

FORM FOR TABLING A PARLIAMENTARY QUESTION

<i>ORAL QUESTIONS</i>	<i>WRITTEN QUESTIONS</i>
To be put to: COUNCIL <input type="checkbox"/> COMMISSION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To be put to: PRESIDENT OF THE EUROPEAN COUNCIL <input type="checkbox"/> COUNCIL <input type="checkbox"/> COMMISSION <input type="checkbox"/> VICE-PRESIDENT / HIGH REPRESENTATIVE <input type="checkbox"/>
Question for oral answer with debate (Rule 115) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Question for written answer (Rule 117) <input type="checkbox"/>
Question Time (Rule 116) <input type="checkbox"/>	Priority question (Rule 117(4)) <input type="checkbox"/>

AUTHOR(S): Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

SUBJECT: Deadlock on the revision of Regulation 1049/2001
(please specify)

TEXT:

The European Parliament adopted its first reading position in December 2011 on the two joint proposals of the European Commission of 2008 and 2011 revising Regulation 1049/2001, in order to ensure more transparency of the EU decision-making process for the EU citizens.

Since then, and despite negotiations and trilogues with three successive Presidencies, the file is in an impasse at the Council. According to our information, this is due to the European Commission's unwillingness to proceed.

- What are the main obstacles to progress on the revision that the European Commission can identify?
- Can the European Commission clarify its position regarding the European Parliament's first-reading position and which elements are acceptable and which are unacceptable?
- Can the European Commission present its negotiating position in discussion with the other institutions, especially at the Council Working Group?
- Does the European Commission agree that common denominators need to be determined in order to ensure a successful conclusion of this file before the end of this mandate?
- Would the European Commission consider withdrawing its proposals should the deadlock remain?

Signature(s):

Date: