of investigation files by the Czech Republic, the Joint Centre for Countering Right-Wing Extremism (*Gemeinsames Abwehrzentrum Rechtsextremismus*, GAR) by the Federal Criminal Police Office and the government exit programme for people seeking to leave the right-wing extremist scene in North Rhine-Westphalia.

e)

The Federal Criminal Police Office was involved in shaping and deciding on the content of the meeting during the preparation.

f)

In total, representatives from 25 EU Member States, Switzerland and various German federal authorities (Federal Public Prosecution Office, Federal Office for the Protection of the Constitution and Federal Ministry of the Interior) attended.

g)

The event centred around exchanging information on right-wing extremist and right-wing terrorist structures, right-wing events and Internet activities and their impact on the security situation in all European countries.

h)

A lead-in presentation was given by representatives of the Ministry for Internal and Municipal Affairs of the federal state of North Rhine-Westphalia on the issue of “right-wing extremism” and the government exit programme there for those seeking to leave the scene. The Federal Criminal Police Office prepared and presented the talk on the national state of play in the area of – right-wing - politically motivated crime and presented the Joint Centre for Countering Right-Wing Extremism together with the Federal Office for the Protection of the Constitution.

i) and j)

The event promoted a Europe-wide exchange of experiences with a view to identifying the development of new phenomena and to learning of successful approaches to countering them. No special arrangements or agreements were made.

11. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings took place in 2014 in the scope of the "Focal Point" DOLPHIN within the "Analysis Workfile" Counterterrorism (CT) at Europol to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?

On 11

a)

An operational meeting on the target group BAZAAR took place on 15 April 2014 (financing of the PKK). At the Counter Terrorism Event from 12 to 14 November 2014 issues concerning the "Focal Point (FP) Dolphin" were included on the agenda. Both meetings took place at Europol in Den Haag.

b)

Europol took care of the preparation and compiled the agenda.

c)

For the operational meeting an update of the respective investigations underway in the participating states was distributed.

For the Counter Terrorism Event only the agenda was distributed in advance. Please also refer to the answer to question 11f).

d)

The agenda for the operational meeting was coordination and comparison of the information available in Europe on the financing of the PKK. At the Counter Terrorism Event the following items were on the agenda for FP Dolphin: Overview, EIS in CT work, ERWED/ RWE Ukraine, TG BAZAAR status and Ops MED status.

e)

The operational meeting took place on the initiative of the Federal Criminal Police Office and its content was co-shaped by the Federal Criminal Police Office. For the Counter Terrorism Event Federal Government agencies had no influence over the agenda.

f)

Representatives of the Federal Criminal Police Office and representatives from Austria, Belgium, Denmark, the Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and Europol took part in the operational meeting. No information is available on the participants at the Counter Terrorism Event as Germany did not attend.

g)

At the Operational Meeting operational findings relating to the financing of the PKK were exchanged and communication channels and technical standards were agreed on. No information is available on this for the Counter Terrorism Event. Please refer to the answer to question 11f).

h)

The Federal Criminal Police Office's contribution to the operational meeting of the target group BAZAAR entailed an up-date on the status of the investigation underway in Germany in relation to this matter. Please also refer to the answer to question 11f).

i) and j)

Please refer to the answer to question 11g).

12. How many entries (absolute figure) were provided to DOLPHIN from German authorities or agencies in 2014?

On 12

German authorities made 24 data deliveries to FP Dolphin in 2014.

13. How many DOLPHIN entries (absolute figure) did German agencies or authorities call up in 2014?

On 13

The Federal Government is not able to provide any statistical information on this as data retrieval is not recorded.

14. How have data deliveries by German authorities to Europol developed over the last two years quantitatively and qualitatively?

On 14

Based on the current statistical records from Europol (as in September 2014) the German data inventory has developed as follows over the past two years:

- Status on 4 October 2012: 24,199 data items recorded in EIS
- Status 18 October 2013: 36,047 data items recorded in EIS
- Status 30 September 2014: 49,449 data items recorded in EIS.

15. How many entries (objects and people) did the "Europol Information System" (EIS) have in the first half of 2013, what is the split across the different areas of criminal activity, who entered the data in each case, how much data was deleted by which Member State and which Member States called up data on how many occasions (if the data is not available for the 2nd half of 2013, please provide the most recent status)?

On 15

The different parts of the question shall be answered as follows:

- Objects and people in total in EIS: 259,359
- Break down into areas of criminal activity: EIS is used mainly in the following areas of Europol's mandate: drugs trafficking (28%), theft (19%), illegal immigration (11%), counterfeiting (8%) and fraud (6%).
- Data owners: Germany is the second most frequent user of EIS. Please ask Europol for information on the user behaviour of other Member States.
- Deletion of data per Member State: the Federal Government has no knowledge of current specific individual statistics on the deletion of EIS data. In Germany, deletions are generated automatically through the use of the "dataloader" as soon as the data is deleted in the national database.
- Data requests per Member State: Germany conducted a total of 20,331 searches in the EIS in Q4 2014. Please ask Europol for data request details for other Member States.

16. To the knowledge of the Federal Government, which countries now use the "dataloader" for Europol information systems?

On 16

In addition to Germany the following Member States use a so-called dataloader to deliver information from their respective national databases to EIS: the Netherlands, Denmark, Spain, Belgium, Sweden, France, Italy, Portugal, Slovenia, Poland, the United Kingdom, Lithuania, Finland and Slovenia.

17. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "Southeast European Law Enforcement Centre" (SELEC) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 17

a)

To the knowledge of the Federal Government, the following SELEC meetings took place in 2014:

- 11th meeting of the Stolen Vehicles Task Force on 27th March in Banja Luka, Bosnia-Herzegovina.
- 4th meeting of the Environmental and Nature Related Crimes Task Force on 13th May 2014 in Bucharest.
- 20th meeting of the Anti-Drug Trafficking Task Force on 20/21 May 2014 in Bucharest.
- 8th meeting of the SELEC Council on 29 May 2014 in Bucharest.
- 14th meeting of the Anti-Fraud and Anti-Smuggling Task Force on 11/12 July 2014 in Bucharest.
- 21st meeting of the Countering Trafficking in Human Beings and Illegal Migration Task Force on 19 June 2014 in Bucharest.
- SELEC/UNODC conference on illicit flows of funds on 27/28 November 2014 in Bucharest.

The Federal Criminal Police Office only attended the first day of the 20th meeting of the Anti-Drug Trafficking Task Force on 20/21 May in Bucharest. The answers to the questions below apply only to this meeting. The Federal Government has no information on the meetings beyond this.

b)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. SELEC is in charge of the organisation, preparation and staging of the meeting.

c)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. An agenda was sent out with the invitation.

d)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. The agenda items transmitted with the invitation are listed below:

- Presentation of the 2013 SEE Draft Annual Drug Report – Mr Robert Patrancus, Assistant Criminal Analyst
- Discussions on the 2013 SEE Annual Drug Report
- Presentation on 2013 ADT TF activities
- Presentations on the anti-drug joint investigations, awarded by SELEC Bi-annual Rewarding Committee in 2013
- Presentations on other substantial anti-drug joint investigations in SEE region, new modus operandi and smuggling routes
- Presentation of the project on cross-border deployment of undercover officers and informants – implementation and results
- The illicit drug trade through South Eastern Europe and drug trafficking with a specific focus on Southeast Europe – UNODC expert
- Afghan opiate trade monitoring project's global update report – UNODC expert
- CARICC presentation on 2013 drug trafficking situation in Central Asian region: specific cases, new trend & modus operandi
- Presentations by SELEC Partners, such as: INTERPOL, EUROPOL, EMCDDA, EUBAM, WCO
- Recommendations on future ADT TF activities
- Conclusions
- Informal meetings among SELEC member countries & partners on on-going joint drug investigations (upon request)

e)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. The agenda was not influenced by Federal Government authorities or agencies.

f)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. On the German side, a representative from the Federal Criminal Police Office and a representative from customs took part in the 20th meeting of the Anti-Drug Trafficking Task Force.

g)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. In accordance with the agenda, the 2013 annual report on anti-drugs trafficking activities and individual cases from the member countries including one related to Germany were presented.

h)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. Germany did not make any presentations.

i)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. The Federal Government has no knowledge of specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes.

j)

Please refer to the answer to question 17a. The meeting was not an informal exchange of ideas.

18. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the platform for police from South East Europe "Police Equal Performance" (PEP) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*
- k) What "policing deficiencies" have been identified and analysed in the PEP to date?*
- l) To what extent have the areas of crime covered by the PEP now been decided on?*

On 18

The Federal Government has no information on meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the platform for police forces from Southeast Europe entitled "Police Equal Performance" (PEP) in 2014.

19. Which "EU twinning projects" were launched and/or completed with German involvement in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government and which federal state/which federal agency has been entrusted with managing them ("forerunners") or with secretarial tasks?

On 19

On 13 January 2014, the EU twinning project "Strengthening criminal investigation capacities against organized crime and corruption" was launched in Kosovo. The project is being carried out by the Brandenburg State Police Academy and College, with Hungary and Lithuania as junior partners.

In addition to this, on 7 July 2014 Germany was selected for the twinning light project "Strengthening capacities of the Ministry of Interior for using IMSI Catcher" whose beneficiary is Croatia. The Federal Criminal Police Office is in charge of the project. The project contract was signed recently, notification is still pending. As such implementation of the project in the meaning of the interpellation has not yet begun.

20. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "Baltic Sea Region Border Control Cooperation" (BSRBCC) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 20

In 2014, the activities of the BSRBCC were based on the action plan adopted by the BSRBCC Estonian presidency. Specifically, ten seminars and workshops, one maritime operation, three meetings of the BSRBCC secretariat, two meetings of the BBC (Baltic Border Committee) and the annual meeting of the heads of agencies took place.

a)

The 2014 BSRBCC meetings took place in Tallinn / Estonia with one exception. One meeting took place on the ferry Tallinn - Stockholm - Tallinn.

b)

Estonia was in charge of preparing and organising the BSRBCC activities in 2014 as part of its BSRBCC presidency.

c)

Prior to the meeting, drafts of the respective programme / agenda were distributed.

d)

The respective agendas of the measures listed are based on the respective thrust of the measures. Recurring topics such as annual reports or the strategic direction of the next presidencies and their sequence were the focal points of the discussions in particular.

e)

Federal Government agencies exerted no influence on the agenda.

f)

Representatives of each of the authorities of the Baltic Sea states with border-policing tasks took part in the meetings. Representatives of the European border agency Frontex also took part in individual measures on an ad-hoc basis.

g)

The discussions of the agenda items centred around the operational planning of the BSRBCC and the conceptual further development of this organisational form and exploring the advantages of participating in the "Joint Study Module for European Police Cooperation".

h)

The German representatives endeavoured to constructively influence the future development of the BSRBCC in the scope of the vision and mission agreed on for the BSRBCC. These state that in addition to the BSRBCC's maritime focus, fighting cross-border goods smuggling – in particular from Scandinavia towards Eastern Europe - will be part of the operational thrust of its activities.

i)

The BSRBCC serves as a model for an operationally focussed form of cross-border cooperation in the Baltic Sea region and as such should be continually further developed. In all of this, cross-agency cooperation in combatting cross-border crime and protecting the environment in the Baltic Sea region are a defining feature in the areas of cooperation.

j)

The BSRBCC is an operational form of cooperation, which - above and beyond an informal exchange of ideas - evaluates and adopts its operational cross-border measures on the basis of sound knowledge of the respective situation.

21. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of working groups on "Common Pre-Frontier Intelligence Picture" (CPIP) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*

- j) *If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 21

The Federal Government has no information on meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of working groups on a “Common Pre-Frontier Intelligence Picture” (CPIP).

22. *With which “third countries” or institutions is the EU law enforcement agency Europol cooperating currently to the knowledge of the Federal Government in the form of strategic, operational or other cooperation agreements?*

- a) *To which “third countries” has Europol seconded liaison officers?*
- b) *With which “third countries” or institutions were cooperation agreements concluded or were negotiations opened in 2014?*
- c) *What is the content of these agreements specifically?*
- d) *Which agreements did the Europol Management Board or any other body of the organisation not grant approval and what were the reasons for this?*

On 22

An up-to-date list of the third countries and authorities with which Europol has a cooperation agreement can be found on the website www.europol.europa.eu.

a)

Europol has sent liaison officers to Lyon/France to ICPO-Interpol and to Washington D.C./USA.

b)

Operational agreements have been concluded between Europol and the third countries Columbia (in force since 25 February 2014), Albania (in force since 6 June 2014) and Serbia (in force since 18 June 2014). An operational agreement with the third country Montenegro was signed on 29 September 2014; ratification is still pending. Negotiations have been opened with the third countries of Moldova, Bosnia and Herzegovina and the EU agency Frontex on the conclusion of an operational agreement.

A strategic agreement was concluded with the EU agency ENISA, which has been in force since 26 June 2014.

c)

The agreements concluded can be viewed at www.europol.europa.eu or www.consilium.europa.eu.

According to the information available to the Federal Government, the conclusion of an operational agreement enables the exchange of personal data between Europol and third countries or authorities. It also contains rules for setting up a liaison office at Europol.

According to the information available to the Federal Government, the conclusion of a strategic agreement generally only allows the exchange of technical and strategic information (for instance new modi operandi, trends, situation reports, new investigation techniques, forensic and analysis methods) – but not the exchange of personal data.

d)

According to the information available to the Federal Government, in 2014 no agreements on cooperation with third countries and authorities were concluded nor were negotiations opened for which the Management Board of Europol or any other body of the agency did not grant approval.

23. With which "third countries" or institutions is the EU border agency Frontex currently cooperating to the knowledge of the Federal Government through strategic, operational or other cooperation agreements?

- a) With which "third countries" or institutions were cooperation agreements concluded or negotiations opened in 2014?
- b) What is the content of these agreements specifically?
- c) Which agreements did the Frontex Management Board or any other body of the agency not grant its approval and for what reasons?

On 23

To the knowledge of the Federal Government, cooperation agreements exist between the EU border protection agency FRONTEX and the following third countries: Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Burundi, Canada, Cape Verde, Georgia, Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro, Nigeria, Russian Federation, Serbia, Turkey, Ukraine and the US.

To the knowledge of the Federal Government, cooperation agreements exist between the EU border protection agency FROTEX and the following institutions: EUROJUST, European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security and justice (eu-LISA), International Center for Migration Policy Development (ICMPD), International Organization for Migration (IOM), United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol), Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF) and United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC).

a)

To the knowledge of the Federal Government, in 2014 working agreements were concluded between FRONTEX and EUROJUST and FRONTEX and eu-LISA. Negotiations were opened with the "European External Action Service" (EEAS) on a cooperation agreement in 2014.

b)

In terms of content, the agreements aim to optimise the cooperation between the agencies/institutions.

c)

To the knowledge of the Federal Government, neither the Frontex Management Board nor any other agency body denied an agreement approval.

24. How many people are currently working in which fields for the "EU Intelligence Analysis Centre" (EU INTCEN) and the "Intelligence Directorate" (EUMS INT) to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Which Federal agencies and authorities have seconded how many employees from which departments to the institutions for this purpose and/or how many assume such tasks from inside their own agency or authority?
- b) What status reports did INTCEN and EUMS INT compile in 2014 and how did Federal Government authorities contribute to them?

On 24

a)

Germany currently has three employees representing it at the cited institutions (INTCEN: one employee from the Federal Intelligence Service, EUMS INT: two members of the *Bundeswehr*). Please also refer to the answer of the Federal Government to question 21 of the Minor Interpellation submitted by the Left Party parliamentary group, Bundestag printed paper 18/498).

b)

Please refer to the answer of the Federal Government to question 21b) of the Minor Interpellation submitted by the Left Party parliamentary group, Bundestag printed paper 18/498 of 12 February 2014.

25. *What endeavours are European institutions undertaking to the knowledge of the Federal Government to carry out more measures like the past "European Police Force Training" (EUPFT), "European Union Police Services Training" (EUPST) or the "Europe's New Training Initiative for Civilian Crisis Management" (ENTRI) measures?*

- a) *Where were or are such training exercises or conferences, seminars or other forms of training being held?*
- b) *What are the respective measures to entail?*
- c) *Who prepares these in each case and is in charge of planning and organisation?*
- d) *To what extent do Federal Government agencies and authorities have a say in the planning and organisation of the operations?*
- e) *What role do European Council Working Groups assume in preparing the measures?*
- f) *Which authorities and agencies from which countries and/or institutions or individuals representing the European Union or other institutions participate in or observe these?*
- g) *Which federal authorities or other federal institutions or (to the extent that the Federal Government has knowledge of this) of the German federal states participate in or observe these employing which resources?*
- h) *How were or are the measures being funded?*

On 25

EUPST

a)

An initial workshop on European Union Police Services Training was held from 1 to 3 December 2014 in Brussels/ Belgium.

b)

The measures entail realigning or continuing "European Union Police Services Training".

c)

The workshop was planned and organised by the European Commission's "Service for Foreign Policy Instruments".

d)

Representatives of the Federal Police and the federal state police forces took part in the initial workshop in Brussels/Belgium in a consultative capacity.

e)

At the meeting of the "Committee for Civilian Aspects of Crisis Management" (CivCom) on the 5 November 2014 and at the meeting of the "Standing Committee on operational cooperation on internal security" (COSI) on 11 November 2014, the European Commission informed the EU Member States of its intention to host a workshop on the future direction of EUPST in the period from 1 to 3 December 2014.

f)

The following nations/organisations sent representatives to attend the workshop in Brussels: Dutch Royal Marechaussee, Italian Carabinieri, French Ministry of the Interior, Spanish Guardia Civil and Spanish Police, Romanian Ministry of the Interior, Bulgarian Ministry of the Interior, Estonian Police and Estonian Border Protection, Belgian Police, Cypriot Police, Czech Police, Police of the Kingdom of Great Britain, Slovakian Main Police Headquarters (Presidium), Portuguese National Guard and the Polish National Police Force.

In addition to this, representatives of the "Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability" (CPCC), of the "European Police College" (CEPOL), of the "European Union Defence College in Rome" (ESDC) and the German Centre for International Peace Operations (ZiF).

g)

Representatives of the North Rhine-Westphalia police force, the Baden Wuerttemberg police force and the Federal Police attended the workshop in Brussels/Belgium.

h)

The measures were funded by the project budget of the European Commission, which was managed by the "Service for Foreign Policy Instruments".

26. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government which were attended by agencies of the Federal Government

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 26

The Federal Government maintains contact with the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) headquartered in Vienna largely through its project management and its specialist conferences. Regular contact is maintained to this end at a working level by the Permanent Mission of Germany to the United Nations and other international organisations in Vienna and by the Federal Foreign Office. Germany also regularly attends UNODC events with delegations, in particular the annual meetings of the UN Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice and the UN Commission on Narcotic Drugs.

UNODC also exchanges information and ideas in the scope of working group meetings and events on the organisation's core issues (prevention and combatting of drugs and crime, counter terrorism and corruption) with its Member States including the Federal Republic of Germany. The invitation to these events is issued by UNODC, which also proposes the agenda. The meetings usually take place in Vienna. No conference calls took place with UNODC in 2014.

UNODC reports directly to the UN Secretariat and sees itself as global leader in the fight against illegal drugs and international crime. Germany was the tenth largest contributor to UNODC in 2014.

The focus of project management is financial contributions to UNODC for compliance with and implementation of the international drug control conventions and to counter crime and terrorism. In February 2014, a team from the department managing the project funds at the Federal Foreign Office held consultations in Vienna on how to structure the project cooperation with UNODC. Here both the Federal Government's existing project management with UNODC and potential further project cooperation possibilities were discussed. The consultations also dealt with thematic and regional priorities of project cooperation.

In addition to this there were working contacts with UNDOC in the context of the following events:

Expert consultations on the issue of new psychoactive substances

a)

Expert consultations took place in Vienna from 9 to 11 December 2014 on the issue of new psychoactive substances (NPS).

b)

UNODC prepared and organised the meeting and set the agenda jointly with the WHO.

c)

In the run up to the meeting, an invitation and an agenda with additional information were sent out.

d)

The agenda was organised around the priorities "challenges for the international drug control system", "processes and experiences for selecting NPS for scheduling at the national and regional level", "working groups on indicators, tools, data collection systems and methods for prioritization and evaluation of NPS", "developing tools for NPS prioritization and outlining minimum criteria for NPS evaluation" and "the way forward for prioritization and evaluation of NPS in the framework of the international drug control system".

e)

Federal Government authorities had no influence on the agenda.

f)

Representatives from the health and criminal prosecution authorities, from other international organisations (UNODC, INCB) and experts from the following states attended the consultations: Australia, Germany, France, Ghana, United Kingdom, Japan, Canada, Columbia, Latvia, Netherlands, Austria, Russia, Sweden, Hungary and the US. In addition to this, various international bodies and organisations were present. From Germany, representatives from the Federal Ministry of Health attended in Vienna.

g)

There were presentations on the background and aims of the consultations and building on this, the issues cited in the answer to question 26d were discussed.

h)

The contributions by Germany dealt mainly with its experiences with the data collection systems of UNODC and WHO and the European early warning system for NPS.

i) and j)

Specific recommendations on further steps or other measures were not adopted during the consultations. Based on the experiences from the 36th meeting of the WHO Expert Committee on Drug

Dependence (ECDD), core issues of the expert consultations in Vienna were how future data collection, prioritisation of suspected NPS and the criteria for evaluating NPS can be improved.

International Expert Group Meeting - Management, Use and Disposal of Frozen, Seized and Confiscated Assets

a)

The conference took place from 2 to 4 April 2014 in Reggio Calabria, Italy.

b)

UNODC was in charge of preparing the meeting and drafting the agenda.

c)

UNODC circulated all the meeting documents prior to and at the meeting or made them available on a homepage.

d)

The focus was on the special aspects of and prerequisites for asset recovery as a result of the different legal systems of the different countries. The representatives of the countries presented their experiences and successes in the area of asset recovery.

e)

Federal Government agencies and authorities did not register any items of their own for inclusion in the agenda nor did they influence the agenda in any other way.

f)

The Federal Government does not have a complete overview of which representatives from other states or national or international organisations attended. This enquiry would have to be addressed to UNODC, which keeps lists of participants and knows who actually registered. The Federal Republic of Germany was represented by a representative of the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection.

g)

Please refer to the answer to letter d).

h)

The German representative presented the German legal system relating to asset recovery and participated in the discussion on the basis of the German legal situation and practice in the area of asset recovery.

i)

Recommendations were identified for the continued development of asset recovery, which are to be discussed further.

j)

Please refer to the answer to letter i).

Conference of the Parties to the UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime

a)

The conference took place from 6 to 10 October 2014 in Vienna.

b)

UNODC was in charge of the preparation and drafting the agenda.

c)

UNODC circulated all the meeting documents prior to the meeting or they were available in digital form via the homepage.

d)

The clear focus of the Conference of the Parties – as was already the case for the Conference of the Parties in 2012 – was the question of whether an evaluation mechanism should be introduced and what form this should take.

e)

Federal Government agencies and authorities did not request any items of their own to be included in the agenda nor did they influence the agenda in any other way.

f)

The Federal Government does not have a complete overview of which representatives from other states or national or international organisations attended. This enquiry would have to be addressed to UNODC, which keeps lists of participants and knows who actually registered. The Federal Republic of Germany was represented at the Conference of the Parties by a representative of the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection and by staff from the Permanent Mission of Germany to the United Nations in Vienna.

g)

Please refer to the answer to letter d).

h)

As the Member States of the European Union speak with one voice at the Conference of the Parties at United Nations level, it was above all the Council Presidency in office in the second half of 2014 that issued a joint statement on behalf of all the Member States of the European Union. The statement expressed support for the introduction of a UNTOC evaluation mechanism. The representatives of the Federal Republic of Germany supported this position.

i)

The Conference of the Parties decided to continue to examine the question of what shape an appropriate UNTOC evaluation mechanism could take over the next few months in a working group. This should also serve to prepare for the UNTOC Conference of the Parties in 2016 where further discussions will be held on the introduction of a UNTOC evaluation mechanism.

j)

Please refer to the answer to letter i).

27. To what extent was the Federal Government involved in 2014 in the work inside the UNODC "Working Group on Countering the Use of the Internet for Terrorist Purposes" or did it receive reports from it and what details can it provide on this?

On 27

This working group presented a compendium on the use of the Internet for terrorist purposes in October 2012. Since then the working group has not held any meetings.

28. To what extent was the Federal Government involved in 2014 in the work inside the "UNODC Terrorism Prevention Branch" or did it receive reports from it and what details can it provide on this?

On 28

The Federal Government is in contact with the UNODC Terrorism Prevention Branch through the Permanent Mission of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations and other international organisations on a regular basis and in 2014 supported a UNODC project in the field of terrorism prevention in Africa. UNODC reports are posted on the organisation's homepage and can be read there.

29. To what extent was the Federal Government involved in 2014 in the work inside the UNODC "Counter Terrorism Implementation Task Force" (CTITF) or did it receive reports from it and what details can it provide on this?

On 29

The mandate of the Counter-Terrorism Implementation Task Force (CTITF), which was founded by the United Nations Secretariat in 2005, is to coordinate counter-terrorism efforts inside the United Nations system. UNODC is but one of the 35 organisations whose activities are coordinated by CTITF. The Permanent Mission to the United Nations in New York is in regular working contact with CTITF. CTITF is in charge of the biannual report of the Secretary General of the United Nations entitled "Activities of the United Nations system in implementing the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy". The most recent report is publically available under the UN reference "A/68/841".

30. To what extent was the Federal Government involved in 2014 in the work inside the UNODC "Open-ended intergovernmental expert group" or did it receive reports from it and what details can it provide on this?

On 30

The Federal Government is involved in the work of various UNODC working groups with the title "open-ended intergovernmental expert group". Without greater specification it is not possible to answer the question.

31. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "Police Working Group on Terrorism" (PWGT) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government (Bundestag printed paper 17/13440)?

- a) *Where were these held?*
- b) *Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) *What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) *What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) *To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) *Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) *What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*

- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 31

a)

In 2014, PWGT conferences took place on 11/12 June 2014 in Geneva, Switzerland, and on 19/20 November 2014 in Malta.

b)

The conferences were prepared by the host country in each case, which also set the agenda and was in charge of organisation.

c)

Prior to the conferences, the respective current reports on the situation in the PWGT Member States were sent out. During the conferences documents were handed out on the presentations given.

d)

In addition to presenting how the situation was developing in the area of politically motivated crime in the Member States, there were presentations on selected topics: in June on military intervention in Mali and on the travel movements of potential terrorists to Syria; at the conference in November, in addition to the travel movements of potential terrorists to Syria, the activities by Europol related to this to counter the phenomenon of Foreign Terrorist Fighters was also on the agenda.

e)

Federal Government authorities did not influence the agenda.

f)

Representatives of the PWGT Member States took part in the meetings. These are members of their security agencies in charge of countering politically motivated crime. Germany is represented by the Federal Criminal Police Office here.

g)

There were no subjects in the discussion going beyond the cited topics and any follow-up questions at the two conferences.

h)

At the conference in Geneva, Germany did not give a presentation. At the conference in Malta, the Federal Criminal Police Office gave a presentation on current developments in relation to the suspension of the encrypted communication system used in the PWGT group.

i) and j)

No specific arrangements or agreements were made. Furthermore, the meeting does not constitute an informal exchange of ideas.

32. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the "Global Counterterrorism Forum" (GCTF) took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal "exchange of ideas", what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?

On 32

a) to d)

The GCTF is organised into the coordinating committee, which functions as the strategic management body, and six working groups. In 2014 the coordinating committee met twice on 2/3 April in Rabat and on 22 September in New York. On 23 September, a GCTF ministerial meeting was held following the September meeting.

Various events were held in the scope of the working groups in 2014 – plenary sessions, workshops, seminars and conferences. For an overview of the working groups and their events including the times and locations as well as summary reports and basic documents please see <https://www.thegctf.org/web/guest/working-groups>. Plenary sessions are usually organised by the chair of the respective working group, other events usually by the states hosting them in cooperation with the GCTF administrative unit in each case. The chair of the working group or the host country usually also set the agenda. Germany is not chair of any working group.

At the meetings of the GCTF coordinating committee, the Federal Government is usually represented by the Federal Foreign Office and the Federal Ministry of the Interior, which coordinate their work closely with all departments tangibly concerned prior to the meetings. The departments send representatives to meetings and events organised by the individual working groups as needed depending on the focal points of the meetings.

Conference calls are not common working practice at the GCTF. The Federal Government did not take part in any conference calls in 2014.

e)

The agenda for the meetings of the coordinating committee is decided on by the members of the GCTF. Please also refer to the answers to questions 32 to 32d.

f) and g)

Please refer to the answers to the questions 32 to 32d).

h)

The GCTF serves as a forum for exchanging experiences, expertise, strategies and capacity building in the area of counter-terrorism whilst safeguarding the rule of law and human rights and provides a platform for coordinating national projects in this area. The representatives of German authorities accept this line at the meetings of the GCTF.

i)

The members of the GCTF do not adopt any binding decisions but instead make non-binding recommendations or develop non-binding “good practices” whose implementation takes place on a voluntary basis. Please also refer to the answers to the questions 32 to 32d.

i)

Please refer to the answer question 32h).

33. Which meetings, conference calls or other gatherings of the “European Expert Network on Terrorism Issues” took place in 2014 to the knowledge of the Federal Government?

- a) Where were these held?*
- b) Who prepared these and who was in charge of the agenda and organisation?*
- c) What documents were disseminated for this in the run up to or at the time of the meetings, conference calls or other gatherings?*
- d) What specific items were on the agenda?*
- e) To what extent did Federal Government agencies or authorities influence the agenda?*
- f) Which agencies or other institutions or individuals from which countries (including Germany) took part in the meetings?*
- g) What was the content of the discussions of the agenda items and other topics (please provide a rough outline of the contents)?*
- h) What contributions did representatives of German agencies or authorities make and what did they consist of?*
- i) What specific arrangements, agreements or other outcomes did the meetings produce?*
- j) If the meetings largely constituted an informal “exchange of ideas”, what does the Federal Government feel were the key points in this exchange?*

On 33

a)

The annual conference of the EENeT was held from 12 to 14 November 2014 in Ávila, Spain.

b)

The conference was prepared by the general office of the Federal Criminal Police Office, the members of the steering committee and the Spanish National Police. The agenda was the product of the members’ papers submitted to the general office following a call for papers. The thematic focal point was radicalisation issues.

c)

Prior to the meeting, an agenda and brief introductions to the different presentation topics were provided.

d)

Four major sets of issues were dealt with during plenary events and workshops: radicalisation – de-radicalisation, methodical approaches, phenomenological changes in terrorism and extremism, counter-terrorism/prevention.

e)

Please refer to the answer to question 33b).

f)

Experts from security agencies, from universities and other institutions dealing with research into extremism from Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Finland, France, Greece, Ireland, the Netherlands, Portugal, Austria, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the Czech Republic, Hungary, the United Kingdom as well as from EU institutions and the OSCE.

g)

The event served to inform the participants from the field of science and practice on current developments in research into terrorism – of a phenomenological nature as well as methodological nature. The discussions of current phenomena focussed largely on the issue of “Foreign Terrorist Fighters” and here in particular on the exchange of information and findings on issues relating to radicalisation and practical experiences relating to de-radicalisation. Methodological papers frequently deal with closer investigation of the organisational forms of terrorist/extremist organisations and the risk potential emanating from these groups. Papers by authors who have agreed to their publication are available in the Working Paper series on the EENeT homepage. Papers from the 2014 annual meeting are expected to be able to be published in May 2015.

h)

In addition to preparing the event, representatives of the Federal Criminal Police Office also introduced it and gave a lecture entitled “Co-terrorism Thesis – a Tool to Shape Counterterrorism?”.

i)

Fundamentally, the main aspiration is an informal exchange at a scientific-analytical level on issues relating to the current state of research in the areas of extremism and terrorism. Accordingly, no binding agreements are usually made. However, consultations were held with the conference participants on which topics should be tabled for further discussion in the scope of the sub-working group meeting planned in March 2015. This consultation process is still underway at the moment. The EENeT does not pursue a (security) policy agenda – it is an independent, informal network of experts.

j)

The most important points of the meeting are reflected in the agenda. Please refer to the answer to question 33b) in relation to this. Of key importance to the EENeT network, which now boasts 140 members (from 22 European countries), is the opportunity to bring each other up to speed on current developments in terrorism research– in terms of phenomena and methodology. The largely open nature of the content of the meetings appears particularly suited to dealing with current developments, also at short notice.

ETSI TC LI-#35; Milan (Italien); 28. - 30.01.2014**Liste der bereitgestellten Dokumente**

Dokument	Beschreibung
LI(14)P35001	LI#35 Meeting Invitation
LI(14)P35002	IPR call
LI(14)P35003	Tdoc template for general use in TC-LI-35
LI(14)P35004	CR template for general use in TC-LI-35
LI(14)P35005	Proposed Agenda TC-LI-35
LI(14)P35005r1	Proposed Agenda TC-LI-35
LI(14)P35006	LS IN from OIPF on Lawful Intercept for IPTV
LI(14)P35007	Report from TC LI Rapporteurs 30 in Hamburg CR APPROVED at LI#34 on TS 102 232-4 Modification to IRI-END Message to signal
LI(14)P35008	end
LI(14)P35009	TS 102 657 Additional address information
LI(14)P35010	TS 102 657 Update for NAT details
LI(14)P35010r1	TS 102 657 Update for NAT details
LI(14)P35011	TS 102 657 Technique for asking operational questions
LI(14)P35012	TS 102 657 Changes for WiFi
LI(14)P35013	TS 102 657 Evidential assurance for RDHI
LI(14)P35014	TS 102 657 Correct missing reference
LI(14)P35015	Summary Report from SA3LI
LI(14)P35015r1	Summary Report from SA3LI
LI(14)P35016	New version of the TR 102 503
LI(14)P35017	TS_102 657 TransmitterDetails Technology
LI(14)P35017r1	TS_102 657 TransmitterDetails Technology
LI(14)P35017r2	TS_102 657 TransmitterDetails Technology
LI(14)P35018	TS_102 657 EPS-CS case location
LI(14)P35018r1	TS_102 657 EPS-CS case location
LI(14)P35019	CR001 to TR 102 519 with various improvements
LI(14)P35019r1	CR001 to TR 102 519 with various improvements
LI(14)P35020	LS in from ITU-T JCA-Cloud on cloud computing roadmap
LI(14)P35021	TR 102 503 Update from V1.7.1
LI(14)P35021r1	TR 102 503 Update from V1.7.1
LI(14)P35022	CR006 to TS 102 232-6 on addition of UDPTL
LI(14)P35022r1	CR006 to TS 102 232-6 on addition of UDPTL
LI(14)P35022r2	CR006 to TS 102 232-6 on addition of UDPTL
LI(14)P35023	Proposed CR to TS 102 232-1 on addition of generic location sequence

LI(14)P35023r1	Proposed CR to TS 102 232-1 on addition of generic location sequence
LI(14)P35024	Proposed CR to TS 102 232-5 on addition of location support to IPMMIRI
LI(14)P35025	CR to TS 102 232-2 on addition of webmail as E-mail protocol ID
LI(14)P35025r1	CR to TS 102 232-2 on addition of webmail as E-mail protocol ID
LI(14)P35026	Notify Object
LI(14)P35027	HI-1 State Machine Annex
LI(14)P35028	Alignment of HI-A and HI-1 Objects
LI(14)P35029	MaxRecordsPerBatch
LI(14)P35029r1	MaxRecordsPerBatch
LI(14)P35029r2	MaxRecordsPerBatch
LI(14)P35030	Updates to Multimedia Record
LI(14)P35030r1	Updates to Multimedia Record
LI(14)P35031	Updates to Network Access Record
LI(14)P35031r1	Updates to Network Access Record
LI(14)P35031r2	Updates to Network Access Record
LI(14)P35032	X1 Requirements Annex
LI(14)P35033	Discussion on changes to WI specification
LI(14)P35034	TS_102_657_IMS_subscriber_and_usage_parameters.doc
LI(14)P35035	System time accuracy in TS 102 232-1
LI(14)P35035r1	System time accuracy in TS 102 232-1
LI(14)P35036	NFV Overview
LI(14)P35037	NFV LI Issues
LI(14)P35037r1	NFV LI Issues
LI(14)P35037r2	NFV LI Issues
LI(14)P35038	LS NFV Lawful Interception Requirements
LI(14)P35038r1	LS NFV Lawful Interception Requirements
LI(14)P35039	Draft Skeleton Security TS 103 218
LI(14)P35040	Integration of SA3LICloud LD Clause 1 thru 3
LI(14)P35041	Integration of SA3LICloud LD Clause 4
LI(14)P35042	Integration of SA3LICloud LD Clause 5
LI(14)P35043	Integration of SA3LI Cloud LD Clause 7
LI(14)P35044	Integration of SA3LI Cloud LD Conclusions
LI(14)P35045	Integration of SA3LI Cloud LD Use Cases
LI(14)P35046	Integration of SA3LI Cloud LD Use Case Template
LI(14)P35047	A new TS 102 232-8 to delivery of a copy of large files/mail boxes to LEAs
LI(14)P35048	new features to be added to TS 102 232-2 for discussion
LI(14)P35049	ToR for creation TC CYBER
LI(14)P35049r1	ToR for creation TC CYBER
LI(14)P35049r2	ToR for creation TC CYBER
LI(14)P35050	DTS 103 120 - Output from LI(13)

LI(14)P35051	DTS 103 120 - Introduction of Documents (from Hamburg)
LI(14)P35051r1	DTS 103 120 - Introduction of Documents (from Hamburg)
LI(14)P35052	Proposal for a Stored Data Handover Interface New Work Item
LI(14)P35053	Proposal for a Common Target Expression New Work Item
LI(14)P35054	NFV Developments Update
LI(14)P35055	NFV Developments Update Part 2
LI(14)P35056	White Paper on Precision Time
LI(14)P35057	Roadmap for the LI handover standards
LI(14)P35058	Minutes from EU DRD Experts Group Meeting Dec 2013
LI(14)P35059	LI#35 Participants List
LI(14)P35060	DTS_103_120_-_Output_from_LI_13_Q&D LI agnostic
LI(14)P35061	New agreed version of TS 102 232-4
LI(14)P35062	Agreed Version of TS102232-1
LI(14)P35063	new agreed version 2.0 of TR 102 519
LI(14)P35063r1	new agreed version 2.0 of TR 102 519
LI(14)P35064	ES_201_158.on juridical domain control
LI(14)P35065	Security issue related to NFV development on data retention
LI(14)P35065r1	Security issue related to NFV development on data retention
LI(14)P35066	TS_101_331 on juridical domain control
LI(14)P35066r1	TS_101_331 on juridical domain control
LI(14)P35067	Cloud_Output_from_Milan_Meeting
LI(14)P35068	TS102657 agreed version v1.14.1
LI(14)P35068r1	TS102657 agreed version v1.14.1
LI(14)P35069	DRAFT TS 101 331
LI(14)P35070	DRAFT TS 201 158
LI(14)P35071	New agreed version of 102 232-2
LI(14)P35072	New agreed version of 102 232-5
LI(14)P35073	Latest version of 102 232-6 v3.3.1
LI(14)P35073r1	Latest version of 102 232-6 v3.3.1
LI(14)P35074	Report of TC#LI35

ETSI TC LI#36; Bad Homburg (Deutschland); 24. – 26.06.2014**Liste der bereitgestellten Dokumente**

Dokument	Beschreibung
LI(14)P36001	Meeting Invitation and Hotel Registration
LI(14)P36002	Cloud Docs Milan Den Haag
LI(14)P36003	DTS 103 120 - Output from Den Haag (change marked)
LI(14)P36004	DTS 103 120 - Output from Den Haag (clean)
LI(14)P36005	Removal of implementation restrictions in LI LEA requirements
LI(14)P36008	TC LI#36 Meeting Agenda
LI(14)P36006r1	TC LI#36 Meeting Agenda
LI(14)P36007	Tdoc Template
LI(14)P36008	CR Template
LI(14)P36009	The TCLI#36 Social Side Program: Invitation and Agenda
LI(14)P36009a1	Registration Form for the ETSI TC-LI#36 Side Program
LI(14)P36010	The TCLI#36 Social Side Program: Invitation and Agenda
LI(14)P36011	Report from TC LI Rapporteurs #31 in Den Haag
LI(14)P36012	DTS 103 120 - Approvals and Signatures
LI(14)P36012r1	DTS 103 120 - Approvals and Signatures
LI(14)P36013	DTS 102 120 - New Dictionary type
LI(14)P36014	DTS 103 120 - Additional top-level Object fields
LI(14)P36015	DTS 103 120 - Editorial changes
LI(14)P36015r1	DTS 103 120 - Editorial changes
LI(14)P36015r2	DTS 103 120 - Editorial changes
LI(14)P36016	ToR Input from LI14R31
LI(14)P36016r1	ToR Input from LI14R31
LI(14)P36016r2	ToR Input from LI14R31
LI(14)P36017	Cloud DTR Scope
LI(14)P36018	Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 123
LI(14)P36018r1	Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 123
LI(14)P36019	Cloud DTR NFV Clause 4
LI(14)P36019r1	Cloud DTR NFV Clause 4
LI(14)P36019r2	Cloud DTR NFV Clause 4
LI(14)P36020	Cloud DTR NFV Clause 5
LI(14)P36020r1	Cloud DTR NFV Clause 5
LI(14)P36021	Cloud DTR Clause 513
LI(14)P36021r1	Cloud DTR Clause 513
LI(14)P36022	Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 67

LI(14)P36022r1	Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 67
LI(14)P36023	Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 69
LI(14)P36023r1	Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 69
LI(14)P36024	CR to TS 102 232-1 on addition of generic location sequence
LI(14)P36024r1	CR to TS 102 232-1 on addition of generic location sequence
LI(14)P36025	CR to TS 102 232-5 on addition of location support to IPMMIRI
LI(14)P36025r1	CR to TS 102 232-5 on addition of location support to IPMMIRI
LI(14)P36026	CLIF text for Cloud LI Technical Report
LI(14)P36026r1	CLIF text for Cloud LI Technical Report
LI(14)P36026r2	CLIF text for Cloud LI Technical Report
LI(14)P36027	TS102 657 Retained Data Handover Interface update to references
LI(14)P36028	TS 102 657 Device details
LI(14)P36028r1	TS 102 657 Device details
LI(14)P36029	New Cloud Virtualization Fora Annex
LI(14)P36029r1	New Cloud Virtualization Fora Annex
LI(14)P36030	Removal of expired reference
LI(14)P36030r1	Removal of expired reference
LI(14)P36031	102 232-5 Correction of table regarding use of REPORT messages
LI(14)P36032	102 657 CR 095 Correction of features in XML schema
LI(14)P36032r1	102 657 CR 095 Correction of features in XML schema
LI(14)P36033	An NFV Update
LI(14)P36034	Liaison Statement from OIPF
LI(14)P36035	Output from OIPF
LI(14)P36036	updated cloud vocabularies and architectures
LI(14)P36037	LS from OIPF on LI for IPTV
LI(14)P36038	LS from ITU-T JCA-Cloud on roadmap
LI(14)P36039	DTS 103 221 - X1 Follow-Up from Rapporteurs Meeting
LI(14)P36040	DTS 103 221 - X1 Early draft of XML Schema
LI(14)P36041	Dynamic Triggering DIAMETER to XML conversion
LI(14)P36042	Dynamic Triggering TS 102 677 v0_8_4
LI(14)P36043	Summary report from SA3LI
LI(14)P36044	LI#36 participants list
LI(14)P36045	Proposed new version of TS102657 v1.15.1
LI(14)P36046	New version of 102 232-5
LI(14)P36046r1	New version of 102 232-5
LI(14)P36047	New Agreed Version of TS102232-1
LI(14)P36048	DTR Cloud LI Output
LI(14)P36049	Meeting output ts_102656v010202p
LI(14)P36049r1	Meeting output ts_102656v010202p
LI(14)P36050	DTS 103 120 - Output from Bad Homburg change marked

LI(14)P36051	Lawful Interception Services By Evolved Technical Hypervisors (LISBETH)
LI(14)P36052	Work item for Dictionary for common parameters
LI(14)P36053	LI#36 Meeting Report
LI(14)P36053r1	LI#36 Meeting Report

ETSI TC LI-#37; Lecce (Italien); 23. – 25.09.2014

Liste der bereitgestellten Dokumente

Dokument	Beschreibung
LI(14)P37001	LI#37 Meeting Invitation
LI(14)P37002	IPR Call
LI(14)P37003	Tdoc Template
LI(14)P37004	CR Template
LI(14)P37005	Meeting Agenda
LI(14)P37005r1	Meeting Agenda
LI(14)P37006	CR for TS 102 656 on Annex A
LI(14)P37007	DTS 103 120 Output from Kista (changes marked)
LI(14)P37008	DTS 103 120 Output from Kista (clean)
LI(14)P37009	Rosetta Mission Overview_mod.pdf
LI(14)P37010	Update to TETRA LI Document
LI(14)P37010r1	Update to TETRA LI Document
LI(14)P37011	TETRA LI Modification of Scope
LI(14)P37011r1	TETRA LI Modification of Scope
LI(14)P37012	Summary of proposal for LI work item in ISG NFV
LI(14)P37013	Notification of plan to update TETRA LI Specification
LI(14)P37014	TS102657_CR096_EPS_userLocationInformation
LI(14)P37015	DTS 103 120 - Ideas for a Template Profile
LI(14)P37015r1	DTS 103 120 - Ideas for a Template Profile
LI(14)P37016	DTS 103 120 - Implementing Dictionaries
LI(14)P37016r1	DTS 103 120 - Implementing Dictionaries
LI(14)P37017	DTS 103 120 - National Parameters
LI(14)P37017r1	DTS 103 120 - National Parameters
LI(14)P37018	DTS 103 120 - Improvements to Target Identifier
LI(14)P37018r1	DTS 103 120 - Improvements to Target Identifier
LI(14)P37018r2	DTS 103 120 - Improvements to Target Identifier
LI(14)P37019	TS 102 657 Draft new annex for IMS services
LI(14)P37020	DTS 103 120 - Additions to Object ID and LIST
LI(14)P37020r1	DTS 103 120 - Additions to Object ID and LIST
LI(14)P37021	TS 102 232-2 Messaging Events and Messaging Box Events
LI(14)P37021r1	TS 102 232-2 Messaging Events and Messaging Box Events
LI(14)P37021r2	TS 102 232-2 Messaging Events and Messaging Box Events
LI(14)P37021r3	TS 102 232-2 Messaging Events and Messaging Box Events
LI(14)P37022	TS 102 232-2 Message Wait Indication
LI(14)P37022r1	TS 102 232-2 Message Wait Indication
LI(14)P37023	TS 102 232-2 Voice to Text
LI(14)P37023r1	TS 102 232-2 Voice to Text Notification

LI(14)P37023r2	TS 102 232-2 Voice to Text Notification
LI(14)P37024	TS 102 232-2 Content Transfer Encoding mechanism
LI(14)P37024r1	TS 102 232-2 Content Transfer Encoding mechanism
LI(14)P37024r2	TS 102 232-2 Content Transfer Encoding mechanism
LI(14)P37025	TS 102 232-5 correction in CIN correlation
LI(14)P37026	Liaison to TC Cyber regarding Digital Signatures
LI(14)P37027	Notes on IMS from Rap#32
LI(14)P37028	102 657 Update on Digital Signatures
LI(14)P37029	102 657 Minor alterations and schema modifications
LI(14)P37029r1	102 657 Minor alterations and schema modifications
LI(14)P37029r2	102 657 Minor alterations and schema modifications
LI(14)P37030	DTR 101 567 Baseline
LI(14)P37031	Briefing on Mobile Edge Computing
LI(14)P37032	Discussion on replacement of existing document
LI(14)P37033	Summary of LI Rapporteurs meeting #32 in Stockholm
LI(14)P37034	102 657 Notes of discussions in Kista on ways forward for 102 657
LI(14)P37035	DTS 103 280 Output from Kista (changes marked)
LI(14)P37036	Summary report from SA3LI
LI(14)P37037	ETSI-WA-Working Liason
LI(14)P37038	Meeting output ts_102656v010202p
LI(14)P37038r1	Meeting output ts_102656v010202p
LI(14)P37039	New draft TS 102 232-5 with agreed CR012
LI(14)P37040	102 232 dependency graph
LI(14)P37041	SR on Lawful Interception LTE Frequently Asked Questions and Implementation Guidance
LI(14)P37042	Draft LTE FAQ Report by TC LI and SA3 LI
LI(14)P37043	Guide to Lawful Interception and Retained Data standards and concepts
LI(14)P37044	New draft TS102232-1
LI(14)P37044a1	ASN.1 for TS102232-1
LI(14)P37045	LI#37 Participants List
LI(14)P37046	DTS 103 120 Output from Lecce - changes marked
LI(14)P37046r1	DTS 103 120 Output from Lecce - changes marked
LI(14)P37047	New draft TS 102 232-2 with agreed changes
LI(14)P37047a1	ASN.1 file
LI(14)P37047a1r1	ASN.1 file
LI(14)P37047r1	New draft TS 102 232-2 with agreed changes
LI(14)P37047r2	New draft TS 102 232-2 with agreed changes
LI(14)P37048	102 657 v1.16.1
LI(14)P37049	LI#37 Meeting Report

ETSI TC LI-Rap#31; Den Haag (Niederlande); 06. – 08.05.2014**Liste der bereitgestellten Dokumente**

Dokument	Beschreibung
LI(14)R31030	Report from TC LI Rapporteurs #31 in Den Haag
LI(14)R31029r1	DTS 103 120 - Draft output from rap meeting
LI(14)R31029	DTS 103 120 - Draft output from rap meeting
LI(14)R31028	Revised Cloud DTR 101 567
LI(14)R31027	102 232 roadmap discussion
LI(14)R31026r1	Proposed Input for Bad Homburg
LI(14)R31026	ToR Discussion
LI(14)R31025r1	DTS 103-120: Description of Services
LI(14)R31025	DTS 103-120: Description of Services
LI(14)R31024	DTS 103-120 with revisions #34 to #35
LI(14)R31023	X1 Statistics and Capability Detection
LI(14)R31022	X1 Message Exchange
LI(14)R31021	X1 Security
LI(14)R31020	Updates for discussion on Digital Signatures
LI(14)R31019	102 657 Notes about next steps
LI(14)R31018	Approaches to specifying target traffic
LI(14)R31017	Cloud DTR Clause 6_3 Legacy and other Ed Notes
LI(14)R31016r1	Cloud DTR Clause 5_4 Text Consolidation
LI(14)R31016	Cloud DTR Clause 5_4 Text Consolidation
LI(14)R31015	Cloud DTR Figure 4_1 Ed Note
LI(14)R31014	Cloud DTR Clause 4 Legacy Ed Note
LI(14)R31013r1	Cloud DTR NFV
LI(14)R31013	Cloud DTR NFV
LI(14)R31012	Clean DTR 101 567
LI(14)R31011r1	Notification Objects
LI(14)R31011	Notification Objects
LI(14)R31010	DTR Cloud Use Case Matrix
LI(14)R31009r1	Agenda for LI Rap #31
LI(14)R31009	Agenda for LI Rap #31
LI(14)R31008	DTS 103 120 Error Codes Reservations
LI(14)R31007	DTS 103 120 Security Section Structure
LI(14)R31006r1	DTS 103 120 Document Object Structure
LI(14)R31006	DTS 103 120 Document Object Structure
LI(14)R31005r1	DTS 103 120 Task Object Structure
LI(14)R31005	DTS 103 120 Task Object Structure

LI(14)R31004r1	DTS 103 120 Authorization Object Structure
LI(14)R31004	DTS 103 120 Authorization Object Structure
LI(14)R31003	DTS 103 120 Reference Model Addition
LI(14)R31002	HI-1 Next State Action
LI(14)R31001	DTS 103 120 Clean output from Milano

ETSI TC LI-Rap#32; Stockholm (Schweden); 12. – 14.08.2014**Liste der bereitgestellten Dokumente**

Dokument	Beschreibung
LI(14)R32001	Invitation to ETSI/TC LI Meeting RAP#32
LI(14)R32002	Notes on IMS for TS 102 657
LI(14)R32003	102 657 Combination of service-specific details for usage data
LI(14)R32004	102 657 Extension for Subscriber Data
LI(14)R32005	Starters Guide & Roadmap for TC LI
LI(14)R32006	DTS 103 120 - Clean output from Bad Homburg
LI(14)R32007	DTS 102 120 - Draft XSD schema
LI(14)R32008	DTS 103 120 - Dictionary type
LI(14)R32008r1	DTS 103 120 - Dictionary type
LI(14)R32009	DTS 103 120 - Small additions
LI(14)R32009r1	DTS 103 120 - Small additions
LI(14)R32009r2	DTS 103 120 - Small additions
LI(14)R32010	Concept for Service Independent RDHI Record Structure
LI(14)R32011	DTS 103 280 - Initial draft for discussion
LI(14)R32011r1	DTS 103 280 - Initial draft for discussion
LI(14)R32012	DTS 103 120 - Output with changes tracked

ETSI TC LI-Rap#32; Mainz (Deutschland); 16. – 18.12.2014

Liste der bereitgestellten Dokumente

Dokument	Beschreibung
LI(14)R33001	Agenda for Rap 33 Mainz
LI(14)R33001r1	Agenda for Rap 33 Mainz
LI(14)R33002	DTS 103 120 - Output from Lecce (change marked)
LI(14)R33003	DTS 103 120 - Output from Lecce (clean)
LI(14)R33004	DTS 103 120 - Nationally Unique Identifiers
LI(14)R33005	DTS 103 120 - Review of Sections 1-5
LI(14)R33005r1	DTS 103 120 - Review of Sections 1-5
LI(14)R33006	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 6
LI(14)R33006r1	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 6
LI(14)R33007	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 7 (Authorisations)
LI(14)R33007r1	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 7 (Authorisations)
LI(14)R33008	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 7 (Tasks)
LI(14)R33008r1	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 7 (Tasks)
LI(14)R33009	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 7 (Documents)
LI(14)R33010	DTS 103 120 - Review of Section 8 (Transport)
LI(14)R33011	TS 102 657 Questions and comments for IMS RD
LI(14)R33012	Contribution to LTE LI discussion
LI(14)R33013	TS 102 657 Note on restricting range of returned items
LI(14)R33014	DTS_103_120_Error_Code_Simplification
LI(14)R33015	RDHI Flex IMS Exercise
LI(14)R33016	NFV Monitoring of current state
LI(14)R33017	DTS 103 280 - Version 0.0.2
LI(14)R33017r1	DTS 103 280 - Version 0.0.2
LI(14)R33018	ISG MEC
LI(14)R33019	LTE Roaming Inputs
LI(14)R33020	General Collection of inputs (RS)
LI(14)R33021	DTS 103 120 - Output from Mainz - changes marked
LI(14)R33022	Input from Tony on Oasis for LI_AM
LI(14)R33023	Report from Rap 33
LI(14)R33024	SR 003292 Output from LI Rap 33
LI(14)R33025	SR 003292 Output from LI Rap 33

ETSI/TC LI#35
Milan, Italy, January 28-30 2014

LI(14)P35005r1

Agenda for the ETSI/TC LI plenary meeting #35
Meeting is starting at 10:00 hours Tuesday January 28th and is ending not later than 16:00 hours
Thursday January 30th.

1 Opening, welcome, approval of proposed agenda, announcements

Opening by the TC LI Chairman and welcome on behalf of the host with practical details.

Welcome to new participants.

LI(13)P34005: Agenda proposed by the chairman

The structure of the meeting is suggested in the proposed agenda.

The templates for the Tdocs and CRs to this meeting are given in LI(14)P35003 and LI(14)P35004. Delegates can either create a contribution using the portal features or use the templates.

Personal introduction by the participants.

2 IPR statement

Mandatory reading by the chairman of the ETSI IPR Call.

LI(14)P35002: ETSI IPR Call (ETSI Secretariat)

"The attention of the members of TC LI is drawn to the fact that ETSI Members shall use reasonable endeavours under clause 4.1 of the ETSI IPR Policy, Annex 6 of the Rules of Procedure, to inform ETSI of Essential IPRs in a timely fashion. This section covers the obligation to notify its own IPRs but also other companies' IPRs.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- *to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Body,*
- *to notify to the Chairman of TC LI or to the ETSI Director-General all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Information Statement and the Licensing Declaration forms that they can obtain from the ETSI Technical Officer or <http://www.etsi.org/legal/IPR-Forms>.*

Members are encouraged to make general IPR undertakings/declarations that they will make licenses available for all their IPRs under FRAND terms and conditions related to a specific standardization area and then, as soon as feasible, provide (or refine) detailed disclosures."

3 Reports from other bodies / liaison statements / general

3.1 TC LI general

3.2 TC LI rapporteur's meetings

LI(14)P35007 Report from Rap#30 Meeting Hamburg 19-20 November 2013

3.3 ETSI

News from ETSI, OCG, Board and GA.

LI(14)P35049 ToR for creation of TC CYBER

3.4 3GPP/SA3-LI

LI(14)P35015 Summary Report from SA3-LI

3.5 EC Data Retention

3.6 TC TETRA

3.7 EP E2NA Security / TC NTECH

3.8 TC ATTM

3.9 STC / ILETS

3.10 ITU-T SG17, ISO and ISS

3.11 Other bodies

3.12 Other issues

A discussion on general input or other work identified by participants.

4 Discussion and Agreement on Liaisons In/Out

LI(14)P35006 Incoming LS from OIPF on Lawful Intercept for IPTV

LI(14)P35020 Incoming LS from ITU-T JCA-Cloud on cloud computing roadmap

LI(14)P35038 LS out to ETSI ISG NFV / NFV-SEC on NFV Lawful Interception Requirements

To be discussed with the LS out to NFV:

LI(14)P35036 NFV Overview

LI(14)P35037r1 NFV LI Considerations

LI(14)P35054 NFV Developments update

5 Discussion and Agreement on Change Requests (CRs)

The table summary of the CRs is at the bottom of this agenda and will be updated for the report

5.1 TS 101 331: "Requirements of Law Enforcement Agencies"

No CRs.

5.2 ES 201 158: "Requirements for Network Functions"

No CRs.

5.3 TS 101 671: "Handover Interface specification for LI

No CRs.

5.4 TS 102 657: "Handover interface for the request and delivery of retained data"

LI(14)P35009 CR083 on Addition of extra address types

LI(14)P35010 CR084 on Update for Network Address Translation

LI(14)P35011R CR085 on Adding compound questions to TS 102 657

LI(14)P350012 CR086 on Changes for WiFi

LI(14)P35014 CR087 on Missing reference in telephony location

LI(14)P35017r1 CR088 on TransmitterDetails Technology

LI(14)P35018r1 CR089 on EPS location in case of CS traffic

LI(14)P35029 CR 090 on MaxRecordsPerBatch

LI(14)P35030 CR 091 on Updates to Multimedia

LI(14)P35031 CR 092 on Updates to Network Access

5.5 TS 102 232-part 01: "Handover specification for IP delivery"

LI(14)P35023 CR058 on Addition of generic location sequence

LI(14)P35035 CR059 Addition of clock time accuracy requirements in Annex B. 8 (R41 new)

5.6 TS 102 232-part 02: "Service-specific details for Messaging Services"

LI(14)P35025 CR024 on Addition of webmail as E-mail protocol ID

5.7 TS 102 232-part 03: "Service-specific details for Internet Access Services"

No CRs.

5.8 TS 102 232-part 04: "Service-specific details for Layer 2 services"

LI(14)P35008 CR 011 Approved at LI#34 as LI(13)P34053r3 on Modification to IRI-END Message to signal end of intercept whilst session remains active

5.9 TS 102 232-part 05: "Service-specific details for IP Multimedia Services"

LI(14)P35024 CR010 on Addition of location support to IPMMIRI

5.10 TS 102 232-part 06: "Service-specific details for PSTN/ISDN Services"

LI(14)P35022r1 CR006 on Addition of UDPLT

5.11 TS 102 232-part 07: "Service-specific details for Mobile Services"

No CRs.

5.12 TR 102 053: "Notes on ISDN lawful interception functionality"

No CRs.

5.13 TR 102 503: "ASN.1 Object Identifiers in Lawful Interception and Retained data handling Specifications"

LI(14)P35016 CR010 on New version of the TR 102 503

LI(14)P35021 NOT a CR but the revised version of TR 102 503 with the above CR010

5.14 TR 102 519: "Lawful Interception of public Wireless LAN Internet Access"

LI(14)P35019 CR001 with various improvements

5.15 TR 103 690: "eWarrant Interface"

No CRs.

5.16 EN 301 040: "Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA); Security; Lawful Interception (LI) interface"

No CRs.

6 Contributions on published TC LI specifications and reports on Lawful Interception

LI(14)P35048 New features to be added to TS 102 232-2 for discussion

7 Contributions on published TC LI specifications and reports on Retained Data

TS 102 657: "Retained data handling; Handover interface for the request and delivery of retained data"

LI(14)P35013 Evidential assurance for RDHI

LI(14)P35028 Alignment of HI-A (RDHI) and HI-1 (LIHI) Objects

LI(14)P35034 IMS subscriber and usage parameters

8 Contributions on published TC LI report on Lawful Interception and Retained Data Security

8.1 TR 102 661: "Security framework in Lawful Interception and Retained Data environment"

9 Progress on draft TC LI specifications and reports on Lawful Interception

9.1 DTS 102 677: Dynamic Triggering of interception

9.2 DTS 103 218: Lawful Interception and Retained Data Security

LI(14)P35039 Draft Skeleton

9.3 DTS 103 221: Internal Network Interfaces for Lawful Interception

LI(14)P35032 X1 Requirements Annex

9.4 DTR 101 567: Lawful Interception; Cloud/Virtual Services (CLI)

LI(14)P35040 Integration of SA3-LI Cloud LD into Cloud DTR – Clauses 1-3

LI(14)P35041 Integration of SA3-LI Cloud LD into Cloud DTR – Clause 4

LI(14)P35042 Integration of SA3-LI Cloud LD into Cloud DTR – Clause 5

LI(14)P35043 Integration of SA3-LI Cloud LD into Cloud DTR – Clause 7

LI(14)P35044 Integration of SA3-LI Cloud LD into Cloud DTR – Conclusions

LI(14)P35045 Integration of Use Cases from SA3-LI Cloud Document into Cloud DTR

LI(14)P35046 Integration of SA3-LI Cloud Use Case Template TC LI Cloud DTR

10 Progress on draft TC LI specifications and reports on Retained Data

10.1 DTR 101 566: Retained Data: Cloud/Virtual Services (CRD)

11 Progress on draft TC LI specifications on eWarrant Interface

11.1 DTS 103 120: Handover Interface 1: interface for warrant information

LI(14)P35026 Notify Object

LI(14)P35026 HI-1 State Machine Annex

LI(14)P35033 Discussion on changes to specification

LI(14)P35050 Output from LI(13)

LI(14)P35051 Documents in HI-1

12 Other issues / other contributions

12.1 Media Stream Handover

Key handling in case of Media Security. Media Security is still under study in SA3-LI.

12.2 LEA Support Services

12.3 Other papers

LI(14)P35047 A new TS 102 232-8 to delivery of a copy of large files/mail boxes to LEAs

LI(14)P35055 White Paper on Precision Time

12.4 Update on National LI & DR matters / laws / implementations / plans for implementation

Informal presentations to inform the meeting on national matters are requested.

13 Management matters on Work Items

13.1 Proposals for new Work Items

LI(14)P35052 Proposal for a Stored Data Handover Interface

LI(14)P35053 Proposal for a Common Target Expression

13.2 Closing Work Items

14 Any other Business

15 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting

Date	Meeting	Location	Organised by
4-6 Feb 2014	SA3-LI#51	Sophia Antipolis	
3-5 March 2014	ISSworld MEA	Dubai	Telestrategies
29 April- 1 May 2014	SA3-LI#52	US	
3-5 June 2014	ISSworld Europe	Prague	Telestrategies
24-26 June 2014	TC LI#36	Germany (Frankfurt Area)	Atis
15-17 July 2014	ISSworld South Africa	Johannesburg	Telestrategies
22-24 July 2014	SA3-LI#53	Sophia Antipolis	
23-25 September 2014	TC LI#37	Lecco, Italy	Lino
6-8 Oct 2014	ISSworld Washington	Washington DC	Telestrategies
28-30 Oct 2014	SA3-LI#54	US	

TABLE SUMMARY OF CRs

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 101 671	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-01	CR Result
058	B	LI(14)P35023	Addition of generic location sequence	
059	B	LI(14)P35035	Addition of clock time accuracy requirements in Annex B. 8 (R41 new)	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-02	CR Result
024	B	LI(14)P35025	Addition of webmail as E-mail protocol ID	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-03	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-04	CR Result
011	B	LI(14)P35008	Modification to IRI-END Message to signal end of intercept whilst session remains active	ALREADY AGREED AT LI#34 AS LI(13)P34053r4

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-05	CR Result
010	B	LI(14)P35024	Addition of location support to IPMMIRI	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-06	CR Result
006	B	LI(14)P35022	Addition of UDPTL	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-07	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 503	CR Result
010	F	LI(14)P35014	New version of the TR 102 503	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 657	CR Result
083	C	LI(14)P35009	Addition of extra address types	
084	B	LI(14)P35010	Update for Network Address Translation	
085	B	LI(14)P35011R	Adding compound questions to TS 102 657	
086	C	LI(14)P35012	Changes for WiFi	
087	F	LI(14)P35014	Missing reference in telephony location	
088	C	LI(14)P35017r1	TransmitterDetails Technology	
089	C	LI(14)P35018r1	EPS location in case of CS traffic	
090	B	LI(14)P35029	MaxRecordsPerBatch	
091	C	LI(14)P35030	Updates to Multimedia	
092	C	LI(14)P35032	Updates to Network Access	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 103 690	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 519	CR Result
001		LI(14)P35019	Various improvements	

ETSI/TC LI#36
Bad Homburg, Germany, 24-26 June 2014

LI(14)P36006r1

Agenda for the ETSI/TC LI plenary meeting #36
Meeting starts at 10:00 hours Tuesday 24 June and ends not later than 16:00 hours Thursday 26 June.

1 Opening, welcome, approval of proposed agenda, announcements

Opening by the TC LI Chairman and welcome on behalf of the host with practical details.
Welcome to new participants.

LI(14)P36006r1: Agenda proposed by the chairman

The structure of the meeting is suggested in the proposed agenda. The templates for the Tdocs and CRs to this meeting are given in LI(14)P36007 and LI(14)P36008. Delegates can either create a contribution using the portal features or use the templates.

Personal introduction by the participants.

2 IPR statement

Mandatory reading by the chairman of the ETSI IPR Call.

LI(14)P35002: ETSI IPR Call

"The attention of the members of TC LI is drawn to the fact that ETSI Members shall use reasonable endeavours under clause 4.1 of the ETSI IPR Policy, Annex 6 of the Rules of Procedure, to inform ETSI of Essential IPRs in a timely fashion. This section covers the obligation to notify its own IPRs but also other companies' IPRs.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Body,*
- to notify to the Chairman of TC LI or to the ETSI Director-General all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Information Statement and the Licensing Declaration forms that they can obtain from the ETSI Technical Officer or <http://www.etsi.org/legal/IPR-Forms>.*

Members are encouraged to make general IPR undertakings/declarations that they will make licenses available for all their IPRs under FRAND terms and conditions related to a specific standardization area and then, as soon as feasible, provide (or refine) detailed disclosures."

3 Reports from other bodies / liaison statements / general

3.1 TC LI general

LI(14)P36016 ToR Input from LI14R31

3.2 TC LI rapporteur's meetings

LI(14)P36011 Report from Rap#31 Meeting Den Haag 6-8 May 2014

3.3 ETSI

News from ETSI, OCG, Board and GA.

3.4 3GPP/SA3-LI

3.5 European Affairs

3.6 TC TETRA

3.7 EP E2NA Security / TC NTECH

3.8 TC ATTM

3.9 STC / ILETS

3.10 GSMA, ITU-T SG17, ISO and ISS

3.11 ISG NFV

LI(14)P36033 An NFV update

3.12 Other bodies

LI(14)P36034/5 LS from OIPF on LI for IPTV

LI(14)P36038 LS from TU-T JCA-Cloud on roadmap

3.13 Other issues

A discussion on general input or other work identified by participants.

4 Discussion and Agreement on Change Requests (CRs)

The table summary of the CRs is at the bottom of this agenda and will be updated for the report

4.1 TS 101 331: "Requirements of Law Enforcement Agencies"

LI(14)P36005 Removal of implementation restrictions in LI LEA requirements

4.2 ES 201 158: "Requirements for Network Functions"

No CRs.

4.3 TS 101 671: "Handover interface specification for LI"

No CRs.

4.4 TS 102 656: "Requirements of Law Enforcement Agencies for handling Retained Data"

LI(14)P36030 Removal of expired reference

4.5 TS 102 657: "Handover interface for the request and delivery of retained data"

LI(14)P36027 Retained Data Handover Interface update to references

LI(14)P36028 Device details

LI(14)P36032 Correction of features in XML schema

4.5 TS 102 232-part 01: "Handover specification for IP delivery"

LI(14)P36024 Addition of generic location sequence

4.6 TS 102 232-part 02: "Service-specific details for Messaging Services"

No CRs.

4.7 TS 102 232-part 03: "Service-specific details for Internet Access Services"

No CRs.

4.8 TS 102 232-part 04: "Service-specific details for Layer 2 services"

No CRs.

4.9 TS 102 232-part 05: "Service-specific details for IP Multimedia Services"

LI(14)P36025 Addition of location support to IPMMiRI

LI(14)P36031 Correction of table regarding use of REPORT messages

4.10 TS 102 232-part 06: "Service-specific details for PSTN/ISDN Services"

No CRs.

4.11 TS 102 232-part 07: "Service-specific details for Mobile Services"

No CRs.

4.12 TR 102 053: "Notes on ISDN lawful interception functionality"

No CRs.

4.13 TR 102 503: "ASN.1 Object Identifiers in Lawful Interception and Retained data handling Specifications"

No CRs.

4.14 TR 102 519: "Lawful Interception of public Wireless LAN Internet Access"

No CRs.

4.15 TR 103 690: "eWarrant Interface"

No CRs.

4.16 EN 301 040: "Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA): Security; Lawful Interception (LI) interface"

No CRs.

5 Discussion and Agreement on Liaisons In/Out

None.

6 Contributions on published TC LI specifications and reports on Lawful Interception

No contributions yet.

7 Contributions on published TC LI specifications and reports on Retained Data

No contributions yet.

8 Contributions on published TC LI report on Lawful Interception and Retained Data Security

8.1 TR 102 661: "Security framework in Lawful Interception and Retained Data environment"

9 Progress on draft TC LI specifications and reports on Lawful Interception

9.1 DTS 102 677: Dynamic Triggering of interception

LI(14)P36041 Dynamic Triggering DIAMETER to XML conversion

LI(14)P36042 Dynamic Triggering XML schema

9.2 DTS 103 218: Lawful Interception and Retained Data Security

9.3 DTS 103 221: Internal Network Interfaces for Lawful Interception

LI(14)P36039 X1 Follow-Up from Rapporteurs Meeting

LI(14)P36040 X1 Early draft of XML Schema

9.4 DTR 101 567: Lawful Interception; Cloud/Virtual Services (CLI)

LI(14)P36002 Output DTR 101 567 from Milan and Input/output versions from Rapp #31 Den Haag

LI(14)P36017 Cloud DTR Scope

LI(14)P36018 Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 1, 2 and 3

LI(14)P36019 Cloud DTR NFV Clause 4

LI(14)P36020 Cloud DTR NFV Clause 5

LI(14)P36021 Cloud DTR Sub-clause 5.1.3

LI(14)P36022 Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 6 and 7

LI(14)P36023 Cloud DTR NFV Clauses 8 and 9

LI(14)P36026 CLIF text for Cloud LI Technical Report

LI(14)P36029 New Cloud Virtualization Fora Annex

LI(14)P36036 Updated cloud vocabularies and architectures

10 Progress on draft TC LI specifications and reports on Retained Data

10.1 DTR 101 566: Retained Data; Cloud/Virtual Services

11 Progress on draft TC LI specifications on eWarrant Interface

11.1 DTS 103 120: Handover Interface 1: interface for warrant information

LI(14)P36003 Output from Rapp #31 Den Haag

LI(14)P36004 Clean output from Rapp #31 Den Haag

LI(14)P36012 Approvals and Signatures

LI(14)P36013 New Dictionary type

LI(14)P36014 Additional top-level Object fields

LI(14)P36015 Editorial changes

12 Other issues / other contributions

12.1 Media Stream Handover

Key handling in case of Media Security. Media Security is still under study in SA3-LI.

12.2 LEA Support Services

12.3 Other papers

12.4 Update on National LI & DR matters / laws / implementations / plans for implementation

Informal presentations to inform the meeting on national matters are requested.

13 Management matters on Work Items

13.1 Proposals for new Work Items

13.2 Closing Work Items

14 Any other Business

15 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting

Date	Meeting	Location	Organised by
24-26 June 2014	TC LI#36	Bad Homburg, Germany	Atis
15-17 July 2014	ISSworld South Africa	Johannesburg	Telestrategies
22-24 July 2014	SA3-LI#54	Sophia Antipolis	
23-25 September 2014	TC LI#37	Lecce, Italy	Lino
6-8 Oct 2014	ISSworld Washington	Washington DC	Telestrategies
15-16 Oct 2014	CYBER#2	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
28-30 Oct 2014	SA3-LI#55	IIS	
2-4 Dec 2014	ISSworld Asia	Kuala Lumpur	Telestrategies
20-22 Jan 2015	SA3-LI#56	Brussels (TBC)	CEN/CENELEC
27-29 January 2015?? TB Discussed!	TC LI#38		???
3-4 Feb 2015	CYBER#3	Brussels (TBC)	CEN/CENELEC
16-18 Mar 2015	ISSworld Middle East	Dubai	Telestrategies
28-30 Apr 2015	SA3-LI#57		
26-27 May 2015	10 th ETSI Security Workshop	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
28-29 May 2015	CYBER#4	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
2-4 June 2015	ISSworld Europe	Prague	Telestrategies
8-10 Sept 2015	ISSworld Latin America	Brasilia	Telestrategies

TABLE SUMMARY OF CRs

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 101 331	CR Result
004	F	LI(14)P36005	Removal of implementation restrictions in LI LEA requirements	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 101 671	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-01	CR Result
058	B	LI(14)P36024	Addition of generic location sequence	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-02	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-03	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-04	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-05	CR Result
010	B	LI(14)P36025	Addition of location support to IPMMIRI	
011	F	LI(14)P36031	Correction of table regarding use of REPORT messages	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-06	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-07	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 503	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 656	CR Result
002	F	LI(14)P36030	Removal of expired reference	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 657	CR Result
093	F	LI(14)P36027	Retained Data Handover interface update to refer- ences	
094	C	LI(14)P36028	Device details	
095	D	LI(14)P36032	Correction of features in XML schema	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 103 690	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 519	CR Result

ETSI/TC LI#37
Lecce, Italy, 23-25 September 2014

LI(14)P37005r1

Agenda for the ETSI/TC LI plenary meeting #37
Meeting starts at 10:00 hours Tuesday 23 September and ends not later than 16:00 hours Thursday 25 September.

1 Opening, welcome, approval of proposed agenda, announcements

Opening by the TC LI Chairman and welcome on behalf of the host with practical details.

Welcome to new participants.

LI(14)P37005r1: Agenda proposed by the chairman

The structure of the meeting is suggested in the proposed agenda.

The templates for the Tdocs and CRs to this meeting are given in LI(14)P37003 and LI(14)P37004. Delegates can either create a contribution using the portal features or use the templates.

Personal introduction by the participants.

2 IPR statement

Mandatory reading by the chairman of the ETSI IPR Call.

LI(14)P37002: ETSI IPR Call (ETSI Secretariat)

"The attention of the members of TC LI is drawn to the fact that ETSI Members shall use reasonable endeavours under clause 4.1 of the ETSI IPR Policy, Annex 6 of the Rules of Procedure, to inform ETSI of Essential IPRs in a timely fashion. This section covers the obligation to notify its own IPRs but also other companies' IPRs.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Body,
- to notify to the Chairman of TC LI or to the ETSI Director-General all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Information Statement and the Licensing Declaration forms that they can obtain from the ETSI Technical Officer or <http://www.etsi.org/legal/IPR-Forms>.

Members are encouraged to make general IPR undertakings/declarations that they will make licenses available for all their IPRs under FRAND terms and conditions related to a specific standardization area and then, as soon as feasible, provide (or refine) detailed disclosures."

3 Reports from other bodies / liaison statements / general

3.1 TC LI general

3.2 TC LI rapporteur's meetings

LI(14)P37033

3.3 ETSI

News from ETSI, OCG, Board and GA.

3.4 3GPP/SA3-LI

3.5 European Affairs

3.6 TC TETRA

Discussions over CR under item 4.16.

3.7 EP E2NA Security / TC NTECH

3.8 TC ATTM

3.9 STC / ILETS

3.10 GSMA, ITU-T SG17, ISO and ISS

3.11 ISG NFV

LI(14)P37012 Summary of proposal for LI work item in ISG NFV

3.12 TC CYBER

LI(14)P37026 Liaison OUT to TC CYBER regarding Digital Signatures

3.13 Other bodies

3.14 Other Issues

A discussion on general input or other work identified by participants.

4 Discussion and Agreement on Change Requests (CRs)

The table summary of the CRs is at the bottom of this agenda and will be updated for the report

4.1 TS 101 331: "Requirements of Law Enforcement Agencies"

No CRs.

4.2 ES 201 158: "Requirements for Network Functions"

No CRs.

4.3 TS 101 671: "Handover Interface specification for LI"

No CRs.

4.4 TS 102 656: "Requirements of Law Enforcement Agencies for handling Retained Data"

LI(14)P37006 Changing Annex A to normative

4.5 TS 102 657: "Handover interface for the request and delivery of retained data"

LI(14)P37014 EPS userLocationInformation definition

LI(14)P37029 Minor alterations and schema modifications

LI(14)P37019 Possible future CR: Draft new annex for IMS services

The following are not CRs, might be discussed at this stage of the meeting if it fits, otherwise later.

LI(14)P37027 Notes on IMS from Rap#32 – linked to LI(14)P37019 above

LI(14)P37028 Update on Digital Signatures

LI(14)P37034 Notes of discussions in Kista (Rap#32) on ways forward for TS 102 657

4.5 TS 102 232-part 01: "Handover specification for IP delivery"

No CRs.

4.6 TS 102 232-part 02: "Service-specific details for Messaging Services"

LI(14)P37021r2 Messaging Events and Messaging Box Events

LI(14)P37022 Message Wait Indication

LI(14)P37023r1 Voice to Text Notification

LI(14)P37024r1 Content Transfer Encoding mechanism

4.7 TS 102 232-part 03: "Service-specific details for Internet Access Services"

No CRs.

4.8 TS 102 232-part 04: "Service-specific details for Layer 2 services"

Discussion whether put back TS 101 671 in Bibliography (removed unwontedly in last published version).

4.9 TS 102 232-part 05: "Service-specific details for IP Multimedia Services"

LI(14)P37025 Correction of CIN correlation

4.10 TS 102 232-part 06: "Service-specific details for PSTN/ISDN Services"

No CRs.

4.11 TS 102 232-part 07: "Service-specific details for Mobile Services"

No CRs.

4.12 TR 102 053: "Notes on ISDN lawful interception functionality"

No CRs.

4.13 TR 102 503: "ASN.1 Object Identifiers in Lawful Interception and Retained data handling Specifications"

No CRs.

4.14 TR 102 519: "Lawful Interception of public Wireless LAN Internet Access"

No CRs.

4.15 TR 103 690: "eWarrant Interface"

No CRs.

4.16 EN 301 040: "Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA): Security, Lawful Interception (LI) interface"

The following documents are linked to one another and need to be discussed together.

LI(14)P37010 New Work Item proposal: Update to TETRA LI document

LI(14)P37011 Change Request: TETRA LI modification of scope

LI(14)P37013 Notification of plan to update TETRA LI Specification

5 Discussion and Agreement on Liaisons In/Out

None.

6 Contributions on published TC LI specifications and reports on Lawful Interception

No contributions yet.

7 Contributions on published TC LI specifications and reports on Retained Data

No contributions yet.

8 Contributions on published TC LI report on Lawful Interception and Retained Data Security

8.1 TR 102 661: "Security framework in Lawful Interception and Retained Data environment"

9 Progress on draft TC LI specifications and reports on Lawful Interception

9.1 DTS 102 677: Dynamic Triggering of interception

9.2 DTS 103 218: Lawful Interception and Retained Data Security

9.3 DTS 103 221: Internal Network Interfaces for Lawful Interception

9.4 DTR 101 567: Lawful Interception: Cloud/Virtual Services (CLI)

LI(14)P37030 TR 101 567 Baseline

10 Progress on draft TC LI specifications and reports on Retained Data

10.1 DTR 101 566: Retained Data: Cloud/Virtual Services (CRD)

11 Progress on draft TC LI specifications on eWarrant interface

11.1 DTS 103 120: Handover Interface 1: interface for warrant information

LI(14)P37007 Output with changes from Rapp #32 Kista (Stockholm) (Mark Canterbury)

LI(14)P37008 Clean output from Rapp #32 Kista (Stockholm) (Mark Canterbury)

LI(14)P37015 Ideas for a Template Profile (Mark Canterbury)

LI(14)P37016 Implementing Dictionaries (Mark Canterbury)

LI(14)P37017 National Parameters (Mark Canterbury)

LI(14)P37018r1 Improvements to Target Identifier (Mark Canterbury)

LI(14)P37020 Additions to Object ID and LIST (Mark Canterbury)

12 Other issues / other contributions

12.1 Media Stream Handover

Key handling in case of Media Security. Media Security is still under study in SA3-LI.

12.2 LEA Support Services

12.3 Other papers

LI(14)P37009 Rosetta Mission Overview

LI(14)P37031 Briefing on Mobile Edge Computing

LI(14)P37032 Discussion on replacement of existing document (TR 101 943)

12.4 Update on National LI & DR matters / laws / implementations / plans for implementation

Informal presentations to inform the meeting on national matters are requested.

13 Management matters on Work Items

13.1 Proposals for new Work Items

13.2 Closing Work Items

14 Any other Business

15 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting

Date	Meeting	Location	Organised by
23-25 September 2014	TC LI#37	Lecce, Italy	Lino
6-8 Oct 2014	ISSworld Washington	Washington DC	Telestrategies
15-16 Oct 2014	CYBER#2	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
28-30 Oct 2014	SA3-LI#55	US	
2-4 Dec 2014	ISSworld Asia	Kuala Lumpur	Telestrategies
20-22 Jan 2015	SA3-LI#56	Brussels (EBC)	CEN/CEN/EBC
3-4 Feb 2015	CYBER#3	Brussels (EBC)	CEN/CEN/EBC
10-12 February 2015	TC LI#38	Sophia Antipolis, France	ETSI
16-18 Mar 2015	ISSworld Middle East	Dubai	Telestrategies
28-30 Apr 2015	SA3-LI#57		
26-27 May 2015	10 th ETSI Security Workshop	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
28-29 May 2015	CYBER#4	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
2-4 June 2015	ISSworld Europe	Prague	Telestrategies
8-10 Sept 2015	ISSworld Latin America	Brasilia	Telestrategies

TABLE SUMMARY OF CRs

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 101 331	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 101 671	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-01	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-02	CR Result
025	C	LI(14)P37021r2	Messaging Events and Messaging Box Events	
026	C	LI(14)P37022	Message Wait Indication	
027	B	LI(14)P37023r1	Voice to Text Notification	
028	B	LI(14)P37024r1	Content Transfer Encoding mechanism	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-03	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-04	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-05	CR Result
012	F	LK(14)P37025	Correction of CIN correlation	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-06	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 232-07	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 503	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 656	CR Result
003	F	LK(14)P37006	Changing Annex A to normative	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 657	CR Result
096	D	LK(14)P37014	EPS userLocationInformation definition	
097	?	LK(14)P37029	Minor alterations and schema modifications	

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 103 690	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to TS 102 519	CR Result

CR nr	Cat	Tdoc	CRs to EN 301 040	CR Result
001?	F	LI(14)P37011	TETRA LI modification of scope	

ETSI/TC LI
Den Haag 6-8 May 2014

Rap#31LI(14)R31009r1

PROPOSED Agenda of the ETSI/TC LI Rapporteur's meeting #31

1 Opening, welcome, approval of proposed agenda, announcements

Opening by the TC LI chairman and welcome to Den Haag by the host.

The meeting will start at 1030.

2 IPR statement

LI(13)30003: ETSI IPR Call

"The attention of the members of TC LI is drawn to the fact that ETSI Members shall use reasonable endeavours under clause 4.1 of the ETSI IPR Policy, Annex 6 of the Rules of Procedure, to inform ETSI of Essential IPRs in a timely fashion. This section covers the obligation to notify its own IPRs but also other companies' IPRs.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Body,
- to notify to the Chairman of TC LI or to the ETSI Director-General all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Information Statement and the Licensing Declaration forms that they can obtain from the ETSI Technical Officer or <http://www.etsi.org/legal/IPR-Forms>.

Members are encouraged to make general IPR undertakings/declarations that they will make licenses available for all their IPRs under FRAND terms and conditions related to a specific standardization area and then, as soon as feasible, provide (or refine) detailed disclosures."

3 Proposed Running Order

LI(14)31009r1: Proposed agenda by the chairman

Day	Topic	Time
Tuesday	X1 Internal Interfaces	

	eWarrant Items	LI(14)R31001 Milan LI(14)R31002 LI(14)R31003 LI(14)R31004 LI(14)R31005 LI(14)R31006 LI(14)R31007 LI(14)R31008 LI(14)R31011	DTS103120 Clean Output from HI1 Next State Action Reference Model Addition Authorisation Object Structure Task Object Structure Document Object Structure Security Section Structure Error Codes Reservation Notification Objects
Wednesday	Cloud	LI(14)R31010 LI(14)R31012 LI(14)R31013 LI(14)R31014 Note LI(14)R31015 LI(14)R31016 consolidation LI(14)R31017 other Ed Notes	DTR Cloud Use Case Matrix Clean DTR 101 567 Cloud DTR NFV Cloud DTR Clause 4 Legacy Ed Cloud DTR Figure 4.1 Ed Note Cloud DTR Clause 5.4 Text Con- solidation Cloud DTR Clause 6.3 Legacy and
	Retained Data HI	LI(14)R31019 steps LI(14)R31020 Signatures	TS 102 657 Notes about next Updates for discussion on Digital
Thursday	TC LI ToR and Roadmap		
	Security WI		
		LI(14)R31018 traffic	Approaches to specifying target

In an attempt to expedite work could participants try to share information in good time prior to the meeting so that any issues can be wrapped up offline prior to the input being discussed at the meeting ? If practical...

Timings above are indicative and flexibility will be possible...

- 8 Agreement on output documents
- 9 Any other Business
- 10 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting
- 14 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting

Date	Meeting	Location	Organised by
3-5 June 2014	ISSworld Europe	Prague	Telestrategies
24-26 June 2014	TC LI#36	Bad Homburg, Germany	Atis
15-17 July 2014	ISSworld South Africa	Johannesburg	Telestrategies
22-24 July 2014	SA3-LI#53	Sophia Antipolis	
23-25 September 2014	TC LI#37	Lecce, Italy	Lino
6-8 Oct 2014	ISSworld Washington	Washington DC	Telestrategies
28-30 Oct 2014	SA3-LI#54	US	

(Auszug aus dem Einladungsschreiben zur ETSI/TC LI Rapporteurs-Sitzung #32 in Stockholm, Schweden)

Invitation to the ETSI/TC LI meeting RAP#32 on topics:

- Internal Interfaces
- eWarrant Interface
- Cloud/Virtual services
- Retained Data Handover Interface
- Security
- Data dictionary
-
-

from 12 until 14 August in Kista Stockholm, Sweden.

The meeting will begin on Tuesday August 12, 2014 at 10:00. The meeting is planned to end on Thursday August 14 at 14:00. The detailed agenda will be sent to you via the mailing list.

PROPOSED Agenda of the ETSI/TC LI Rapporteur's meeting #33

1 Opening, welcome, approval of proposed agenda, announcements

Opening by the TC LI chairman and welcome to Mainz by the host, Ralf Schmalbach

The meeting will start at 1000.

2 IPR statement

ETSI IPR Call

"The attention of the members of TC LI is drawn to the fact that ETSI Members shall use reasonable endeavours under clause 4.1 of the ETSI IPR Policy, Annex 6 of the Rules of Procedure, to inform ETSI of Essential IPRs in a timely fashion. This section covers the obligation to notify its own IPRs but also other companies' IPRs.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

- to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become Essential in respect of the work of the Technical Body,
- to notify to the Chairman of TC LI or to the ETSI Director-General all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of the IPR Information Statement and the Licensing Declaration forms that they can obtain from the ETSI Technical Officer or <http://www.etsi.org/legal/IPR-Forms>.

Members are encouraged to make general IPR undertakings/declarations that they will make licenses available for all their IPRs under FRAND terms and conditions related to a specific standardization area and then, as soon as feasible, provide (or refine) detailed disclosures."

3 Proposed Running Order

LI(14)33001r1: Proposed agenda by the chairman

Day	Topic
Tuesday	X1 Internal Interfaces
	<p>LI(14)R33002_DTS_103_120_-_Output_from_Lecce_change_marked_.doc</p> <p>LI(14)R33003_DTS_103_120_-_Output_from_Lecce_clean_.doc</p> <p>LI(14)R33005_DTS_103_120_-_Review_of_Sections_1-5.docx</p> <p>LI(14)R33006_DTS_103_120_-_Review_of_Section_6.docx</p> <p>LI(14)R33007_DTS_103_120_-_Review_of_Section_7_Authorisations_.docx</p> <p>LI(14)R33008_DTS_103_120_-_Review_of_Section_7_Tasks_.docx</p> <p>LI(14)R33009_DTS_103_120_-_Review_of_Section_7_Documents_.docx</p> <p>LI(14)R33010_DTS_103_120_-_Review_of_Section_8_Transport_.docx</p> <p>LI(14)R33014_DTS_103_120_Error_Code_Simplification.doc</p>
Wednesday	<p>SR 003 292</p> <p>LTE FAQ report</p> <p>TS 102 657 Note on restricting range of returned items</p> <p>LI(14)R33013 TS 102 657 Note on restricting range of returned items</p> <p>LI(14)R33012 Contribution to LTE LI discussion</p> <p>LI(14)R33015_RDHI_Flex_IMS_Exercise.zip</p>
Thursday	<p>SR 003 291</p> <p>Guide to Lawful Interception and Retained Data standards and concepts</p>

	Security WI
	LI(14)P37043 Start work on requirements of LEA's.

In an attempt to expedite work could participants try to share information in good time prior to the meeting so that any issues can be wrapped up offline prior to the input being discussed at the meeting ? If practical...

Timings above are indicative and flexibility will be possible...

- 8 Agreement on output documents
- 9 Any other Business
- 10 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting
- 14 Future meeting dates and closing of the meeting

	20-22 Jan 2015	SA3-LI#56	Brussels (TBC)	CPN/CEN/LEC
	3-4 Feb 2015	CYBER#3	Paris	AFNOR
10-12 February 2015		TC LI#38	Sophia Antipolis, France	ETSI
	16-18 Mar 2015	ISSworld Middle East	Dubai	Telestrategies
	28-30 Apr 2015	SA3-LI#57		
	22-26 June 2015 (TBC)	ETSI Security Week (TBC)	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
	28-29 May 2015 or 25-26 June 2015 (within the Security Week) (TBC)	CYBER#4	Sophia Antipolis	ETSI
	2-4 June 2015	ISSworld Europe	Prague	Telestrategies
16-18 June 2015		TC LI#39	Spitsbergen	Telenor
	8-10 Sept 2015	ISSworld Latin America	Brasilia	Telestrategies
8-10 September		TC LI#40	Aachen	Utimaco

Teilnehmer TC LI #35 Milan

Organisation	Land
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
ATIS SYSTEMS GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
Bayerisches Landeskriminalamt	DEUTSCHLAND
LKA NRW	DEUTSCHLAND
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
Fraunhofer ESK	DEUTSCHLAND
BFV	DEUTSCHLAND
P3 communications GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
UTIMACO TS GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
FICORA	FINNLAND
Ministere de l'Economie, de l'Industrie et du Numerique	FRANKKREICH
SGDSN	FRANKKREICH
MINISTERE DE L'INTERIEUR	FRANKKREICH
Alcatel-Lucent	FRANKKREICH
ETSI	FRANKKREICH
ORANGE	FRANKKREICH
AQSACOM S.A.S.	FRANKKREICH
IAESI	ISRAEL
AREA Spa	ITALIEN
Polizia postale	ITALIEN
Public Safety Canada	KANADA
Pine Lawful Interception	NIEDERLANDE
PIDS	NIEDERLANDE
KPN N.V.	NIEDERLANDE
Ministry of Economic Affairs	NIEDERLANDE
TELENOR ASA	NORWEGEN
ZNIIS	RUSSISCHE FÖDERATION
Ericsson LM	SCHWEDEN
OFCOM (CH)	SCHWEIZ
SWISSCOM	SCHWEIZ
TELEFONICA S.A.	SPAIN
OTD	USA
SS8 Networks	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
VODAFONE Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

Yaana Limited	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
CESG	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
BT Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
VODAFONE Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
Yaana Limited	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
HOME OFFICE	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

Teilnehmer TC LI #36 Bad Homburg

Organisation	Land
CATR	CHINA
ATIS SYSTEMS GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
Bayerisches Landeskriminalamt	DEUTSCHLAND
BfV	DEUTSCHLAND
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
Deutsche Telekom AG	DEUTSCHLAND
Fraunhofer ESK	DEUTSCHLAND
LKA NRW	DEUTSCHLAND
P3 communications GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
UTIMACO TS GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
FICORA	FINNLAND
Alcatel-Lucent	FRANKREICH
AQSACOM S.A.S.	FRANKREICH
ETSI	FRANKREICH
SGDSN	FRANKREICH
IAESI	ISRAEL
AREA Spa	ITALIEN
Group 2000	NIEDERLANDE
KPN N.V.	NIEDERLANDE
Ministry of Economic Affairs	NIEDERLANDE
PIDS	NIEDERLANDE
Pine Lawful Interception	NIEDERLANDE
TELENOR ASA	NORWEGEN
ZNIIS	RUSSISCHE FÖDERATION
Ericsson LM	SCHWEDEN
ITS	SCHWEDEN
OFCOM (CH)	SCHWEIZ
SWISSCOM	SCHWEIZ
TELEFONICA S.A.	SPANIEN
OTD	USA
BT Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
Cadzow Communications	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
VODAFONE Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
Yaana Limited	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

Teilnehmer TC LI #37 Lecce

Organisation	Land
Attorney-General's Department	AUSTRALIEN
Softel Systems Pty Ltd	AUSTRALIEN
CATR	CHINA
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
trovicor GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
ATIS SYSTEMS GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
Bayerisches Landeskriminalamt	DEUTSCHLAND
LKA NRW	DEUTSCHLAND
UTIMACO TS GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
Fraunhofer ESK	DEUTSCHLAND
BfV	DEUTSCHLAND
P3 communications GmbH	DEUTSCHLAND
Guardtime	ESTLAND
FICORA	FINNLAND
Ministere de l'Economie, de l'Industrie et du Numerique	FRANKREICH
SGDSN	FRANKREICH
MINISTERE DE L'INTERIEUR	FRANKREICH
ETSI	FRANKREICH
AQSACOM S.A.S.	FRANKREICH
MCIT	INDONESIEN
AREA Spa	ITALIEN
AREA Spa	ITALIEN
Direzione Nazionale Antimafia	ITALIEN
MMATI	KROATIEN
PIDS	NIEDERLANDE
Pine Lawful Interception	NIEDERLANDE
KPN N.V.	NIEDERLANDE
Group 2000	NIEDERLANDE
Ministry of Economic Affairs	NIEDERLANDE
TELENOR ASA	NORWEGEN
Ericsson LM	SCHWEDEN
OFCOM (CH)	SCHWEIZ
SWISSCOM	SCHWEIZ
TELEFONICA S.A.	SPANIEN

OTD

Cadzow Communications

Yaana Limited

BT Group Plc

VODAFONE Group Plc

National Technical Assistance

HOME OFFICE

BT Group Plc

USA

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

Teilnehmer TC LI Rap#37 Den Haag

Organisation	Land
Cisco Systems Belgium	BELGIEN
BMWf	DEUTSCHLAND
Fraunhofer ESK	DEUTSCHLAND
LKA NRW	DEUTSCHLAND
Group 2000	NIEDERLANDE
KPN N.V.	NIEDERLANDE
Ministry of Economic Affairs	NIEDERLANDE
PIDS	NIEDERLANDE
Pine Lawful Interception	NIEDERLANDE
Ericsson LM	SCHWEDEN
OFCOM (CH)	SCHWEIZ
TELEFONICA S.A.	SPANIEN
OTD	USA
BT Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
HOME OFFICE	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
VODAFONE Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
Yaana Limited	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

Teilnehmer TC LI Rap#32 Stockholm

Organisation	Land
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
BMWl	DEUTSCHLAND
LKA NRW	DEUTSCHLAND
Pine Lawful Interception	NIEDERLANDE
Ericsson LM	SCHWEDEN
TELEFONICA S.A.	SPANIEN
BT Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
VODAFONE Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
Yaana Limited	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH

Teilnehmer TC LI Rap#33 Mainz

Organisation	Land
BMWf	DEUTSCHLAND
BMWf	DEUTSCHLAND
LKA NRW	DEUTSCHLAND
Pine Lawful Interception	NIEDERLANDE
Ericsson LM	SCHWEDEN
TELEFONICA S.A.	SPANIEN
BT Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
National Technical Assistance	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
VODAFONE Group Plc	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH
Yaana Limited	VEREINIGTES KÖNIGREICH